# BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, CLUJ-NAPOCA FACULTY OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF "HISTORY. CIVILIZATION. CULTURE"

The Cult of the Capitoline Triad in Roman Dacia
-Summary-

Scientific Coordinator: Prof. univ. dr. *Sorin NEMETI* 

Student: Sergiu-Traian SOCACIU

# **Table of Contents**

I. Introduction	3
Aim of the work and main bibliography	3
Considerations on research methodology and thesis structure	5
II. The cult of the Capitoline Triad	9
The Capitoline Triad in the Roman world	9
The Capitoline Triad in Roman Dacia	13
III. The cult of Jupiter	23
Jupiter in the Roman world	23
The cult of Jupiter in Roman Dacia	27
The Epigraphic Materia	27
The Figurative Material	52
IV. The Cult of the Goddess Juno	65
Juno in the Roman World	65
Juno in Roman Dacia	66
The Epigraphic Materia	66
The Figurative Material	72
V. The Cult of the Goddess Minerva	80
Minerva in the Roman World	80
The Cult of Minerva in Roman Dacia	82
The Epigraphic Materia	82
The Figurative Material	86
VI. Religious Buildings and Places of Worship	92
The Capitol of Ulpia Traiana Sarmizegetusa	92
Hypothetical Cult Buildings	93
VII. Conclusions	100
VIII. Repertory of Epigraphic and Sculptural Monuments	103
Image Source	341
Abbreviations	344
Bibliography	349
Diatos	201

# **Summary**

This paper proposes a comprehensive analysis of a distinct segment of religious life in Roman Dacia, namely the cult of the Capitoline Triad. This cult represented the official cult of the Roman state, symbolizing a mark of Romanness, and its introduction in Dacia occurred with the founding of the first Capitoline in the first colony of the province, the Colonia Dacia Sarmizegetusa. Our aim is to collect and analyze all the archaeological sources that prove the presence of this cult in the territory of Dacia. Our analysis, however, is not strictly limited to the supreme triad of the Roman pantheon as a whole, but also aims at analyzing the individual cult of each Capitoline deity. The main argument behind the choice of this theme was the lack of a complete repertory that could gather and analyze all these items from a monographic perspective. The aim is to see to what extent the cult of these deities has managed to transcend its strictly official character, illustrating a series of personal aspects, related to the individual religious beliefs and preferences of the worshippers. For this purpose, we have compiled a detailed repertory of all the known pieces in publications, which have been related to these deities. The sources collected in the repertory comprise primarily epigraphic monuments. These are supplemented by figurative material, providing a general picture of the spread of these cults within the province.

In terms of content, the present work is organized in five chapters, grouped in a first analytical section, followed by the most extensive part of the work, which includes the repertory of epigraphic and figurative monuments, which are the basis of this study. In these chapters, the cult of the Capitoline Triad, the cult of Jupiter, the cult of Juno, the cult of Minerva and the question of the cultic places and buildings dedicated to these deities are analyzed in turn. The structure of each chapter devoted to these cults comprises two main parts. The first part has an introductory role, illustrating the evolution and the main characteristics of the respective cult in the Roman world. In the second part, the different aspects of the cult in the province of Dacia are analyzed through the prism of the identified materials. On the basis of the analyzed materials, this subchapter is divided into two levels, epigraphic and iconographic.

As for the cult of the Capitoline Triad as a whole, it is poorly represented within the province, totaling only 14-15 epigraphic attestations and not even one representation identified with certainty. This situation could be explained in part by the cult's official and conservative character, as well as by the influence that the cult of Jupiter had within imperial propaganda,

monopolizing the political and ideological functions that the Capitoline Triad held. At the same time, the dedications dedicated to the Capitoline Triad have an official character, being made by persons from the high administrative apparatus of the province or city, as well as high officers or commanders in the army. For these reasons, the cult of the Capitoline Triad in Dacia appears to us as a manifestation of the political loyalty of the dedicators, without giving us any clue as to the personal religious preferences of the dedicators.

