BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS

ACULT FOF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Domain: Cybernetics and Statistics

CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PORTALS THROUGH CONTENT RECOMMENDATION SYSTEMS

- Summary -

Scientific advisor:

Prof. Nicolae Tomai, PhD

PhD. Student:

Daniel Mican

Abstract

We can all agree that there has been an exponential growth in the amount of information we must manage on a daily basis. Portals are regarded as a solution to the information overload because they gather information from multiple sources so that it is easily accessible to users. Even if portals offer only one access point towards the information gathered from multiple sources, users may encounter difficulties in identifying and locating valuable resources. Without proper guidance, they will lose interest and leave the portal. Recommender systems have been created in order to guide the user in a personalized manner towards the resources of interest. Thus, we shall recommend to users the interesting, relevant and most quality resources. It is our opinion that recommender systems must be able to adapt to the user's needs, on the basis of identifying and harvesting the collective intelligence generated within the portal.

The essential contributions of this thesis have materialized in the development of global models which manage to identify, gather and use the collective intelligence within a portal, for the purpose of locating and recommending valuable resources. They function as personalized guidance services and have the capacity to successfully adapt to the dynamics of the collective environment changes. We thus propose two systems for the recommender of content within portals: WRS (Wise Recommender System) and WSNRS (Wise Social Network Recommender System).

WRS recommends similar content to that which the user visits during the current session. For supplying recommendations, the system uses the association rules extracted from the user's navigation sessions. The extraction of useful data takes place by default, online and in real time, using a proactive approach. One novelty element is that the proposed approach allows for the extraction of association rules for resources accessed together (frequently and occasionally). Another is the processing of navigation sessions and extraction of the association rules takes place online within a transactional process. Their storage within the database takes place incrementally, and the recommendations made by our system can be delivered to the users starting with the first page in their current surfing session. The proposed system is entirely online,

scalable and does not suffer from the "cold-start" issue, allowing for successful implementation into any portal.

WSNRS is a social recommender system that uses collective intelligence and user interactions within a portal. WSNRS calculated user trust scores allow for identifying the types of relationships that are established. According to this, the most recently published resources within social media structures are recommended. If given a favorable review, the recommended content becomes viral shortly after, traversing a multitude of social (media) structures. A second characteristic is the identification of interest groups and ranking users within social structures. Ranking implies identifying leaders and isolated users alike. The third characteristic is the automatic moderation of resources published inside a portal. The proposed approach brings benefits, as opposed to classic methods when regarding the "cold-start" and "serendipitous recommendations" issues (the supplying of recommendations which pleasantly surprise and are specifically not sought out).

Keywords: portals, Content Management Systems, Web 2.0, collective intelligence, recommendation systems, association rules, social networking

Thesis table of contents

- 1. Introduction ... 10
 - 1.1 The purpose and objectives of the thesis ... 12
 - 1.2 Description of research issues and motivations ... 15
 - 1.2.1 Portals and content management systems ... 16
 - 1.2.2 Personalization and recommendation systems ... 17
 - 1.2.3 Making a recommendation system based on association rules ... 18
 - 1.2.4 Making a recommendation system for social networks ... 19
 - 1.3 Structure and organization of the thesis ... 20
- 2. Portals, CMS, Web 2.0 and recommendation systems ... 22
 - 2.1 The concept of portals ... 22
 - 2.1.1 The benefits and advantages of implementing a portal ... 23
 - 2.1.2 Portals classification ... 25
 - 2.1.3 Portal functions ... 34
 - 2.1.4 Portal architecture ... 37
 - 2.2 Content and Content Management Systems ... 42
 - 2.2.1 Content ... 42
 - 2.2.2 Data, information, content and knowledge ... 45
 - 2.2.3 Content Management ... 48
 - 2.2.4 Content Management Systems (CMS) ... 50
 - 2.2.5 CMS architecture ... 61
 - 2.2.6 CMS vs. portals ... 71
 - 2.3 Web 2.0 and the influence in web applications development ... 73
 - 2.3.1 The evolution of Internet and web technologies ... 73
 - 2.3.2 Evolution from Web 1.0 to Web 2.0 ... 75
 - 2.3.3 Web 2.0 a new stage in the evolution of portals ... 76

2.4 The new generation of portals based on content aggregation and syndication.79

- 2.4.1 Web 2.0 portals ... 82
- 2.4.2 Technologies used in portals development ... 93
- 2.5 Content personalization and recommendation ... 106
 - 2.5.1 Collective intelligence ... 107
 - 2.5.2 Recommendation Systems ... 111
 - 2.5.3 Assessment of recommendation ... 116
- 2.6 Customizing and adapting content using web mining techniques ... 123
 - 2.6.1 Web Usage Mining ... 126
 - 2.6.2 Preprocessing log files and user sessions identification ... 129
 - 2.6.3 Association Rules ... 130

- 2.7 Evaluation the most important features of a WCMS ... 132
- 2.8 Conclusions ... 139
- 3. WRS the proposed recommendation system based on association rules ... 141
 - 3.1 Description and context of the problem ... 141
 - 3.2 Formalizing the proposed model ... 142
 - 3.3 WRS general architecture ... 144
 - 3.3.1 The collection, filtration and extraction of association rules ... 146
 - 3.3.2 The recommendation module ... 149
 - 3.4 WRS implementation issues ... 150
 - 3.4.1 Identification of robots and search engines ... 150
 - 3.4.2 Users identification and tracking ... 153
 - 3.4.3 Page identification and tracking ... 154
 - 3.4.4 Building navigation sessions ... 155
 - 3.4.5 Extracting association rules ... 156
 - 3.4.6 Preparation and delivery to the recommendations ... 158
 - 3.5 WRS running example ... 158
 - 3.6 WRS scalability evaluation ... 160
 - 3.7 Extracting association rules in WRS and comparison with other approaches.162
 - 3.8 Conclusions ... 165
- 4. WSNRS the proposed social recommendation system based on trust scores ... 167
 - 4.1 Description and context of the problem ... 168
 - 4.2 Formalizing the proposed model ... 171
 - 4.3 WSNRS architecture and implementation issues ... 173
 - 4.4 Analysis of interaction, trust and recommendation in social networks ... 175
 - 4.5 Analysis of tags dynamic of in social tagging systems ... 179

4.5.1 Context of the experiment ... 180

- 4.5.2 Description of the experiment ... 182
- 4.6 Conclusions ... 190
- 5. General conclusions and research perspectives ... 192
 - 5.1 Detailing contributions ... 194
 - 5.2 Research perspectives ... 197
- List of abbreviations ... 199

Bibliography ... 201

List of publications ... 215

Appendix ... 219

Appendix A: Comparison between WCMS features ... 219

Appendix B: Questionnaire for WCMS features analysis ... 221

Appendix C: Association rules generated by WEKA program ... 223

Summary table of contents

1. Introduction	7
1.1 Thesis' purpose and objectives	8
1.2 Describing the problems and motivations of the research	9
1.2.1 The study of portals and content management systems	9
1.2.2 The study of personalization and recommender systems	.10
1.2.3 Constructing a recommendation system based on association rules	.11
1.2.4 Constructing a recommendation system for social networks	.12
1.3 Thesis structure and organization	.13
2. Portals, CMS, Web 2.0 and recommendation systems	. 15
2.1 Conclusions	.16
3. WRS - the proposed association rules-based recommender system	. 18
3.1 Conclusions	.21
4. WSNRS - the proposed social recommendation system based on trust scores	. 23
4.1 Conclusions	.27
5. General conclusions and research perspectives	. 29
5.1 Detailing contributions	.31
5.2 Research perspectives	.34
Thesis Bibliography	. 35
List of publications	. 49

1. INTRODUCTION

Information technology and the Internet have experienced in recent years an exponential increase in the amount of information they have to process every day. The large volume of data stored in separate archives that cannot be accessed in real time and information overload reduce the effectiveness of users. They are put in the situation to channel the attention to search for information and not use them in a constructive manner to achieve key objectives. Therefore may result in a number of decisions that are taken based on incomplete or outdated information. Finding relevant information has turned into an expensive operation in terms of time and often requires checking many different system interfaces.

