

“BABEȘ-BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY CLUJ-NAPOCA
FACULTY OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND SECURITY STUDIES

SUMMARY
PhD THESIS

Doctoral Supervisor:
Scientific Researcher II Sergiu Gherghina

PhD Student:
IOANA CONSTANTINA IOANES

(2024)

“BABEȘ-BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY CLUJ-NAPOCA
FACULTY OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND SECURITY STUDIES

**Governmental instability and energy security in Romania: An analysis of the impact
on Black Sea concessions**
- Summary -

Doctoral Supervisor:
Scientific Researcher II Sergiu Gherghina

PhD Student:
Ioana Constantina Ioanes

(2024)

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-------------|
| <i>List of Charts</i> _____ | VI |
| <i>List of Tables</i> _____ | VII |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i> _____ | VIII |
| Introduction _____ | 1 |
| Methodology Used _____ | 3 |
| Framing the Subject in the Field of International Relations and European Studies _____ | 6 |
| Relevance of the Study and Contribution to the Literature _____ | 11 |
| Structure of the Thesis _____ | 15 |
| Chapter 1 _____ | 18 |
| Theoretical Framework _____ | 18 |
| Introduction _____ | 18 |
| Defining Key Concepts _____ | 18 |
| Governmental Instability _____ | 19 |
| Concession Contracts _____ | 23 |
| Territorial Waters _____ | 29 |
| Effects of Governmental Instability on Energy Security _____ | 35 |
| Research Niche _____ | 50 |
| Chapter 2 _____ | 54 |
| Effects of Governmental Instability on Energy Security _____ | 54 |
| Introduction _____ | 54 |
| Economic Effects of Governmental Instability _____ | 54 |
| Energy Market Volatility _____ | 55 |
| Limiting Private Direct Investments _____ | 57 |
| Energy Inefficiency and Destabilization of the Energy Balance _____ | 61 |
| Energy Poverty Phenomenon _____ | 63 |
| Limiting Exploration, Exploitation, and Production Activities of Natural Gas and Oil _____ | 65 |
| Political Effects of Governmental Instability _____ | 68 |
| Diminishing the Performance of Energy Policies at the European Union Level _____ | 68 |
| National Policies for Combating Global Warming _____ | 71 |
| Technological Effects of Governmental Instability _____ | 73 |
| Transition of the Energy Sector towards Developing Renewable Technologies _____ | 73 |
| Oil and Gas Supply Networks _____ | 76 |
| Conclusions _____ | 80 |
| Chapter 3 _____ | 81 |
| Possible Alternative Causes of Energy Insecurity _____ | 81 |
| Introduction _____ | 81 |
| Economic Instability _____ | 82 |
| Legislative Void _____ | 83 |
| Legislative Void and Limiting Private Direct Investments _____ | 84 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Legislative Void and Offshore Energy Exploitation and Production _____ | 88 |
| Non-compliant Fiscal Regime _____ | 90 |
| Divergent Strategic Interests of the Actors Participating in the Energy Market _____ | 93 |
| Intermediate Variables between Governmental Instability and Energy Security _____ | 94 |
| Analytical Framework _____ | 99 |
| Conclusions _____ | 101 |
| Chapter 4 _____ | 102 |
| Methodology _____ | 102 |
| Introduction _____ | 102 |
| Case Selection _____ | 103 |
| Types of Case Studies _____ | 106 |
| Romania as a Typical Case Study _____ | 110 |
| Data Collection Method _____ | 113 |
| Secondary Data _____ | 119 |
| Deductive Thematic Analysis _____ | 120 |
| Structural Coding _____ | 123 |
| Conclusions _____ | 125 |
| Chapter 5 _____ | 126 |
| Analysis of Romania's Energy Security _____ | 126 |
| Introduction _____ | 126 |
| Romanian Energy Sector _____ | 127 |
| Analysis of the Romanian Energy Sector between 1989-2007 _____ | 127 |
| Romania's Energy Sector between 2007-2020 _____ | 132 |
| Romania's Energy Sector after 2020 and Facing Major Crises _____ | 138 |
| An Analysis of Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters (1990–2023) _____ | 145 |
| Post-Communist Romania between Stability and Governmental Instability _____ | 156 |
| Conclusions _____ | 163 |
| Chapter 6 _____ | 165 |
| Governmental Instability and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters _ | 165 |
| Introduction _____ | 165 |
| Concession Contracts _____ | 166 |
| The Relationship between Governmental Instability and Contracts in Romanian Territorial Waters _____ | 171 |
| Governmental Instability and the Economic Dimension of the Romanian Energy System _____ | 172 |
| Governmental Instability and the Technical Dimension: Offshore Operations _____ | 181 |
| Governmental Instability and the Dimension of Energy Security _____ | 186 |
| Conclusions _____ | 190 |
| Chapter 7 _____ | 193 |
| Alternative Explanations for Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters __ | 193 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Introduction | 193 |
| Alternative Causes of Governmental Instability | 194 |
| Economic Instability | 195 |
| Legislative Instability | 197 |
| Fiscal Regime | 200 |
| The Relationship between Alternative Causes of Governmental Instability and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 202 |
| Economic Instability and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 203 |
| Legislative Instability and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 208 |
| Non-compliant Fiscal Regime and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 214 |
| Conclusions | 222 |
| Chapter 8 | 225 |
| <i>Institutional Climate and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters</i> | 225 |
| Introduction | 225 |
| Institutional Quality and Related Issues – General Elements | 225 |
| Multiple Issues | 228 |
| The Relationship between Poor Institutional Quality and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 230 |
| Conclusions | 239 |
| Conclusions | 240 |
| Main Results | 241 |
| Contribution to the Literature | 249 |
| Study Limitations | 256 |
| Future Research Directions | 258 |
| Bibliography | 260 |
| Appendices | 278 |