As regards the cult of Jupiter, it proves to be much more complex, accounting for the vast majority of votive dedications in the province. Thus, to date, there are 315 votive inscriptions dedicated to Jupiter and 80 representations depicting the supreme god. However, in the case of this cult as well, we have identified the same formalism in the votive dedications as in the figurative representations of the god, which fall within the classical iconographic patterns found in the rest of the Empire. The epithets encountered are the classical ones, in the vast majority of cases the epithets Optimus Maximus, but also other specific epithets such as Conservator, Custos, Fulgurator, Victor or Stator. Among the more particular epithets identified are Cohortalis, Depulsor, Aeternus, Paternus, Montanus, Appeninnus or summus exsuperantissimus. As far as the dedicators are concerned, they seem to cover all social strata of the province, from slaves, craftsmen and freedmen to high dignitaries, governors and legion commanders. In the vast majority of cases, the political motivations for practicing the cult of Jupiter are obvious, given the positions held by the dedicators and the brief epigraphic language used in the text of the dedications. However, as we have seen, the presence of specific dedicatory formulas in some votive inscriptions hints at possible personal religious preferences of the dedicators. From case to case, these can vary from indications and oracles received in a dream, to simple dedications placed in the personal health of the dedicator or his family. All these aspects lead us to suspect in some cases a personal preference of the dedicators for the cult of Jupiter, which thus goes beyond the sphere of political interests, represented primarily by the manifestation of loyalty to the Empire.

Compared to the cult of Jupiter, the cult of the goddess Juno in Roman Dacia is minor. Few reliable representations of the goddess are known, and votive inscriptions mention Juno mostly within the Capitoline Triad or in association with Jupiter. She is venerated in Dacia primarily as a member of the Capitoline Triad and wife of the supreme god, under the name of *Iuno Regina*. Because of these aspects, the sincere religious preferences of the worshippers are difficult to identify. The cult of Juno must have enjoyed a certain popularity among women, as suggested by the dedication of Marcia Cara at Ulpia Traiana. As the patron goddess of women

and the family, Juno was probably worshipped in domestic cults, less visible in the public arena, characterized by more modest manifestations of faith that leave no archaeological traces.

The cult manifestations are not very numerous in the case of the goddess Minerva either. She is mentioned in 32 or perhaps 33 votive inscriptions, and her image can be recognized in 41 representations. Almost half of the votive inscriptions dedicated to Minerva invoke the goddess within the Capitoline Triad. Most often the goddess is invoked without an epithet, and of the epithets given, Augusta is the most frequent, followed by Victrix, Sancta and Supera. The official character of his cult is evidenced by the presence of several commanders and financial procurators among the worshippers. The analysis of epigraphic material has revealed the popularity of his cult within the army, especially among the technical and administrative staff. At the same time, the goddess has enjoyed greater popularity among intellectuals whose professions are related to the practice of writing, such as actarii or librarii. Last but not least, the goddess Minerva is also worshipped by some craftsmen. We can thus observe that the great majority of the dedicators have trades classified among those occupations under the patronage of Minerva, which leads us to consider as sincere the great majority of votive offerings dedicated to the goddess by these worshippers. In terms of figurative material, the representations of the goddess Minerva are the most varied in comparison with the other deities of the Capitoline Triad. These include, in addition to votive reliefs and statuettes, numerous smaller representations, some of a utilitarian nature, such as armor linings, ceramic molds and appliques. This variety also seems to suggest a popularity of the goddess that goes beyond the official aspects of her cult and seems to be linked to some personal preferences of the commissioners of these items. Therefore, we can consider that among the Capitoline deities, Minerva is the deity that comes closest to the needs and preferences of its worshippers, its cult going beyond the sphere of official cults, being among the deities whose cults were practiced because of a sincere devotion of their worshippers and not only because of political interests, motivated by the desire for social advancement.

With regard to the cult buildings in Dacia, they are almost absent. With the exception of the Capitolium at Ulpia Traiana, there are no other known cult buildings dedicated to the Capitoline Triad or to any of the deities that compose it. Although some temples dedicated to Jupiter are epigraphically attested in Apulum, they have not been identified in the field so far. At the same time, the various theories regarding the existence of sanctuaries in certain centers of Dacia, based on some archaeological finds, appear to us to be largely arbitrary. In most

cases, these assumptions have been made on the basis of partial investigations or isolated finds, which often cannot be conclusively linked to a specific structure.

