

**BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, CLUJ-NAPOCA**  
**FACULTY OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY**  
**DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF „HISTORY. CIVILIZATION. CULTURE”**

***ASPECTS OF THE RURAL ENVIRONMENT IN DACIA POROLISSENSIS***

**Summary**

**Scientific Coordinator:**

**CONF. UNIV. DR. HABIL. FLORIN-GHEORGHE FODOREAN**

**Student:**  
**MARISA-FELICIA TIVADAR**

**CLUJ-NAPOCA**  
**2025**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE RURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>4</b>
1. The importance of studying rural settlements	5
2. Defining the concept of "rural environment"	7
3. The methodology of the thesis	8
<b>CHAPTER I. THE RESEARCH HISTORY ON THE RURAL ENVIRONMENT IN DACIA POROLISSENSIS</b>	<b>11</b>
I.1. Primary sources – ancient literary sources	11
I.2. Modern and contemporary historiography	14
I.2.a. The first archaeological research and discoveries (19 <sup>th</sup> cent. - early 20 <sup>th</sup> cent.)	15
I.2.b. Research in the interwar period	16
I.2.c. Research during the communist regime	17
I.2.d. Research in the late 20 <sup>th</sup> and early 21 <sup>st</sup> centuries	20
<b>CHAPTER II. THE CATALOGUE OF DISCOVERIES FROM THE RURAL ENVIRONMENT OF DACIA POROLISSENSIS</b>	<b>26</b>
II.1. Rural settlements	26
II.2. <i>Villae rusticae</i>	238
<b>CHAPTER III. SOME OBSERVATIONS BASED ON THE CATALOGUE OF DISCOVERIES</b>	<b>327</b>
III.1. Rural settlements	329
III.1.a. About rural settlements	329
III.1.b. Characteristics and classifications of the researched settlements in Dacia Porolissensis	330
III.1.c. Locating the sites	338
III.1.d. Categories of the sites	351
III.2. <i>Villae rusticae</i>	354
III.2.a. About the <i>villa rustica</i>	354
III.2.b. Characteristics and classifications of the researched farms in Dacia	

Porolissensis	356
III.2.c. Locating the sites	360
III.2.d. Categories of the sites	365
III.3. Conclusions	366
<b>CHAPTER IV. THE DISTRIBUTION OF RURAL STRUCTURES ALONG THE ROADS OF DACIA POROLISSENSIS</b>	368
IV.2.a. Imperial road	369
IV.2.b. Ramifications of the Imperial road	374
IV.2.c. Other possible routes	380
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	385
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	387
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ABBREVIATIONS</b>	389
<b>ANCIENT SOURCES</b>	391
<b>ONLINE SOURCES</b>	391
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	393

## Summary

The first part of the work is called ***Introduction to the study of rural areas***, where the geographical delimitation of the space referred to in the text is mentioned, as well as the objectives of the work. The thesis analyzes the rural area of Dacia Porolissensis, a Roman province located between the Mureş and Arieş rivers, with an emphasis on identifying and locating archaeological sites as precisely as possible. The study focuses on understanding the transformations that took place in this region, at the intersection of Roman and local influences, with the objective of systematizing existing information and filling in the gaps in the specialized bibliography. In this first part, I also mentioned the importance of studying rural settlements, defining the concept of "rural environment", as well as a brief presentation of the applied working methodology. The work was designed to serve as a starting point for anyone who wishes to study the rural area during Roman rule in Dacia Porolissensis. This is based on a complete repertoire of rural discoveries from the area delimited by the former Roman province.

The subject of the first chapter of the thesis refers to ***The research history on the rural environment in Dacia Porolissensis***. It balances the importance of primary sources regarding agriculture, architecture and the organization of rural space with that of secondary sources, represented by the literature published starting from the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century up to the present, with the aim of highlighting the evolution of ideas, concepts and research methods over time.