List of Charts

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Chart no. 1 | Types of Effects of Governmental Instability on Energy Security | 79 |
| Chart no. 2 | Alternative Causes and Intermediate Variables of Governmental Instability | 99 |
| Chart no. 3 | Analytical Framework Used in the Paper | 101 |
| Chart no. 4 | Main Effects of Governmental Instability on Offshore Concessions | 192 |
| Chart no. 5 | Representation of Responses Provided in the Interviews | 195 |
| Chart no. 6 | Relationship between Poor Institutional Quality and Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 226 |
| Chart no. 7 | Effects of Governmental Instability on Contracts Concluded in Romanian Territorial Waters | 249 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table nr. 1 | Respondents' Profile in Semi-Structured Interviews | 116 |
| Table nr. 2 | Coding Schema Used in the Study | 123 |
| Table nr. 3 | Validation of Themes through Code Verification | 124 |

List of Abbreviations

ACROPO – Competent Authority for Regulating Offshore Oil Operations in the Black Sea
ANRE – National Energy Regulatory Authority
AUR – Alliance for the Union of Romanians
BIRD – International Bank for Reconstruction and Development
BRUA – Gas Pipeline Transiting Bulgaria, Romania, Hungary, and Austria
BSOG – Black Sea Oil & Gas
CCA – Climate Change Act
CEE - Central and Eastern Europe
DBFO – Design; Build; Finance; Operate and Maintain in Public-Private Partnerships
EDG – European Green Deal
EEZ – Exclusive Economic Zone
EPZ – Ecological Protection Zone
GHGs – Greenhouse Gases
IEA – International Energy Agency
IGOs – Intergovernmental Organizations
ILC – International Law Commission
IMCZs - Integrated Coastal Management Zones
INGOs – International Non-Governmental Organizations
IRENA – International Renewable Energy Agency
LOSC - Law of the Sea Convention
MBC – Montego Bay Conference
MFIs – Multilateral Financial Institutions
NATO – North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NDPI – New Mineral Extraction Taxation System
NPM – Public Management
UN – United Nations
OPEC – Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
OSCE – Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
PFI – Private Financial Investment
PMP – People's Movement Party
PNL – National Liberal Party

PPP – Public-Private Partnership
PRO – Pro Romania
PSD – Social Democratic Party
R&D – Research and Development
RES – Renewable Energy Sources
USA – United States of America
UDMR – Democratic Alliance of Hungarians in Romania
EU – European Union
UNCLOS – United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
USSR – Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
USR – Save Romania Union
VMSB – Special Fund Payments for Oil Companies in 1994

Governmental instability is a frequently encountered characteristic in many political regimes (Lam, Zhang & Zhang, 2020; Fernandes & Magalhães, 2016). Concerns regarding the study of governmental instability stem from debates developed over time surrounding the definition of this concept (Hakimi, Boussaada & Karmani, 2022; Conrad & Golder, 2010). These contradictions have arisen both due to misconceptions equating it with political instability and difficulties in establishing the coordinates within which it can be categorized (Hakimi, Boussaada & Karmani, 2022; Conrad & Golder, 2010; Pickering & Kisangani, 2022; Walther & Hellström, 2019). Academic debates on this concept often focus on identifying and analyzing the impact that governmental instability generates on domains of strategic importance to a state or region (Escribano & Valdés, 2017).