Therefore, the cult of the Capitoline Triad in Roman Dacia had a distinctly official role, generally being practiced only by prominent figures of the provincial administration and the army. However, the main political and propagandistic functions of this cult were absorbed by the cult of Jupiter, who is often invoked without the two goddesses. For this reason, with a few exceptions that appear to reflect sincere devotion from the dedicators toward the supreme god, the vast majority of dedications fall within the sphere of political loyalty. This same official character is generally reflected in the worship of the other two goddesses as well. However, as we have seen, particularly in the case of Minerva, beyond the official gestures, the multitude of dedications to the three deities also reveals votive offerings that reflect sincere and personal feelings on the part of the worshippers. Thus, if the Capitoline Triad as a whole represents only an emanation of imperial power and the religion of political loyalty, the individual cults of the three deities manage to capture some personal gestures of the worshippers, thus portraying a much broader picture of these cults.

# **Selective bibliography**

### **Historical sources**

Paus. = Pausanias, Έλλάδος Περιήγησις.

Plin., *HN* = Plinius Maior, *Naturalis Historia*.

Serv. = Servius, *Vergilii Aeneidos Comentarium*.

Suet. = Suetonius, *De vita caesarum*.

Livy = Titus Livius, *Ab Urbe Condita*.

Varro, *Ling.* = Varro, *De Lingua Latina*.

# **Bibliographic abbreviations**

### Albrecht et alii 2018

J. Albrecht, C. Degelmann, V. Gasparini, R. Gordon, M. Patzelt, Georgia Petridou, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, J. Rüpke, B. Sippel, E. R. Urciuoli, Lara Weiss, *Religion in the making: The Lived Ancient Religion approach*, în Religion, XLVIII, 4, 2018, pp. 568-593.

# Alexandrescu 2013

Cristina-Georgeta Alexandrescu (ed.), Jupiter on your side. Gods and humans in antiquity in the Lower Danube area, București, 2013.

### Alicu et alii 1979

D. Alicu, C. Pop, V. Wollmann, *Figured Monuments from Ulpia Traiana Sarmizegetusa*, BAR International, Series 55, Oxford, 1979.

### Altheim 1938

F. Altheim, A Histori of Roman Religion, Methuen and London, 1938.

# Banti 1973

Luisa Banti, Etruscan Cities and their Culture, Berkeley-Los Angeles, 1973.

### Bartels/Kolb 2011

J. Bartels, Anne Kolb, Ein angeblicher Meilenstein in Novae (Moesia Inferior) und der Kult des Deus Aeternus, în Klio, XCIII, 2, 2011, pp. 411-428.

### Barton 1982

I. M. Barton, Capitoline Temples in Italy and the Provinces (especially Africa), în ANRW, II, 12, 1, pp. 259-342.

# Băluță 1974

C. L. Băluță, Monumente sculpturale de la Apulum, în Apulum, XII, 1974, pp. 117-133.

# Băluță 1976

C. L. Băluță, *Plăci votive de la Apulum*. În Apulum, XIV, 1976, pp. 131-146.

# Băluță 1980

L. C. Băluță, *Statui reprezentând pe Jupiter tronans descoperite la Apulum*, în Apulum, XVIII, 1980, pp. 101-106.

# Băluță 1988

C. L. Băluță, *Monumente sculpturale de la Apulum*, în Apulum, XXV, 1988 (1989), pp. 251-268.

### Bărbulescu 1985

M. Bărbulescu, *Cultele greco-romane în provincia Dacia*, Cluj-Napoca, 1985 (Teză de doctorat).

### Bărbulescu 1997

M. Bărbulescu (coord.), Civilizația romană în Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1997.

### Bărbulescu 2003

M. Bărbulescu, *Interferențe spirituale în Dacia Romană*<sup>2</sup>, Cluj-Napoca, 2003.

# Bărbulescu 2012

M. Bărbulescu, *Inscripțiile din castrul legionar de la Potaissa / The Inscriptions of the Legionary Fortress at Potaissa*, București, 2012.

# Bărbulescu 2015

M. Bărbulescu, Arta romană la Potaissa, Cluj-Napoca, 2015.

### Beard et alii 1998

Mary Beard, J. North, S. Price, Religion of Rome. Vol. 1: A History, Cambridge, 1998.

# Beard et alii 1998a

Mary Beard, J. North, S. Price, *Religion of Rome. Vol. 2: A Sourcebook*, Cambridge, 1998.