The second chapter is the most consistent, being represented by ***The catalogue of discoveries from the rural environment of Dacia Porolissensis***, where I chose to include all the agro-pastoral nature discoveries. I structured this repertoire in the form of descriptive sheets, one for each site. The discoveries were divided into categories, namely rural settlements (the most numerous) and *villae rusticae* (the best researched). Thus, for each site we prepared a sheet mentioning the location, the toponym (where it exists), the RAN (National Archaeological Repertory) and LMI (List of Historical Monuments) codes, as well as general data about each site, such as the type of site (rural settlement or *villa rustica*), dating, landform, one or more nearby hydrographic landmarks, the state of conservation of the site, its estimated area, if it was researched (we mentioned the year of the archaeological research together with the responsible person), but also the bibliographic sources in which the site was mentioned (here we attempted an exhaustive treatment in order to understand the level of research that the respective site has reached). Then

we tried to achieve the most accurate geographical positioning (in DMS coordinate format – degrees, minutes, seconds) based on the correlation of information from several sources. Some sites were misplaced, both on the maps in the archaeological repertoires (especially that of Cluj County) and in the database of the National Archaeological Repertory. We then used the descriptions in the bibliography and identified the toponyms on older maps that were consulted online. Last but not least, I included a short site description for each case, along with information about the discovered materials (construction materials, archaeological artifacts, inscriptions, and coins). Additionally, where there was a known location, I provided a map that places the site within its spatial context, with rural settlements marked in red and *villae rusticae* in blue. Whenever possible, I also included excavation images showcasing the uncovered materials and artifacts, as well as field photographs from sites I was able to visit in person. In the cases where I observed several reported points in proximity, I chose to make a single site sheet, grouping all the discoveries as part of a single settlement. Such cases are observed, for example, at Aiton, Apahida or Pălatca.

In Chapter III, I included a series of *Some observations based on the catalogue of discoveries*. In addition to numerical observations related to the records of rural settlements versus villas in the bibliography, I also observed how little research has been done on the rural area compared to large urban or military centers. The chapter continues with general information about these structures, with their divisions and classifications within the limits allowed by the stage of research for each, but also with a section on the location of the sites, where, after correlating information from different sources, I tried to achieve the most accurate location possible. The descriptions in the bibliography and a series of topographical sources formed the basis of this subchapter. At the end, I thought of a classification of the sites into categories based on the level of information available (where Category I includes sites that have been researched and where the rural character is undeniable, Category II includes sites that have not been researched but where a significant amount of archaeological material has been discovered, suggesting the existence of a settlement or a *villa rustica*, and Category III includes sites that have been reported and classified into one of the two categories of structures from the rural environment solely based on the ceramic material observed on terrain).

In Chapter IV I chose to discuss the *The distribution of rural structures along the roads of Dacia Porolissensis*. A general map presents the overall picture of these discoveries in relation to the existing roads, and throughout the chapter I dealt with each segment of the Imperial road

and its ramifications, emphasizing the nearby discoveries, as well as the epigraphic discoveries that convey a wealth of information regarding the characters who carried out their activity in the rural environment two millennia ago. In addition to these roads mapped in the literature, I also traced two more possible routes based on the distribution of points observed on the general map, one in the Bistrița area, which could possibly start either from the Beclean area, towards Sânmihaiu de Câmpie and reach Brâncovenești, or from the area of the Chiraleș locality, towards the south, also towards Sânmihaiu de Câmpie, to finally arrive at the same place as the previous route. The other route could be in Cluj County, connecting the towns of Suatu and Viișoara.

At the end of the paper, some ***Conclusions*** were drawn regarding the study of the rural environment, both pleasant and unpleasant, highlighting the need to increase research in the rural environment in the future. Last but not least, the final parts of the thesis include the ***List of Figures***, ***Bibliographical Abbreviations***, ***Ancient Sources***, ***Online Sources*** and ***Bibliography***.