A solution for these problems lays in the form of portals and content management systems because they aid in eliminating the chaos which exists within businesses, corporate networks and the Internet. In order for a portal to develop, it must satisfy the needs of users as diverse as they are exigent. Thus, it is imperious that a portal be able to shape itself to diverse behavioral patterns, to have the ability to supply the information that each user needs and finds interesting. In achieving this goal, recommender systems play an essential role.

Taking into account that the user's, and the current society's in general, most frequent issue is the lack of time, a portal's survival largely depends on the manner in which its users' time is used and managed. The major contribution of recommender systems is best made evident by this, for they aim to give users access to the information they desire in the shortest time possible. Essentially, recommender systems based on users' profiles conclude that there is an increased interest for certain categories of information, so they will recommend them as being of priority.

The purpose of this thesis is the development of certain global models which would identify, gather and utilize the collective intelligence within a portal towards finding and recommending valuable resources. Thusly, we set out to identify the quality of a portal's existing content, as well as its relevance, in order to recommend the parts of most interest to each user.

1.1 THESIS' PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

The main goal of the research within this thesis is to offer concrete solutions in the context of portals' overload of existing information and to provide remedies to the problems with which classic recommender systems are faced. To achieve this goal we aim to first of all identify the content's relevance to a certain user. Secondly, we wish to identify the valuable content within a portal through calculating and attributing certain quality scores. We wish that the proposed solutions and approaches may act as a guiding service that provides personalized content and adapts to the users' needs. This way, users will be up to date with the valuable (meaning relevant and of quality) resources, whether they be recent or not, without being made to waste precious time looking for them. In the following we will detail the objectives this research aims to accomplish.

The first objective we have laid out is compiling a research to determine the most popular functions which a WCMS must encapsulate. We will make an analysis of the factors that influence the development, acquisition or implementation of an open source WCMS for the purpose of creating a portal, building a community and creating an online social network, respectively. On the basis of specialty literature, we will classify the portals existing on the market and we will outline the advantages their development and implementation brings. We will also make a parallel between portals and content management systems (CMS).

The second objective is studying the ways through which recommender systems can represent a viable solution to the problem of information overload. In this respect, we will attempt to predict, based on a vast amount of resources, those that are most relevant to a user's interests. For achieving this goal, we will employ web usage mining techniques to extract the existing association rules between the resources sought by users as a whole in the past within the same surfing session.

The third objective is identifying the quality of the content generated by the users inside social networks. We aim to identify social structures, the most trusted users, and groups of interest, leaders and isolated individuals. Towards achieving this goal, we will collect, log and analyze user interactions with each other, as well as with resources existing within the network. Besides interactions, we will identify the data sources that can be used as entry data for extracting collective intelligence.

The fourth objective is studying the opportunity to add a contextual dimension to recommender systems with the help of tags. In order to do this, we aim to develop a system that allows users to add tags to resources. We will analyze collected data to discover potential existing associations between tags, alongside their stability and evolution in time. Starting with tags and the relationships extracted based on joint association in text labeling, we aim to measure the degree of similitude between tags. Taking into account the similarities, we will analyze the opportunity to develop a recommender system that can recommend tags and resources that have been labeled using these tags.

1.2 DESCRIBING THE PROBLEMS AND MOTIVATIONS OF THE RESEARCH

In the following we will describe the problems and motivations of the research into studying portals, content management systems, recommender systems and creating a recommender system based on association rules and one designed for social networks.

1.2.1 THE STUDY OF PORTALS AND CONTENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

By analyzing the CMSs available on the market we may observe that both the offer and the offered functions are extremely diverse. This leads to a developer having a difficult decision to make when buying, developing or implementing an open source CMS [92]. Due to this assessment, the motivation to conduct a case study to determine the most popular WCMSs present in practice, along with the most important functions they must contain has arisen. We consider it important to analyze specialists' opinion regarding the factors that influence choosing a WCMS and the functions it must contain, separated into creation, management and content publishing modules respectively. Web 2.0 represents a new era in the evolution of the Internet and gives users the possibility to huddle together into communities based on common interests. The portals of the Web 2.0 generation adapt to the community's users, based on the collected collective intelligence. Capturing the collective intelligence generated by users' participation in creating new information and using it to build new certain global models that would adapt to the dynamics of environment changes represents a field of research with multiple challenges [128]. Using these models, applications will have the possibility to develop long term relationships with users through offering value and personalized experiences, motivating them to return to the online medium within adaptive applications. Therefore, we aim to identify, within a portal, the data sources which may be used as entry data within recommender models based on composing collective intelligence.

1.2.2 THE STUDY OF PERSONALIZATION AND RECOMMENDER SYSTEMS

The potential for personalization is evident to application developers who can provide improved services, as well as to final users who can satisfy their need for personalization within applications. Personalization and recommender systems have attracted the attention not only of researchers from academic communities, but also practitioners in the industry. The interest by Netflix¹ is already notorious, due to its 1.000.000\$ prize given to the "Bellkor Pragmatic Chaos" team during the "Netflix Prize"² contest organized towards improving the algorithm of recommending movies. Amazon.com has begun recommending products using a phrase that has become famous on e-commerce sites: "Clients that have bought this product also bought". YouTube recommends trending video content, popular and personalized content, taking into account the preferences and browsing history. And Facebook recommends content, potential friends or different communities that might be of interest to the user.

Even if in practice recommender systems are omnipresent, by analyzing specialty literature in the academic medium we were surprised to conclude the lack of a well defined model assembled as a recommender system. The proposed systems don't

¹ http://www.netflix.com

² http://www.netflixprize.com

simultaneously fulfil the requirements of collecting entry data, processing it and delivering online recommendations in real time. The vast majority of works provides a frame for recommender systems and briefly describes the already existing types of systems. Most of the time they are merely suggestions, of which very few have been implemented into online portals. As such, taking into account the specialty literature and the existing needs of the portals domain, the motivation to propose and offer a completely functional prototype of a recommender system that may be implemented into any portal or content management system has appeared.