### Bianchi 1951

U. Bianchi, *Questions sur les origines du culte capitoline*, în Latomus, X, 1951, pp. 413-418.

### **Bodor** 1989

A. Bodor, *Die griechisch-römischen Kulte in der Provinz Dacia und das Nachwirken einheimischer Traditionen*, în ANRW, II, 18, 2, 1989, pp. 1077-1164.

# Bondoc/Filip 2019

D. Bondoc, G. Filip, *Jupiter Fulminans de la Cioroiu Nou*, în Oltenia, XXVI, 2019, pp. 110-116.

# Bordenache 1969

Gabriella Bordenache, Sculture greche e romane del Museo Nazionale di Antichità di Bucarest, București, 1969.

### Carbó García 2022

J. R. Carbó García, Where the lightning strikes, when the gods intervene. Divine agency and space sacralisation in Roman Dacia, în S. Nemeti, Irina Nemeti, F. G. Fodorean, S. Cociş, Est modus in rebus. Essays presented to professor Mihai Bărbulescu at his 75th anniversary, Cluj-Napoca, 2022, pp. 183-193.

# Carbó García 2022a

J. R. Carbó García, *Dreamed – but lived – ancient religions in Roman Dacia. Religious communication and specialization concerning dreaming*, în Acta MN, LIX, 1, 2022, pp. 97-111.

# Cătinaș 2013

Ana Cătinaș, *Sculpture Workshops in Roman Potaissa*, în Alexandrescu 2013, pp. 115-121.

### Cherici 1989

A. Cherici, *Keraunia*, în ACl, XLI, 1989, pp. 329-382.

### Cenati et alii 2023

Chiara Cenati, Denisa Murzea, Victoria González Berdús, *Sounds from Sarmizegetusa: Poetry and Performance in the Province of Dacia*, în Acta MN, LX, 1, 2023, pp. 139-159.

# Cociș et alii 2003

S. Cociş, A. Ursuţiu, C. Cosma, R. Ardevan, *Area Sacra de la Hăbad*, în Damian 2003, pp. 149-191.

### Colonna 2006

G. Colonna, *Sacred Architecture and the Religion of the Etruscans*, în Thomson de Grummond/Simons 2006, pp. 132-172.

# Crăciun/Sion 2003

Cristina Crăciun, Anișoara Sion, *Edificiul de cult din punctul Drumuș (Edificiul T II)*, în Damian 2003, pp. 287-337.

# Cupcea 2017

G. Cupcea, *Timekeeping in the Roman Army*, în Cass. Q., LXVII, 2, 2017, pp. 597-606.

# Daicoviciu 1932

C. Daicoviciu, *Contribuții la syncretismul religios în Sarmizegetusa*, în AISC, I/1, 1928-1932, pp. 81-88.

### Daicoviciu/Alicu 1981

H. Daicoviciu, D. Alicu, *Edificii de cult la Ulpia Traiana Sarmizegetusa (I)*, în Acta MN, XVIII, 1981, pp. 59-84.

### Diaconescu 2005

Al. Diaconescu, Statuaria majoră în Dacia romană, vol. 2, Cluj-Napoca, 2005.

# Diaconescu 2013

Al. Diaconescu, Forurile Sarmizegetusei: O plimbare imaginară prin centrul politico-administrativ al micii Rome de la poalele Retezatului<sup>2</sup>, Cluj-Napoca, 2013.

### Dudău 2013

Al. Dudău, *Cultul geniilor la Micia: o privire asupra inscripțiilor*, în Sargetia, S. N., IV, 2013, pp. 211-229.

### Dumézil 1996

G. Dumézil, Archaic Roman Religion, Baltimore-London, 1996.

### Fears 1981

J. R. Fears, *The Cult of Jupiter and Roman Imperial Ideology*, în ANRW, II, 17, 1, 1981, pp. 3-141.

### Fenechiu 2006

Carmen-Adela Fenechiu, *Noțiunea de numen în textele literare și epigrafice*, Cluj-Napoca, 2006 (Teză de doctorat).

### Gallina 1970

Anna Zevi Gallina (ed.), *Civiltà romana in Romania* (Catalog de expoziție), Roma, 1970.

### Girard 1981

J. L. Girard, *La place de Minerve dans la religion romaine au temps du principat*, în ANRW, II, 17, 1, 1981, pp. 203-232.