## Selective bibliography:

- Alicu 1998 D. Alicu, *Les villaes rusticae dans le basin du Someș Rece (La villa rustica de Chinteni I)*, în V. H. Baumannn (ed.), *La politique édilitaire dans les provinces de l'Empire romain IIème-IVème siècles après J.-C.*, 3, Tulcea, 1998, 127-160.
- Bajusz 2005 I. Bajusz, *Téglás István jegyzetei. I. Régészeti feljegyzések*, I/1, Kolozsvár, 2005.
- Blăjan, Cerghi 1977 M. Blăjan, T. Cerghi, *Cercetări arheologice la Aiton, Cluj-Napoca și Răchițele (jud. Cluj). Partea I-a*, în *Sargetia* 13, 1977, 131-147.
- Blăjan, Cerghi 1978 M. Blajan, T. Cerghi, *Descoperiri romane și postromane la Aiton (jud. Cluj)*, în *Potaissa* 1, 1978, 21-34.
- Buday 1911 Á. Buday, *Pótlások a C. I. L. III. Kötetéhez*, în *Dolgozatok* 2/ 2, 1911, 260-270.
- Buday 1913 Á. Buday, *Római villa Kolozsvár "Kistarcsa" nevű határrészében*, în *Dolgozatok* 4, 1913, 128-154.
- Buday 1914 Á. Buday, *Római ház maradványai Csákigorbon*, în *Dolgozatok* 5/ 1, 1914, 45-62.
- Ciaușescu, Mustață 2009 M. Ciaușescu, S. Mustață, *Ceramica din aşezarea romană*, în S. Mustață, F. Gogăltan, S. Cociș, A. Ursuțiu (eds.), *Cercetări arheologice preventive la Florești-Polus Center, jud. Cluj (2007)*, Cluj-Napoca, 2009, 243-278.
- Ciongradi *et alii* 2009 C. Ciongradi, S. Cociș, M. Illovan, C. Găzdac, *Monumentul funerar roman*, în S. Mustață, F. Gogăltan, S. Cociș, A. Ursuțiu (eds.), *Cercetări arheologice preventive la Florești-Polus Center, jud. Cluj (2007)*, Cluj-Napoca, 2009, 285-318.
- Cociș *et alii* 2010 S. Cociș, F. Fodorean, A. Ursuțiu, V. Bârcă, *Villa rustica de pe Dealul Lomb (Chinteni, Cluj-Napoca)*, în H. Pop, I. Bejinariu, S. Băcuet-Crișan, D. Băcuet-Crișan (eds.), *Identități Culturale Locale și Regionale în Context European*, Cluj-Napoca, 2010, 565-574.

- Cociș, Deac 2021 H. Cociș, D. Deac, *110. Sânmihaiu Almașului, com. Sânmihaiu Almașului, jud. Sălaj. Punct: Dealul Bogatului*, în CCA 2021, 574-579.
- Crișan 1960 I. H. Crișan, *Un depozit de unelte descoperit la Lechința de Mureș (plugul la geto-daci)*, în SCIVA 11/ 2, 1960, 285-301.
- Crișan 1994 V. Crișan, *Săpăturile arheologice de salvare de la Viștea – „Păluta”*, în AMN 31/ 1, 1994, 357-360.
- Cupcea *et alii* 2019 G. Cupcea, V. Rusu-Bolindeț, I. Petiș, C. Onofrei, *A Sanctuary of Silvanus in the Rural Territory of Napoca*, în AMN 56/1, 2019, 147-194.
- Dănilă 1974 Ș. Dănilă, *Cronica săpăturilor și sondajelor arheologice efectuate pe teritoriul județului Bistrița-Năsăud, între anii 1953-1973*, în File de Istorie 3, 1974, 454-472.
- Diaconescu 2012 A. Diaconescu, *Juc – Herghelie. O fermă în teritoriul anticei Napoca și aprovizionarea cu cai a armatei romane*, Cluj-Napoca, 2012.
- Dîscă 2019 C. Dîscă, *Situri și descoperiri de epocă romană din împrejurimile Potaissei (I). Date noi despre situl dintre hotarele satelor Rediu și Mărtinești*, în Sargetia S.N. 10, 2019, 87-103.
- Dîscă 2019a C. Dîscă, *Situri și descoperiri de epocă romană din împrejurimile Potaissei (II). Date noi și clarificări privind siturile de la Vâlcele*, în Sargetia S.N. 10, 2019, 103-133.
- Dîscă 2020 C. Dîscă, *Roman Sites and Discoveries Around Potaissa (III). New Data and Clarifications Regarding the Topography of the Sites in Aiton*, în Ziridava 34, 2020, 259-276.
- Dîscă 2022 C. Dîscă, *Perioada romană și post-romană în bazinul hidrografic al Arieșului*, teză de doctorat, Cluj-Napoca, 2022.
- Finály 1898 G. Finály, *A római telepekről a Kis-Szamos völgyében*, în ArchÉrt 18, 1898, 427-431.
- Finály 1898a G. Finály, *Két római felírat Kolozsvárról*, în ErdMúz 15/ 8, 1898, 467-470.