1.2.3 CONSTRUCTING A RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM BASED ON ASSOCIATION RULES

Because of the fact that any portal runs on a web server, all user interactions are stored in the server's log files. As such, an immense amount of data that may be used to extract useful models for content recommendation is resulted. Personalization systems based on log file analysis imply 3 phases [103]: collecting, preparing and transforming data; discovering models and patterns and recommending content. In classic approaches, the first two phases are conducted offline by means of separate software that don't communicate with each other, and the transfer of data between the three phases is done manually. This leads to the impossibility of shaping collective intelligence and listing recommendations in real time.

Log file analysis raises a series of issues [159], especially: the existence of a high number of non-relevant recordings of the web usage mining process; difficulties in identifying users and sessions; lack of information regarding the content of sought pages; data processing is done in time, being batch and a great consumer of computational resources. Taking into account that the reactive analysis of log files is a difficult task, we have concluded that identifying proactive strategies [149] will be a challenge for us. We are also motivated to find solutions to the above problems that may be solidified through the proposal, development and implementation of a system in which the three modules communicate online, by transaction, in real time.

The classic approaches used to extract association rules are very costly in terms of computational resources and encounter great difficulties when it comes to scalability.

They imply the extraction of all the association rules possible, calculating the support and trust in each rule and eliminating those rules that don't satisfy the minimum threshold requirements. This also happens in the case study [146] in which analyzing a set of data which contained 24.717 pages and 21.914 browsing sessions took days. Establishing high thresholds [175] for support and trust increases algorithms' performance in detriment of less frequent, but potentially interesting association rules. Establishing low thresholds, however, leads to a significant rise in processing most recommender systems are capable of duration. Also, providing recommendations only after users have visited at least two pages during a browsing session.

As such, we are motivated to propose and approach that offers solutions to the aforementioned problems. We aim that it offer recommendations online and in realtime by taking into account only the first page in the browsing session, regardless of its popularity amongst visitors.

1.2.4 CONSTRUCTING A RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM FOR SOCIAL NETWORKS

The new technologies and concepts that Web 2.0 brings to web applications, together with the ever increasing expansion and reach of the Internet have lead to the emergence of a high number of communities and online social networks based on common interests. The explosive increase in popularity for online social networks has attracted the attention of hundreds of millions of users worldwide. At the same time, it has brought a new series of challenges for developers and researchers in charge of online social apps. The purpose of a social network is to help keep in touch with friends, follow certain celebrities, meet and interact with new people. This is achieved through resources shared within the network. Based on the resources shared, preferences and interactions, people come to know each other better, to know new things and people.

In a social network, content is published at a dazzling speed, and a user will find it difficult to read and keep up-to-date with all the newly published resources. The quality of these resources varies from very poor to very high, and this is reflected in

the feedback offered by the community members. In a system in which the amount of user-generated content is massive, manual moderation becomes virtually impossible. Identifying quality and recommending new resources based on gathering collective intelligence becomes a challenge. This would allow for an automated resource moderation system in which only the quality ones will be promoted and recommended to users.

1.3 THESIS STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATION

In the schematic below we will briefly present the structure of the chapters, the way in which the objectives are structured and the zone of a portal's architecture we aim to improve.



Chapter 2 presents the general frame, the theoretic concepts and the degree of knowledge. In this chapter we study portals, content management systems and content personalization and recommendation. We will also present the study on evaluating WCMSs and the importance of their functions.

Chapter 3 presents the WRS recommender system, together with the problem's description and content, model formalizing, its architecture and aspects concerning implementation. Also in this chapter, we conduct a case study concerning the evaluation of scalability and comparison of association rules extraction in WRS using different approaches.

In chapter 4 we present the description and context of social recommendations, the formalizing of the WSNRS recommender system, architecture and aspects concerning implementation. We will conduct a case study based on the data collected by the WSNRS system, towards analyzing interactions, assessing trust and recommending resources within social networks. Also in this chapter we will conduct an analysis of tag dynamics within social labeling systems in order to study the possibility of adding a contextual dimension to the WSNRS system. With the help of tags, the suggestions given inside the network could contain context as well. Towards the end of the thesis we will present conclusions, contributions and future directions in research.

2. PORTALS, CMS, WEB 2.0 AND RECOMMENDATION SYSTEMS

In this chapter we have analyzed the theoretical concepts, the degree of knowledge and the field in which we wish to contribute, by means of the present thesis. We have laid out the functions, architecture and advantages of implementing a portal, together with a parallel between portals and CMSs. In continuing this chapter, we have shown the evolution of the internet and have classified niche portals that are based on gathering and unionizing content. We have also presented the influence of Web 2.0 upon portal development and have highlighted by means of examples the most important characteristics of the utilized web technologies.

In this same chapter we have detailed the concepts of content personalization, recommendation and adapting by using web mining techniques. We have identified and described the main sources of collective intelligence, the categories of recommender systems, together with ways of evaluating recommendations. We have also highlighted the issues faced when developing recommender systems based on extracting association rules and log file processing. At the end of this chapter we've summarized the results of the research carried out in order to determine the most popular functions of a WCMS.

Considering the multitude of existing WCMSs on the market and the diverse functions they contain, we have put together a research based on the structured interview method, using the questionnaire present in Annex B as a tool. The purpose was that of determining the most popular WCMSs in use and the most important functions that they should contain.

Because WCMSs are a niche domain and the specialists developing web apps and portals based on them are hard to find, we've chosen a reduced sample of subjects, made up of 40 individuals, IT specialists with relevant knowledge and experience in the WCMS field. In this situation, we have made a prospective study which aims to gather as much information from specialists working with WCMSs as possible.



Figure 30. Influential factors in choosing a WCMS

After centralizing and analyzing the obtained data, we have reached a series of results which are detailed in section 2.7. The most important factors which influence choosing a WCMS may be observed in figure 30.

2.1 CONCLUSIONS

By analyzing the specialty literature referring to portals and CMSs, we have reached the conclusion that the border between the two is very blurry and ambiguous. The two concepts intertwine when it comes both to functionality, as well as purpose with a notable overlapping. Thus, we may say that a portal, as well as a CMS contains modules for creating, gathering and integrating data from multiple sources, together with managing and delivering them by means of a unitary interface and in a personalized manner. Therefore, it is becoming increasingly difficult to tell where a portal ends and a content management solution begins.

Based on the case study conducted earlier, we have concluded that WCMSs offer an optimal technical solution for creating collaborative online communities and social networks. The most important functions a WCMS must contain are: heightened application security, the possibility of interface and content personalization by each user, heightened usability, an easy to use interface, feeds and web services, an editor for source-code editing, the ability to import data from different formats and sources, the existence of a WYSIWYG editor and a high-performance backup function.

The findings of this chapter were published in [93, 94, 95, 98, 100].

3. WRS - THE PROPOSED ASSOCIATION RULES-BASED RECOMMENDER SYSTEM

In chapter 3 we presented WRS, the recommender system based on extracting association rules from users' browsing sessions. In the beginning we described the context of the problem and made a general formalization of the frame. We continued with presenting the system's architecture, the collecting, filtering and extraction process for association rules and recommendation, respectively. We described sub-models and the way in which they communicate with each other to solve the problematic described in Section 1.2.3 and achieve the goals described in section 1.1.