### Girard 1981a

J. L. Girard, *Domitien et Minerve: une prédilection impériale*, în ANRW, II, 17, 1, 1981, pp. 233-245.

### Gros 1996

P. Gros, L'architecture romaine: du début du IIIe siècle av. J.-C. à la fin du Haut-Empire. (1) Les monuments publics, Paris, 1996.

# Isac 1974

D. Isac, *Contribuții la iconografia religioasă a Daciei romane. Iuppiter "Verospi"*, în Acta MN, XI, 1974, pp. 61-79.

# Isac/Bărbulescu 2008

D. Isac, M. Bărbulescu, *Neue Paraderüstungen aus Dakien*, în Acta MN, XLIII-XLIV, 1, 2006-2007 (2008), pp. 211-231.

### Johnston 2007

Sarah Iles Johnston (gen. ed.), *Ancient Religions*, Cambridge-Massachusetts-London, 2007.

### Jones 1929

L. W. Jones, The Cults of Dacia, Berkeley-London, 1929.

### Kolendo 1989

J. Kolendo, *Le culte de Jupiter Depulsor et les incursions des Barbares*, în ANRW, II, 18/2, 1989, pp. 1062-1076.

### Latte 1960

K. Latte, Römische Religionsgeschichte, München, 1960.

### Liebenam 1909

W. Liebenam, Fasti consulares Imperii Romani, von 30 v. Chr. bis 565 n. Chr. mit Kaiserliste und Anhang, Bonn, 1909.

# MacMullen 1981

R. MacMullen, *Paganism in the Roman Empire*, New Haven – London, 1981.

### Man 2010

Nicoleta Man, Art and Religion on the Eastern Part of Dacia (With Special Regard to the Upper Mureş Area), în Marisia, XXX, 2010, pp. 95-114.

# Marinescu/Bărbulescu 1997

Lucia Marinescu, M. Bărbulescu, *Iupiter de la Potaissa. Contribuții la iconografia ioviană în Dacia*, în Bărbulescu 1997, pp. 138-156.

# Moga 1974

V. Moga, Un nou monument de la Apulum reprezentând pe Iuppiter Tronans, în Acta MN, XI, 1974, pp. 81-83.

### Nemeti 2005

S. Nemeti, Sincretismul religios în Dacia romană, Cluj-Napoca, 2005.

### Nemeti 2012

S. Nemeti, Dialoguri păgâne. Formular votiv și limbaj figurat în Dacia romană, Iași, 2012.

# Nemeti 2015

S. Nemeti, *Vota pro salute imperatoris in Dacia*, în C&C, X, 2015, pp. 251-262.

### Nicolae 2011

Maria-Corina Nicolae, *The Capitoline Triad in Roman Dacia*, în Peuce SN, 9, 2011, pp. 291-304.

### Ota 2007

R. Ota, *Jupiter Fulminans în iconografia religioasă apulensă*, în Pontica, XL, 2007, pp. 255-265.

### Ota 2013

R. Ota, *Remarks on the stone reprezentations of Jupiter in Roman Dacia*, în Alexandrescu 2013, pp. 63-78.

# Ota/Bolog 2019

R. Ota, A. C. Bolog, *A bronze figurine of Minerva discovered at Apulum*, în Acta Arch., LXX, 2, 2019, pp. 317-323.

# Ota/Oargă 2020

R. Ota, O.-M. Oargă, *Două altare votive închinate lui Iupiter descoperite la Apulum*, în Apulum, LVII, 2020, pp. 295-306.

### Petolescu 1976

C. C. Petolescu, Relieful votiv în Oltenia romană, în CA, II, 1976, pp. 287-304.

# Piso 1974

I. Piso, *Votorum nuncupatio de Sarmizegetusa*, în RevRHist, XIII, 5-6, 1974, pp. 723-733.

# Piso 1993

I. Piso, Fasti Provinciae Daciae (I). Die senatorischen Amtsräger, Bonn, 1993.

# Piso 2000

I. Piso, I pomarenses din Sarmizegetusa, în G. Paci (ed.), Επιγραφαι. Miscellanea epigrafica in onore di Lidio Gasperini, Roma, 2000, pp. 737-744.