Previous research has highlighted that governmental instability influences a country's energy sector, including its level of energy security (Azzuni & Breyer, 2017; Prontera, 2017). The stability of governance has gained strategic importance in the realm of regional and international energy security, given the effects that instability can generate (Prontera, 2017). Results have highlighted three types of effects on the energy sector: economic, political, and technological.

Economic effects revolve around the interaction between governmental instability and several defining elements for a state's energy economy, such as the energy market, private entities authorized to operate in exploration, exploitation, and energy production areas, energy demand versus supply, energy resource balance, or the phenomenon of energy poverty (Fernandez, 2007; Nikkinen et al., 2014; Magwedere & Marozva, 2023; O'Nolan & Reeves, 2018; Whitford, 2016). Based on these references, the main economic effects identified include: energy market volatility (Buchan, 2002; Fernandez, 2007; Nikkinen et al., 2014; Strigunov et al., 2022); investment blockages and discouragement of private companies in developing energy infrastructure projects (Calderón et al., 2014; Magwedere & Marozva, 2023; Müllner & Dorobantu, 2022); energy inefficiency and destabilization of the energy balance (Al-Rashed & León, 2015; Berrios & McKinney, 2017; Woudhuysen, 2012); limitations in exploration, exploitation, and production activities of natural gas and oil, and the promotion of energy poverty (Bazilian et al., 2013; Keil, 2013; Morgunova, 2020; Sovacool et al., 2013).

Political effects center around energy policies adopted at national, regional, and global levels (Erzurumlu & Gozgor, 2022; Szuleck et al., 2016). Theoretical results highlight how governmental crises and the lack of coherence among governmental factors contribute to the decreased performance or even failure of implementing both energy policies and

policies addressing global warming (Siddi & Kustova, 2021; Judge & Maltby, 2017; Goldthau & Sitter, 2020; Szuleck et al., 2016; Mansbridge, 2014). The link between governmental instability and energy policies, and the resulting repercussions, are analyzed at both national and European Union levels (Giddens, 2015; Kulin & Sevä, 2021). Two political repercussions identified include: reduced performance of energy policies and inefficiency in environmental protection policies and combating global warming (Proedrou, 2018; Hofmann & Staeger, 2019; Siddi & Kustova, 2021; Giddens, 2015; Kulin & Sevä, 2021).

Technological effects emphasize the development and operationalization of specific technologies in the energy sector, with studies showing that governmental instability increases the difficulty level of this process, or even halts the successful materialization of critical energy infrastructure projects (Nolden, 2013; Ćetković & Buzogány, 2016; Stanič & Karbuz, 2021; Öge, 2021; Kirkham, 2022; Talipova, 2022). Based on these criteria, two technological effects of governmental instability have been identified: limiting the transition of the energy sector to renewable technologies and green energy, and constraining the development of energy resource transport and distribution networks (Afifi et al., 2013; Öge, 2021; Kirkham, 2022; Skalamera, 2018; Talipova, 2022).

Despite these theoretical approaches investigating how governmental instability reflects on the energy security of a region and a state, few studies analyze the impact of governmental instability on concession contracts concluded in offshore areas of a state. Research on this topic is relevant because it exposes and argues the fundamental role that governance stability plays in the exploitation of natural resources and the development of national energy infrastructure with the involvement of concessionary entities. It highlights how the Government, as the *de jure* protector and enabler of offshore exploration and production operations of natural gas and oil, can become a source of risks to this segment of national energy in contexts of destabilization and inconsistency in policy adoption.

This thesis aims to fill this gap in the literature and analyze contracts concluded in Romanian territorial waters. The research question guiding this study is: What are the effects of governmental instability on concessions concluded in offshore areas of Romania's Black Sea?

Thesis Structure

This thesis is structured into eight chapters that capture the evolution of research on the effects of governmental instability on contracts concluded in Romanian territorial waters. The study begins with presenting the theoretical framework and defining key concepts, continues with identifying the main consequences analyzed theoretically, and concludes by substantiating, through substantial empirical evidence, how governmental instability influences offshore concessions.

Chapter 1 of this work presents the definition of the three identified key concepts, represented by governmental instability, concession contracts, and territorial waters, as defined in specialized studies (Bocse, 2020; Hakimi, Boussaada & Karmani, 2022; Pickering & Kisangani, 2022; Prontera, 2017). The chapter continues with a review and presentation of the conclusions of studies analyzing the effects of governmental instability on a state's energy security. The last section highlights the identified research niche and explains the relevance and contribution that the results of this study can bring to the specialized literature.