In continuing with this chapter, we've presented aspects concerning system implementation. In order to highlight them, we've detailed the method through which the system identifies and filters search engines, and to this purpose we have given examples of browsing sessions. In the following, we have exemplified the method of extracting association rules and their incremental storage in the database's tables. We have also shown the way in which the calculations for delivering the list of recommendations are done. In the ending, we have provided a few case studies concerning examples of using the system, evaluating scalability and comparing the extracted association rules to other approaches.

WRS (Wise Recommender System) is a recommender system which predicts the resources relevant to a user by taking a larger group into account. To achieve this goal, the system employs web usage mining techniques to extract association rules that exist between the resources sought out by users together, in the past, in the same browsing session. Extracting the association rules is done both for the resources frequently accessed together as well as for those accessed only on occasion. This is done without establishing constraints for support and trust. The recommendation is done by taking into account a single existing page in the user's browsing session.

Considering the architectures of personalization systems present in section 2.6.1 and their shortcomings, presented in sections 2.6.2 and 2.6.3, we propose a new architecture for recommender systems based on WUM and association rules. In the

following, we will present WRS [97], the recommender system proposed for adaptive web portals. Our approach, present in Figure 33, brings a series of improvements to classic personalization systems [102]. In the case of classic architectures based on browsing behavior analysis, the first stage is that of gathering, filtering and preprocessing log files. The next stage is that of extracting browsing patterns. Due to the large size of log files and the time it takes to process, the first two phases are conducted offline in a batch process. It is also worth noting that the two phases don't communicate with each other, and in most cases are executed by separate programs.

In the architecture put forward by us, we have innovatively integrated several sub models in order to increase adaptive ability, as well as personalization. We may observe that within our architecture we have only one module for the two stages of the classic architecture. The module for data collection, filtration and extraction of association rules contains sever sub-models that communicate with one another.

Data collection and browsing pattern extraction is done online and in real time by using a proactive approach. Because of this, a major part of the problems faced in preprocessing log files and rebuilding users' browsing sessions is resolved. One of the advantages is that a large part of recordings, like those generated by search engines, those caused by accessing image files or Java scripts are not taken into account. As such, the storage space required is reduced due to the fact that only the data relevant to the process of web usage mining in a transactional process is stored. The data extracted in this way is of high quality, complete, noiseless and without errors. Extracting data regarding browsing behavior, preferences and user activities is done by default, without the need for explicit involvement from the user in the gathering process. This is observable in sections 3.3.1 and 3.4.



Figure 33. WRS. Suggested architecture for content recommendation

Our approach is based on association rules and takes into account the frequently accessed content, as well as the one only seldom accessed. In order to be scalable, the vast majority of recommender systems eliminate the association rules with a low support from the system. This leads to the impossibility of providing recommendations when it comes to niche content accessed by only a small amount of users. Even if a certain type of content is accessed more rarely, it may still be a necessary piece of information to some users. As such, the architecture we propose allows for storing relationships established with rarely accessed content without affecting the scalability of the system, as can be seen in section 3.6. In the following we will show an example of the recommendation system's functioning, as applied on the website www.intelepciune.ro.

```
C A Swww.intelepciune.ro/wrs.php?pagina=317
11267 pagini
20672 tranzactii
24968 reguli
Recomandari pentru pagina: Proverbe carte | Suportul(317)=9.839%
Zicale despre carte
Suportul(317,118)=1.621% | Increderea(317,118)=16.47% | Suportul(118)=3.318%
Zicatori despre carti
Suportul(317,47)=1.296% | Increderea(317,47)=13.176% | Suportul(47)=2.095%
Proverbe carte 2
Suportul(317,78)=1.132% | Increderea(317,78)=11.504% | Suportul(78)=2.027%
Proverbe carte 3
Suportul(317,128)=0.987% | Increderea(317,128)=10.029% | Suportul(128)=1.388%
Proverbe carte 1
Suportul(317,132)=0.343% | Increderea(317,132)=3.491% | Suportul(132)=0.503%
Zicale despre carte 2
Suportul(317,221)=0.319% | Increderea(317,221)=3.245% | Suportul(221)=0.987%
Ai carte? ai parte; n-ai carte? n-ai parte.
Suportul(317,1123)=0.252% | Increderea(317,1123)=2.557% | Suportul(1123)=0.377%
Proverbe invatatura
Suportul(317,559)=0.223% | Increderea(317,559)=2.262% | Suportul(559)=1.069%
Zicale despre carte 3
Suportul(317,388)=0.223% | Increderea(317,388)=2.262% | Suportul(388)=0.697%
Zicale despre carte 4
Suportul(317,405)=0.189% | Increderea(317,405)=1.917% | Suportul(405)=0.489%
Recomandare generata in 0.0338 secunde
IP: 78.97.211.23 | IP Proxy: 78.97.211.23 | UPC Romania SRL | Cluj-napoca | Romania 🚺
```

Figure 40. Calculations made for delivering the list of recommendations

In figure 40 we can observe the list of recommendations delivered for the current page, together with the values calculated for support and trust. The values were calculated taking into account 11.267 visited pages and 24.968 rules generated by processing 20.672 browsing sessions. The time taken to generate the list is 0.0338 seconds. We can also see that the user is from Cluj-Napoca, using the UPC network and is behind a proxy server.

3.1 CONCLUSIONS

Considering all that's been said, we can say that WRS can easily be integrated into any portal thanks to the efficient incorporation of the three phases into a transactional process that takes place online. Collecting data on browsing behavior and user activities is done automatically, with the help of the data collection sub-module, using a proactive approach. Thanks to the fact that the sub-module communicates in real time with the one that extracts association rules, processing user sessions is done transactionally and the extracted rules are stored incrementally into the database. This allows for all the extracted association rules to be stored, which permits recommending content accessed both frequently and seldom. The fact that rules are updated after processing each browsing session leads to the system adapting quickly and efficiently to the user's browsing tendencies.

We think that our approach solves the problems met in pre-processing log files and rebuilding users' browsing sessions. Thus, the need to clean, filter and eliminate recordings which are not relevant, as well as those generated by search engines disappears. By analyzing browsing patterns and search engines, we've extracted multiple rules, on the basis of which we may identify and eliminate the sessions they initiated with precision. The data extracted thusly is high quality, complete, noiseless and without error. A decrease in required storage space is thus achieved, thanks to the fact that only data relevant to the goal is maintained in the system. Developing an integrated collection module has the added advantage of allowing metadata to be attached to the content before it is delivered to the users. Metadata can be used by all the system's sub-modules and help establish the content we wish to take into account in the recommendation process.

The findings of this chapter were published in [97, 99, 146].

4. WSNRS - THE PROPOSED SOCIAL RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM BASED ON TRUST SCORES

In this chapter, we introduced WSNRS, the recommender system based on the quantification of interactions and on gathering collective intelligence from social networks. To begin with, the context of the issue was described and a general formalization of the background was created. The architecture of the system was described next, followed by the interactions harvesting module, and respectively, the recommender system. We then described the sub modules and put forward elements related to the implementation of the system. For the remainder of the chapter, we created a case study in order to observe the way in which interactions can be quantified and gathered in view of identifying the types of relationships that are formed between users. We continued by determining the level of trust that one user offers to another user and we exemplified the way in which such relationships based on trust can be used when recommending resources within the network. At the end of the chapter we created a case study in order to analyze usage models and the dynamic of tags within systems of collaborative labeling.