- Finály 1901 G. Finály, *Két római épületről Apahidán*, în *ArchÉrt* 21, 1901, 239-250.
- Finály 1904 G. Finály, *Római és pseudo-római nyomokról Kolozsvármegyében*, în *ArchÉrt* 24, 1904, 243-246.
- Fodorean 2014 F. Fodorean, *Cartografierea siturilor romane din Dacia Porolissensis. Noi descoperiri la Tureni și Aiton (jud. Cluj)*, în *ArheoVest* 2, 2014, 454-464.
- Fodorean 2014a F. Fodorean, *Mapping archaeological sites using digital cartography. Roman discoveries at the confluence of the rivers Arieș and Mureș*, în S. Cociș (ed.), *Archäologische Beiträge. Gedenkschrift zum hundertsten Geburtstag von Kurt Horedt*, Cluj-Napoca, 2014, 77-84.
- Gaiu 1999 C. Gaiu, *Așezarea daco-romană de la Stupini*, în D. Protase (ed.) *Napoca - 1880 de ani de la începutul vieții urbane*, Cluj-Napoca, 1999, 84-96.
- Glodariu, Cîmpeanu 1966 I. Glodariu, M. Cîmpeanu, *Depozitul de unelte agricole de la Dedrad (r. Regin)*, în *SCIV* 17/ 1, 1966, 19-32.
- Gooss 1876 C. Gooss, *Chronik der archäologischen Funde Siebenbürgens*, în *Auftrage des Vereins für siebenbürgische Landeskunde* 13, 1876.
- Gudea 2008 N. Gudea, *Așezări rurale în Dacia romană (106-275 p.Chr.). Schiță pentru o istorie a agriculturii și satului daco-roman*, Oradea, 2008.
- Hingley 1989 R. Hingley, *Rural Settlement in Roman Britain*, Londra, 1989.
- Laurence 1999 R. Laurence, *The Roads of Roman Italy*, Londra-New York, 1999.
- Lăzărescu *et alii* 2016 V. A. Lăzărescu, C. H. Opreanu, S. Cociș, *Așezările antice de la Suceagu-Rădaia (jud. Cluj). I. Epoca romană*, Cluj-Napoca, 2016.
- Macrea 1969 M. Macrea, *Viața în Dacia romană*, Editura Științifică, București, 1969.
- Marcu, Cupcea 2014 F. Marcu, G. Cupcea, *The archaeological cadaster of Apahida (Cluj county)*, în *AMN* 51/ 1, 2014, 75-94.
- Mitrofan 1973 I. Mitrofan, *Villae rusticae în Dacia Superioară (I)*, în *AMN* 10, 1973, 127-150.