Chapter 2, structured into three sections, identifies and explains three categories of effects: economic, political, and technological, as resulting from previous research (Fudge et al., 2011; Lawrence, 2021; Magwedere & Marozva, 2023; Strigunov et al., 2022). Five economic effects are identified, centered around the energy market, investment flows, activities of state-owned or foreign private companies, as well as energy efficiency and balance. Political effects refer to the adoption and implementation of energy policies covering various segments including green energy, environmental protection, and CO2 emissions reduction policies. Technological effects focus on renewable technologies and the infrastructure for production, distribution, and transport of energy resources. Within this chapter, the causal mechanisms underlying the identified effects in the energy sector are also explained.

Chapter 3 analyzes a series of causes which, alternatively or cumulatively with governmental instability, lead to the generation of one or more identified economic, political, and security effects (Belenky et al., 2019; R. Heffron et al., 2021; Hilson & Haselip, 2004; Kaiser, 2022; Rădulescu & Druica, 2014). Four such causes are identified and explained, represented by economic instability, legislative vacuum, non-compliant fiscal regime, and divergent interests of state and non-state actors engaged in the energy security equation. Special attention is given to the role played by a state's institutional climate in this equation, framed as an intermediary variable catalyzing the production of effects of governmental instability and alternative causes on the energy domain (Adeyemi Afolabi et al., 2022; Kamal et al., 2023; Kwabi et al., 2023; Proedrou, 2022).

Chapter 4 presents the methodological framework, the research strategy applied to solve the empirical puzzle, and the analysis method used for processing primary and secondary data (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; George & Bennett, 2005; Gibbs, 1999; Jónasdóttir et al., 2018). The main aspects of the case selection process are presented, and the reasons for choosing Romania as a representative case study for the researched subject, relative to Central and Eastern European countries, are outlined. The chapter continues by presenting how data are collected, aiming to obtain primary data through 32 semi-structured interviews, as well as secondary data through the procurement and analysis of official documents from energy-related institutions/authorities. This chapter concludes with an explanation of the deductive thematic analysis selected as the method for processing the collected data.

Chapter 5 provides a detailed analysis of Romania's energy sector during the post-communist period, focusing on its development over the last three decades and contemporary challenges. This analysis first examines the evolution of the energy sector, the transition from independence to energy security, and the impact of international and regional dynamics on Romania's energy policies (Jonek-Kowalska, 2022; Maiorano, 2022; Radulescu et al., 2023; Zhu, Deng & Hu, 2023). The chapter continues by presenting the main contracts and agreements concluded over the last three decades, in which Romania has been and continues to be a signatory, aimed at exploiting energy resources in the Black Sea (Haar & Marinescu, 2011; Filis & Leal-Arcas, 2023; Nicuț, 2023). In the final section, the integration process of the Romanian state into the framework of Western democratic societies is highlighted, from initial attempts to form a government and conduct transparent electoral processes in 1990, to the use of democratic innovations in July 2023 (Gherghina & Marian, 2023; Gherghina & Chiru, 2013).

Chapter 6 captures the results of the deductive thematic analysis applied to the primary and secondary data obtained. This chapter focuses on the empirical testing of the relationships formed between governmental instability and contracts concluded in Romanian territorial waters. The analysis results reveal economic, technical, and security effects that nuance existing theory while also bringing a series of contributions. Economic effects resulting from the research focus on the energy segment's master plan, activities of concessionaire companies, flows of foreign direct investments, and energy infrastructure projects initiated under the auspices of the concession institution. Technical effects target the dimension of natural gas and oil exploration, exploitation, and production operations, as well as renewable technologies, while security effects center on energy resilience, energy balance, and energy efficiency.

Chapter 7 also presents the results of the deductive thematic analysis applied to the collected data and tests the empirical data on the relationships formed between governmental instability, economic instability, legislative instability, and non-compliant fiscal regimes on one hand, and energy concessions on the other. Following a structure similar to the previous chapter, this analysis captures economic, technical, and security effects that alternative causes produce on contracts concluded in Romania's energy sector.

Chapter 8 continues the analysis and presents the main findings obtained from the analysis regarding the role and impact of Romania's institutional climate in the framework containing relationships between independent variables and identified repercussions. Additionally, this chapter presents a series of complementary elements that interfere with poor institutional quality and lead to problems in energy concessions.

This study concludes by presenting the main conclusions resulting from the conducted research. Empirical elements are exposed and argued by emphasizing their contribution to specialized literature, their relevance concerning the identified research niche, the originality component conferred to the study, and the added value. Subsequently, some of the research limitations encountered in the process are presented, as well as several future research directions that can be approached based on these results.

Keywords: governmental instability, energy security, concession contracts, territorial waters, economic effects, technical consequences, semi-structured interviews, deductive thematic analysis