WSNRS (Wise Social Network Recommender System) [96] is a social recommender system which logs, gathers and uses collective intelligence resulted from the interaction, related to content, between users, in order to calculate the trust scores between users. Using these trust scores, the most reliable users can be identified within the social structure of a network. The trust one user has in another is a piece of information which enables us to identify the resources that could be of interest. These are represented by the resources which encouraged the user to become involved. Within our system, involvement results from posting comments, adding the resource to favorites, adding notes to it, the number of clicks and shares within social media websites. The conceptual model of WSNRS can be seen in Figure 44.

We will now present the architecture of WSNRS, the chosen social recommender system [96]. The main purpose of the system is identifying and recommending valuable, recently published resources. In order to accomplish this desideratum, the system acknowledges the collective intelligence resulted from the interactions between the users within the network. Collective intelligence is gathered and quantified with the help of the data collector module. Interactions between users are managed using the "User-user interactions management module" and those between users and resources by using the "User-content interactions management module". The architecture of the system can be seen in Figure 46.



Figure 14. Conceptual model of WSNRS

In order to analyse the structure of a social network, and of interest groups respectively, we created a case study based on the data collected from within the social network developed around the inner circle of Înţelepciune.ro. The interactions that took place during the period of data collection resulted from 511 active users, from a total of 6,723 registered users. We quantified a total of 16,620 direct and indirect interactions between users or resulted from posting resources. The number of interactions resulted from adding notes, adding to favourites, recommendations, comments or clicks. 1,388 links between users resulted from analysing the interactions. 6.23% of these were clearly stated follower-type relationships, and 18.62% were implicitly deduced using the chosen algorithm. The structure of the social network, and that of interest groups, can be seen and analysed based on the relationships developed between users.



Figure 46. The architecture of WSNRS

The visualisation of the structure of the social network is shown in Figure 47, with the help of a sociogram [96]. It is illustrated by means of an oriented graph in which, the strongest links within the network can be seen. Due to limited space and in order for the graph to be intelligible, only the first 50 links were shown using arcs. Within these links, 41 nodes were involved. The graphic representation of the links and users can thus be seen and also the measure of trust developed between users. Furthermore, group structures are highlighted, which allows for identifying interest groups, leaders and isolated individuals.

Each node from the graph represents a user and the size of the node indicates the importance of each particular user within the network. The arcs between nodes highlight the links that were formed between users and they are labelled with the trust quotient which came about after using the proposed algorithm. The resulting values

were normalised in order for them to be found within the [0.00, 1.00] interval. The hierarchy of links was decided based on how close they were to the best result, which will tend towards the value of 1. If there is an explicit link between two nodes, the arc will be shaped as a straight arrow; whereas if the link is implicit, the arc will appear as an intermittent arrow.



Figure 47. Social relationships and trust levels between users

We will now look at an example in order to see how trust relationships between users can be used for recommending resources within the network. In order to receive recommendations, a user needs to be a follower, regardless of whether this was expressed explicitly or implicitly deduced. Within the current version of the recommender algorithm, the newest resources will be recommended. These will be published resources or ones that were positively marked by the users of whom the current user is a follower. In Figure 48, we can see the list of resources recommended for the user Daniel Mican [96].



Figure 48. List of recommendations for the user Daniel Mican

The proposed recommender system was implemented and can be accessed online at http://www.cenaclu.intelepciune.ro. Access to the system can be gained by creating a new user account or by using the test account created by us. The login and password for this account is WSNRS.

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

Having noted the abovementioned, we can say that trust scores between users, calculated by WSNRS, allow for identifying the types of relationships that develop. Taking into account the types of relationships that the user has within the social structures, he or she will be recommended the most recently published resources. In

order to calculate the trust scores, the interactions between users and between users and content respectively, are logged and gathered. Moreover, the collective intelligence is collected from added comments, favourited resources, noted resources, clicks and from recommending within social media websites. If a recommended resource is positively rated, it becomes viral quickly, being shared in a large number of social structures.

A second characteristic is identifying interest groups and creating a hierarchy of users within social structures. Creating a hierarchy involves the identification of leaders and of isolated users. The third characteristic is the automatic management of the resources published within a portal. This feature is particularly important within a social network due to the fact that manual management is virtually impossible. The proposed approach is more advantageous than classic approaches when it comes to the issue of "cold-start" and "serendipitous recommendations" (providing recommendations which will pleasantly surprise but which are not particularly searched for).

The findings of this chapter were published in [94, 96].

5. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES

The main goal of the researches in this thesis was that of offering concrete solutions in the context of the existing information overload in portals. In order to achieve this, we have studied, for starters, portals and the technologies that lie at the basis of their development. Through analyzing specialty literature concerning portals and CMSs, we've reached the conclusion that the border between the two is very blurry and ambiguous. The two concepts intertwine in both functions and objectives, there being a substantial overlap. Through the structured interview method, by means of the questionnaire, we have conducted a research to determine the most popular CMSs in use. The most popular functions have been studied, together with the factors that influence the development, acquisition or implementation of an open source CMS towards creating a portal, a community or an online social network. The preferences concerning certain WCMSs, programming languages together with the functionalities, divided into creation, management and publishing modules have been presented in sub-section 2.7. As such, we consider the first objective of the present thesis completed.

Next, we studied the way in which recommender systems may be used within portals in order to identify and provide relevant resources to users. More precisely, we looked for, and found two solutions for identifying and recommending valuable resources existing within a portal. The suggested approaches gather collective intelligence produced as a result of user interaction with each other and the portal's content. Accounting for the amassed collective intelligence, we have built two global models capable of identifying and supplying users with relevant content. The first model allows for recommending relevant content based on the webpage the user has open in the current browsing session. The second model takes into account the social structure the user is part of and allows for recommending quality resources that may be of interest. The two systems behave as a personalized guidance service for the user and hints to the pages he should visit next.

The first approach appears by means of the WRS recommender system. This, taking into account the association rules extracted from the user's browsing sessions, is

capable of gathering useful data by default, using a proactive approach and storing them incrementally in the database. Through the methods laid out in Section 3.4, we can uniquely identify users and the browsing sessions associated to them. As such, the extracted data are of high quality, complete, noiseless and bereft of errors, which leads to reduced storage space and execution times, due to the fact that only data relevant to the proposed goal is kept in the system. Both the gathering of useful data, as well as the extracting of association rules is done online and in real-time, thanks to the fact that the system modules communicate transactionally. For the same reason, our research allows recommending content accessed both frequently and seldom. This process is done without the need to put in place restrictions in support and trust in order to extract association rules.

Moreover, the system is capable of successfully recommending resources taking into account only one page in the user's browsing session. Thanks to this, we consider as being solved the issues raised by log file analysis and association rules extraction as presented in Section 1.2.3. In order to verify scalability, we implemented the model online within a portal in order to test it on real data. As a result of the case study presented in Section 3.6, we have concluded that the model is scalable and can be easily implemented within any portal. As such, we consider that through this approach we've managed to fulfil the second objective, as formulated in Section 1.3.