- Mitrofan 1974 I. Mitrofan, *Villae rusticae în Dacia Superioară (II)*, în *AMN* 11, 1974, 41-59.
- Moțu 1990-1991 I. Moțu, *Așezarea rurală romană de la Aiton (jud. Cluj)*, în *AMP* 14–15, 1990–1991, 175–219.
- Mustață, Găzdac 2009 S. Mustață, C. Găzdac, *Așezarea romană*, în S. Mustață, F. Gogâltan, S. Cociș, A. Ursuțiu (eds.), *Cercetări arheologice preventive la Florești–Polus Center, jud. Cluj (2007)*, Cluj-Napoca, 2009, 223–242.
- Neugebaur 1851 J. Neugebaur, *Dacien: Aus den Ueberresten des klassischen Alterthums, mit besonderer Rücksicht auf Siebenbürgen*, Kronstadt, 1851.
- Oltean 2004 I. Oltean, *Rural settlement in Roman Dacia: some considerations*, în W.S. Hanson, I.P. Haynes (eds.), *Roman Dacia. The making of a provincial society*, în *JRA Suppl.* 56, 2004, 143-164.
- Oltean 2007 I. Oltean, *Dacia. Landscape, colonisation and romanisation*, Routledge, London & New York, 2007.
- Opreanu, Cociș 2004 C. Opreanu, S. Cociș, *Villa romană de la Viștea (Jud. Cluj)*, în *AMP* 26, 2004, 275-282.
- Popa 2001 D. Popa, *Viața rurală în Transilvania romană*, Editura Alma Mater, Sibiu, 2001.
- Popa 2002 D. Popa, *Villae, vici, pagi: așezările rurale din Dacia romană intracarpatică*, Sibiu, 2002.
- Protase 1968 D. Protase, *Observații în legătură cu așezările rurale din Dacia romană*, în *AMN* 5, 1968, 505-511.
- Protase 1980 D. Protase, *Autohtonii în Dacia. I. Dacia romană*, București, 1980.
- RepCluj 1992 I. H. Crișan, M. Bărbulescu, E. Chirilă, V. Vasiliev, I. Winkler, *Repertoriul arheologic al județului Cluj*, Cluj-Napoca, 1992.
- RepMureș 1995 V. Lazăr (ed.), *Repertoriul arheologic al județului Mureș*, Târgu Mureș, 1995.
- RepSălaj 2010 S. A. Luca, N. Gudea, *Repertoriul arheologic al județului Sălaj*, Sibiu, 2010.

- Róska 1911 M. Róska, *Rómaikori villa Dezmér határában*, în *Dolgozatok 2/ 1*, 1911, 106-108.
- Róska 1915 M. Róska, *Rómaikori villa Ajton (Kolozs vm.) határában*, în *Dolgozatok 6*, 1915, 48-50.
- Smith 1998 J. T. Smith, *Roman Villas: A Study in Social Structure*, Londra, 1998.
- Smith *et alii* 2016 A. Smith, M. Allen, T. Brindle, M. Fulford, *The Rural Settlement Of Roman Britain*, Londra, 2016.
- Székely 1969 Z. Székely, *Villa rustica romană de la Ciumăfaia*, în *Studii și comunicări. Muzeul Brukenthal 14*, Sibiu, 1969, 155-181.
- Şandru 2000 I. Şandru, *Villa rustica în cadrul structurilor socio-economice din Dacia*, în *Argessis 9*, 2000, 91-94.
- Téglás 1889 G. Téglás, *Római kőbányászat a tordai hasadék keleti torkolata felett*, în *ArchÉrt 9*, 1889, 289-295.
- Téglás 1896 I. Téglás, *Torda-aranyosmegyei régiségekről*, în *ArchÉrt 16*, 1896, 427-428.
- Téglás 1902 G. Téglás, *Újabb adalékok Dacia felírattanához (IV. közlemény)*, în *ErdMúz 19/ 8*, 1902, 394-406.
- Téglás 1906 I. Téglás, *Pusztaszentmártoni és röödi épületmaradványokról*, în *ArchÉrt 26*, 1906, 361-362.
- Téglás 1911 G. Téglás, *Neue Beiträge zur Inschriftenkunde Dakiens*, în *Klio. Beiträge zur alten Geschichte 11*, 1911, 499-510.
- TIR 2021 M. Bărbulescu, F. Gh. Fodorean, L. Nedea (eds.), *Tabula Imperii Romani – Forma Orbis Romani. Dacia*, Bucureşti, 2021.
- Torma 1865 K. Torma, *Római feliratok Erdélyből. II. Közlemény*, în *ArchKöz 5*, 1865, 12-28.
- Torma 1866 K. Torma, *Római feliratok Erdélyből*, în *ArchKöz 6*, 1866, 145-151.
- Torma 1879 K. Torma, *Neue Inschriften aus Dacien*, în *Archäologisch-epigraphische Mitteilungen aus Österreich-Ungarn 3*, 1879, 86-122.
- Torma 1880 K. Torma, *A limes dacicus felső része*, Budapest, 1880.
- Tudor 1968 D. Tudor, *Oraşe, târguri şi sate în Dacia romană*, Bucureşti, 1968.