### Piso 2010

I. Piso, *Il Capitolium, l'Epulum Iovis e il Dies Iovis nella Dacia Romana*, în L. Zerbini (ed.), *Roma e le province del Danubio*, Catanzaro, 2010, pp. 269-278.

### Piso 2013b

I. Piso, Fasti Provinciae Daciae (II). Die Ritterlichen Amsträger, Bonn, 2013.

### Piso 2017

I. Piso, La signification du dies Iouis sur une inscription de Villadecanes (Espagne Tarraconaise), în CCG, XXVIII, 2017, pp. 135-150.

### Piso 2017a

I. Piso, Forul lui Antoninus Pius (forum novum) și Capitoliul, în Țentea/Rațiu 2017, pp. 32-38.

### Piso/Drîmbărean 1999

I. Piso, M. Drîmbărean, Fulgur conditum, în Acta MN, XXXVI, 1, 1999, pp. 109-110.

# Piso et alii 2012

I. Piso, F. Marcu, O. Țentea, G. Cupcea, Rada Varga, *Das Kapitol von Sarmizegetusa*, în Dacia, LVI, 2012, pp. 119-124.

# Pop 1966

C. Pop, *Iuppiter de la Potaissa*, în Acta MN, III, 1966, pp. 465-466.

# Popescu 2004

M. Popescu, La religion dans l'armée romaine de Dacie, București, 2004.

### Pribac 2006

S. Pribac, Aspecte sociale ale vieții spirituale din Dacia romană, Timișoara, 2006.

### **Rives 1992**

J. Rives, *The Iuno Feminae in Roman Society*, în Echos du Monde Classique / Clasical Views, XXXVI, n. s., 11, 1992, pp. 33-49.

# Rüpke 2007

J. Rüpke (ed.), A Companion to Roman Religion, Malden-Oxford-Carlton, 2007.

# Rüpke 2011

J. Rüpke, Lived Ancient Religion: Questioning "Cults" and "Polis Religion", în Mythos, V (18), 2011, pp. 191-204.

# Rüpke 2016

J. Rüpke, On Roman Religion: Lived Religion and the Individual in Ancient Rome, Ithaca-London, 2016.

# Rüpke 2018

J. Rüpke, Pantheon: A New History of Roman Religion, Princeton-Oxford, 2018.

# Rüpke/Woolf 2021

J. Rüpke, G. Woolf (eds.), Religion in the Roman Empire, Stuttgart, 2021.

### Rusu-Pescaru/Alicu 2000

Adriana Rusu-Pescaru, D. Alicu, Templele romane din Dacia (I), Deva, 2000.

### Sanie 1981

S. Sanie, *Cultele orientale în Dacia romană. I. Cultele siriene și palmiriene*, București, 1981.

# Scott Ryberg 1931

Inez Scott Ryberg, Was the Capitoline Triad Etruscan or Italic?, în AJPh, LII, 2, 1931, pp. 146-156.

### Szabó 2018

C. Szabó, Sanctuaries in Roman Dacia. Materiality and Religious Experience, Oxford, 2018.

### Toutain 1907

J. Totain, Les cultes païens dans l'Empire romain. Première Parite: Les Provinces Latines, Paris, 1907.

### **Tudor 1945**

D. Tudor, *Monuments de pierre de la collection Cezar Bolliac au Musée National des Antiquités de București*, în Dacia, IX-X, 1941-1944, pp. 407-425.

# Tutilă 2017

Oana Tutilă, *On a depiction of Jupiter and Juno from Gorj County Museum*, în Oltenia, XXIV, 2017, pp. 95-104.

# Teposu-Marinescu/Pop 2000

Lucia Țeposu-Marinescu, C. Pop, *Statuete de bronz din Dacia Romană. Monografii (I)*, București, 2000.

# Wissowa 1912

G. Wissowa, Religion und Kultus der Römer<sup>2</sup>, München, 1912.

# Wollmann 1979

V. Wollmann, Monumente epigrafice și sculpturale din regiunea minieră Alburnus Maior – Ampelum, în Sargetia, XIV, 1979, pp. 191-202.

# Wollmann 1986

V. Wollmann, Un lucus la Alburnus Maior, în AIIA, XXVII, 1985-1986, pp. 253-295.

# Zugravu 2005

N. Zugravu, *Divinități și experiențe onirice în Dacia*, în EN, XIV-XV, 2004-2005, pp. 101-122.