The second approach is put in place through the WSNRS social recommender system. It recommends resources accounting for member relationships and the social structures they are a part of. The proposed system is capable of logging and cohering user interaction with each other, as well as with the content. The collective intelligence is collected based on interactions produced by adding comments, favourite resources, grading them, clicks and recommendation within social media sites. By taking into account the collective intelligence extracted from user interactions, we have calculated trust scores between users. Based on them and the types of relationships established, the system may filter and recommend recently published valuable resources. The recommended resources account for the type of relationships the user has within social structures and adapt to the medium's collective dynamic.

The trust coefficients also allow for identifying the types of relationships established between users and establishing interest groups. Also with the help of the trust scores we may find the most trusted users existing within the network's social structures. The system allows for efficient identification of valuable resources and acts as an automated resource moderation tool. This is especially important in a social network because of the fact that manual moderation is practically impossible. All these statements are backed up by the case study conducted in Section 4.4. Taking the above into consideration, we believe that the proposed system successfully solves the issues concerning identifying quality and recommending new resources based on cohering collective intelligence, as stated in Section 1.2.4. As such, we believe the system manages to fulfil the third objective of the present thesis as well.

Following the case study carried out in section 4.5 regarding the possibility of creating a contextual extension by means of the WSNRS tag system we have concluded that in time, associations appear between tags. The estimated degree of similarity between tags is generally stable and may be used in tag or resource recommending. Thus, we consider the fourth objective, as formulated in section 1.3, accomplished. In the following, we will detail the main contributions of this research and will enumerate future directions in research.

5.1 DETAILING CONTRIBUTIONS

In this thesis we have offered solutions and alternatives to the problem of information overload found in portals and web applications. We have identified the sources of collective intelligence and used them to find and recommend relevant and valuable resources. We've developed global models that are able to automatically filter and moderate relevant resources. They function as personalised guiding services and have the ability to adapt successfully to the dynamics of collective environment changes. In the following we will list the main contributions of this research:

1. We have examined personalization system based on log file analysis. They contain three phases: gathering, preparing and transforming data; model and pattern discovery and content recommending. Of the three, only content recommending is done online with classic approaches. In this thesis we've

proposed, implemented and tested a scalable model in which all three phases are done online and in real-time. The model is present through a WRS recommender system which contains three modules, one for each phase, and that communicate with each other transactionally. The proposed model allows for metadata that is attached to the content in the publishing stage to be used in the process of recommendation. As such, we may restrict the content we wish to take into account in the recommending process. This advantage is absent in classic approaches.

- 2. We have examined the issues raised by log file analysis: the presence of a large amount of recordings not essential to the web usage mining process; difficulties in uniquely identifying users and sessions; the lack of information on the content of sought web pages; data processing, being a batch process, is time and resource heavy. We put forward proactive methods, materialised through a system of collecting data relevant exclusively to the web usage mining process. Therefore, there is no more need for the cleanup, filtering and elimination of irrelevant information phase, as well as those generated by search engines. We have analyzed the navigation patterns of search engines and have extracted rules, based on which we can identify and eliminate sessions they initiated. We have also put forward a technique with which we may uniquely identify each used by the proxy server IP, as well as the workstation. The date extracted in this way is high-quality, complete, without noise or error. All of the above leads to reduced storage space requirements and increasingly scalable algorithms through lowered execution times.
- 3. We've investigated the specifics of extracting association rules. This is done offline in a batch process and is a major consumer of time and computing resources. In order to be scalable, classical models eliminate a major part of extracted rules based on minimum threshold conditions on trust and support. To solve this problem, we've put forward and approach that allows the transactional and incremental extraction and storing of all association rules. Due to the fact that in our method there is no need for restrictive thresholds, both frequently and seldom accessed content may be recommended. As such, the architecture we've put forward allows for the storage of relationships made with the rarely accessed content without affecting the system's scalability.

Another plus is the fact that the system can recommend content by taking a single page into account.

- 4. We have proposed, implemented and tested an approach that allows for the identification and recommendation of valuable resources within social structures. The approach is materialised through WSNRS, the social network recommender system that manages to identify collective dynamics and successfully adapt to environment changes. This allows for identifying groups of interest, leaders and isolated users in a social network. This represents a solution to the overload of information, by means of automatic moderation of newly published resources within the social network.
- 5. We have proposed an algorithm for calculating trust scores between users by taking into account their interactions with each other as well as the published resources. In order to calculate trust scores, the resulted collective intelligence generated through user clicks, comments, grading, adding to favourites, as well as recommendations in social media of the resources, as well as user profiles has been gathered and cohered.
- 6. We've proposed a method for deducing implied adept-type relationships between social network users by using the calculated trust scores. Accounting for the implied, as well as explicit relationships formed within the network we suggested an algorithm that recommends published and appreciated resources generated by users within the social structure the user is part of.
- 7. Our approach brings improvements, as opposed to classic collaborative recommender systems and those based on content, due to the fact that it allows for resource recommendation based solely on social structure and the user's relationships. This is done without the user having to note them down. Similarity to other users is represented by the calculated trust scores, and as such, the "cold-start" and scalability issues found in CF are resolved.
- 8. We have developed and implemented a collaborative labelling system by means of tags, and based on the extracted data we've studied the possibility of developing a contextual extension to WSNRS, more precisely the recommending of labelled resource by means of tags. We have identified and used several methods of calculating the similarity between tags and have reached the conclusion that they can be used in constructing a recommender system.

The contributions offered by this thesis have been distributed through a number of ten publications of the total 19 done throughout the doctoral training period. They are indexed in international databases such as ISI, Springer, IEEE, ACM, Scopus etc. These publications have reported a number of twenty citations in international databases such as ISI, Springer, IEEE, ACM, Scopus etc. The works published with the according citations are listed in the Publications section.

5.2 RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES

In the future, we are planning to create a model of recommender system which could be used for providing content, suggesting users and delivering personalised advertising within web portals. The recommender system will collect, gather and analyse collective intelligence in view of improving each user's experience, but also of organising and presentation of the portal as a whole. The collected data will be analysed using techniques such as data mining, in order to extract models on the basis of which predictions and recommendations could be made. The system we would like to suggest is a hybrid approach which would use tags in order to create contextual profiles for users, together with collaborative filtering techniques. This will pattern similarities and relationships developed between content, users and tags, by analysing surfing behaviour, preferences and the social structure to which the user belongs.

We also aim to study the way in which trust can be transferred from user to user, and from user to resources respectively. We will continue the research from [94] in view of improving the model by integrating a recommender system using tags. We would thus be able to identify experts and reliable content catering for different interest categories. We would also like to create a hybrid by aggregation with the system, based on extracting association rules from surfing sessions, proposed in [97]. We would focus our research on suggesting a hybrid system that would aim to solve the problems that arise in classic recommender systems.

THESIS BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Aggarwal, C. C. (2011), *Social Network Data Analytics*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[2] Aggarwal, C. C. & Wang, H. (2010), *Managing and Mining Graph Data* (*Advances in Database Systems*), Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[3] Agrawal, R. & Srikant, R. (1994), Fast Algorithms for Mining Association Rules in Large Databases, *Proceedings of the 20th international Conference on Very Large Data Bases*, pp. 487-499

[4] Agrawal, R., Imieliński, T., Swami, A. (1993), Mining association rules between sets of items in large databases, *Proceedings of the 1993 ACM SIGMOD international Conference on Management of Data*, Washington, D.C., pp. 207-216

[5] Alag, S. (2008), *Collective Intelligence in Action*, Manning Publications, Greenwich, CT, USA

[6] Amatriain, X., Jaimes, A., Oliver, N., Pujol. J. M. (2011), *Data Mining Methods for Recommender Systems, Recommender Systems Handbook*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 39-72

[7] Aneja, A., Rowan, C., Brooksby, B. (2000), Corporate Portal Framework for Transforming Content Chaos on Intranets, *Intel Technology Journal*, Q1, pp. 1-7

[8] Asleson, R. & Schutta, N. T. (2005), *Foundations of Ajax (Books for Professionals by Professionals)*, Apress, Berkeley, US

[9] Baraglia, R. & Silvestri, F. (2007), Dynamic personalization of web sites without user intervention, *Commun. ACM*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 63-67

[10] Bartlang, U., (2010) Architecture and Methods for Flexible Content Management in Peer-to-Peer Systems, Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, Wiesbaden, Germany

[11] Bâtcă, A. (2011), *Criza a trecut. Urmează noua bulă: rețelele de socializare*, disponibil on-line la: http://www.evz.ro/detalii/stiri/criza-a-trecut-urmeaza-noua-bula-retelele-de-socializare-932231.html

[12] Bayir, M. A., Toroslu, I. H., Cosar, A., Fidan, G. (2009), Smart Miner: a new framework for mining large scale web usage data, *Proceedings of the 18th international Conference on World Wide Web, WWW '09. ACM*, New York, pp. 161-170

[13] Berners-lee, T. (1999), Weaving the Web: The Original Design and Ultimate Destiny of the World Wide Web by its Inventor, HarperOne, 1st edition

[14] Blumberg, R. Shaku, A. (2003), *The Problem with Unstructured Data, Information Management Magazine*, disponibil on-line la: http://www.information-management.com/issues/20030201/6287-1.html

[15] Boiko, B. (2004), Content Management Bible, Wiley, 2 edition, Indianapolis, Indiana

[16] Booth, D., Haas, H., McCabe, F., Newcomer, E., Champion, M., Ferris, C., Orchard, D. (2004), *Web Services Architecture - W3C Working Group Note 11 February 2004*, disponibil on-line la: http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-arch/

[17] Boyd, D. M. & Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship, *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, vol. 13, no. 1, article 11

[18] Breese, J. S., Heckerman, D., Kadie, C. (1998), Empirical analysis of predictive algorithms for collaborative filtering, *Proceedings of the 14th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, Madison, WI, pp. 43-52

[19] Browning, P. & Lowndes, M. (2001), JISC techwatch report: Content management systems, Technical Report TSW 01-02, Joint Information Systems Committee

[20] Catledge, L. D., Pitkow, J. E. (1995), Characterizing browsing strategies in the World-Wide Web, *Comput. Netw. ISDN Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1065-1073

[21] Ceglar, A., Roddick, J. F. (2006), Association mining, ACM Comput. Surv., vol. 38, no. 2

[22] Chein, M. & Mugnier, M. L. (2010), *Graph-based Knowledge Representation: Computational Foundations of Conceptual Graphs (Advanced Information and Knowledge Processing)*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[23] Chen, M. S., Park, J. S., Yu, P. S. (1998), Efficient data mining for path traversal patterns, *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, pp. 209-221

[24] Chow, S. W. (2007), PHP Web 2.0 Mashup Projects: Create practical mashups in PHP, grabbing and mixing data from Google Maps, Flickr, Amazon, YouTube, MSN Search, Yahoo!, Last.fm, and 411Sync.com, Packt Publishing; 1st Ed. edition, Birmingham, UK

[25] Clarke, I. & Flaherty, T. B., (2003) *Mobile portals: the development of M-commerce gateways, Mobile Commerce: Technology, theory, and Applications,* Mennecke B. E., & Strader, T. J., Eds. IGI Publishing, Hershey, PA, pp. 185-201

[26] Collins, H. (2000), Corporate Portals: Revolutionizing Information Access to Increase Productivity and Drive the Bottom Line, American Management Assoc., Inc., New York, USA

[27] Collins, H. (2003), *Enterprise Knowledge Portals*, American Management Assoc., Inc., New York, USA

[28] Cooley, R., Deshpande, M., Srivastava, J., Tan, P.N. (2000), Web usage mining: discovery and applications of usage patterns from Web data, *ACM SIGKDD Explorations*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 12-23

[29] Cooley, R., Mobasher, B., Srivastava, J. (1997), Grouping Web Page References into Transactions for Mining World Wide Web Browsing Patterns, *Proceedings of the* 1997 IEEE Knowledge and Data Engineering Exchange Workshop (KDEX '97), IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA

[30] Crane, D., Pascarello, E., James, D. (2005), *Ajax in Action, Manning Publications*; 1 edition, Greenwich, CT, USA

[31] Cross, M. & Palmer, S. (2007), *Web application vulnerabilities: detect, exploit, prevent*, Syngress, Burlington, Massachusetts, USA

[32] Curbera, F., Duftler, M., Khalaf, R., Nagy, W., Mukhi, N., Weerawarana, S. (2002), Unraveling the web services web: an introduction to SOAP, WSDL, and UDDI, *Internet Computing, IEEE*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 86–93

[33] Daily Infographic (2011), *Over-Valued Social Media Companies*, disponibil online la: http://dailyinfographic.com/over-valued-social-media-companies-infographic

[34] Dave, A., James Ellis, Suh, P., Thiemecke, D. (2003), *Content Management Systems (Tools of the Trade)*, A-Press, 1 edition, New York, NY, USA

[35] Davison, A., Burgess, S., Tatnall, A. (2008), *Internet Technologies and Business* (*3rd edition*), Data Publishing, Melbourne, Australia

[36] Ding, J., Yau, S. S. (2009), TCOM, an innovative data structure for mining association rules among infrequent items, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 290-301

[37] Douglass, R. T., Little, M., Smith, J. W. (2005), *Building Online Communities With Drupal, phpBB, and WordPress*, Apress, New York, NY, USA

[38] Doulgeraki, C., Antona, M., Balafa, K., Stephanidis C. (2007), *Accessible Personalized Portals, Encyclopedia of Portal Technologies and Applications*, Tatnall, A., IGI Global, pp. 12-19

[39] Downing, D. A., Covington, M. A., Covington, M. M., Covington C. A. (2009), *Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms*. 10th. Barron's Educational Series Inc.

[40] Ebersbach, A., Glaser, M., Heigl, R., Warta, A., Adelung, A., Dueck, G. (2008), *Wiki: Web Collaboration*, Springer-Verlag; 2nd edition, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[41] Eboueya M. & Uden L. (2007), *Benefits and Limitations of Portals, Encyclopedia of Portal Technologies and Applications*, Tatnall, A., IGI Global

[42] Eirinaki, M., Vazirgiannis, M., Varlamis, I. (2003), SEWeP: using site semantics and a taxonomy to enhance the Web personalization process, *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM SIGKDD international Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '03, ACM*, New York, NY, pp. 99-108

[43] Feiler, J. (2007), *How to Do Everything with Web 2.0 Mashups*, McGraw-Hill Osborne Media

[44] Fielding, R. T. (2000), Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures, Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Irvine.

[45] Finkelstein, E. (2005), *Syndicating Web Sites with RSS Feeds For Dummies*, Wiley Publishing Inc.; 1 edition, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

[46] Firestone, J. M. (2002), *Enterprise Information Portals and Knowledge Management*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Newton, MA, USA

[47] Flynn, N. (2006), Blog Rules: A Business Guide to Managing Policy, Public Relations, and Legal Issues, AMACOM, New York, USA

[48] Fogie, S., Grossman, J., Hansen, R., Rager, A., Petkov, P. D. (2007), XSS Attacks: Cross Site Scripting Exploits and Defense, Syngress, Burlington, Massachusetts, USA

[49] Forquer, B., Jelinski, P., Jenkins, T. (2005), *Enterprise Content Management Solutions: What You Need to Know*, Open Text Corporation

[50] Freeman, L. C. (1979), Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification, *Social Networks*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 215–239

[51] Golder, S. A. & Huberman, B. A. (2006), Usage patterns of collaborative tagging systems, *Journal of Information Science*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 198-208

[52] Gorunescu, F. (2007), *Data Mining - concepte, modele și tehnici*, Editura Albastra, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

[53] Groh, G. & Ehmig, C. (2007), Recommendations in taste related domains: collaborative filtering vs.social filtering. *In: GROUP '07: Proceedings of the 2007 international ACM conference on Supporting group work, ACM*, New York, pp. 127-136

[54] Gudgin, M., Hadley, M., Mendelsohn, N., Moreau, J. J., Nielsen, H. F., Karmarkar, A., Lafon, Y. (2007), *SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework* (*Second Edition*) - *W3C Recommendation 27 April 2007*, disponibil on-line la: http://www.w3.org/TR/soap12-part1/

[55] Guenther, K. (2001), What is a Web content management solution? *Online*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 81-84

[56] Gurage, A. (2002), Corporate Portals Empowered with XML and Web Services, Digital Press, Newton, USA

[57] Hackos, J. T. (2002), *Content Management for Dynamic Web Delivery*, Wiley, 1st edition, Indianapolis, Indiana

[58] Halpin, H., Robu, V., Shepherd, H. (2007), The complex dynamics of collaborative tagging, *WWW '07: Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web: ACM*, New York, NY, USA, pp. 211-220

[59] Hammersley, B. (2005), *Developing Feeds with RSS and Atom*, O'Reilly Media; 1 edition, Sebastopol, Canada

[60] Han, J., Cheng, H., Xin, D., Yan, X. (2007), Frequent pattern mining: current status and future directions, *Data Min. Knowl. Discov.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 55-86

[61] Han, J., Kamber, M., Pei, J. (2011), *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques (3rd ed.)*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA

[62] Hang, C.W. & Singh, M.P. (2010), Trust-Based Recommendation Based on Graph Similarity. *In: The 13th AAMAS Workshop on Trust in Agent Societies (Trust)*

[63] Hasanali, F. & Leavitt, P. (2003), *Content Management: A Guide for Your Journey to Knowledge Management Best Practices*, American Productivity & Quality Center

[64] Hayman, S. & Lothian, N. (2007), Taxonomy Directed Folksonomy, *Proceedings of the World Library and Information Congress: 73rd IFLA General Conference and Council*, Durban, South Africa

[65] Herlocker, J. L., Konstan, J. A., Terveen, L. G., John T. Riedl, J. T. (2004), Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems, *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, vol 22, no. 1, pp. 5-53

[66] Hintikka, K. A. (2008) Web 2.0 and the collective intelligence. In Proceedings of the 12th international conference on Entertainment and media in the ubiquitous era (MindTrek '08). ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 163-166

[67] Hoegg, R., Martignoni, R., Meckel, M., Stanoevska-Slabeva, K. (2006), Overview of business models for Web 2.0 communities, *Proceedings of GeNeMe*, Dresden, pp. 23–37

[68] Hogg, T. (2010), Inferring preference correlations from social networks, J. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 29-37

[69] IEEE (2007), *IEEE Professional Communication Society Newsletter*, ISSN 1539-3593, vol. 51, no. 2

[70] Institutul de Lingvistică din București (1984), *Dicționarul explicativ al limbii române*, Editura Academiei, România

[71] Jafari, A. (2003), *Educational portal white paper, Designing Portals: Opportunities and Challenges*, Jafari, A. & Sheehan, M., Eds. IGI Publishing, Hershey, PA, pp. 270-290.

[72] Jannach, D., Zanker, M, Felfernig, A., Friedrich, G. (2010), *Recommender Systems: An Introduction (1st ed.)*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA

[73] Jeffrey F. Rayport (2009), Social Networks Are the New Web Portals, BusinessWeek January 21, 2009, disponibil on-line la: http://www.businessweek.com/technology/content/jan2009/tc20090121_557202.htm

[74] Johnson, D. (2006), *RSS and Atom in Action: Web 2.0 Building Blocks*, Manning Publications, Greenwich, USA

[75] Kalof, L., Dan, A. (2008), *Essentials of Social Research*, Open University Press, 1 edition, Glasgow, UK

[76] Kampffmeyer, U., Hammerschmidt, F., Kirschner, S. K., Kirschner, R. H., Gradmann, S. (2006), *ECM Enterprise Content Management*, Project Consult

[77] Kathuria, G., (2006) Web Content Management with Documentum: Setup, Design, Develop, and Deploy Documentum Applications, Packt Publishing, Birmingham, UK

[78] Kazienko P. & Kolodziejski, P. (2006), Personalized integration of recommendation methods for e-commerce, *International Journal of Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp.12-26.

[79] Keller, P. (2005), *Tags: Database schemas*, disponibil on-line la: http://www.pui.ch/phred/archives/2005/04/tags-database-schemas.html

[80] Kim, H.N, Ji, A.T., Jo, G.S. (2006), Enhanced Prediction Algorithm for Itembased Collaborative Filtering Recommendation. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, *Vol. 4082*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 85-90

[81] Knoke, D. & Yang S. (2008), *Social Network Analysis (Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences)*, Sage Publications, California, USA

[82] Konstas, I., Stathopoulos, V., Jose, J.M. (2009), On social networks and collaborative recommendation. *In Proceedings of the 32nd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval (SIGIR '09), ACM*, New York, pp. 195-202

[83] Kosala, R. & Blockeel, H. (2000), Web mining research: A survey, ACM SIGKDD Explorations, vol. 2, no.1, pp. 1-15

[84] Krohn, T., Kindsmüller, M. C., Herczeg, M. (2008), myPIM: A Graphical Information Management System for Web Resources, *In Proceedings of the 3rd*

International Conference on the Pragmatic Web: Innovating the Interactive Society (ICPW '08), ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 3-12

[85] Levene, M. (2006), An Introduction to Search Engines and Web Navigation, Addison-Wesley, Harlow, U.K

[86] Liu, B. (2007), Web Data Mining Exploring Hyperlinks, Contents, and Usage Data, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg

[87] Lops, P., Gemmis, M., Semeraro, G. (2011), *Content-based Recommender Systems: State of the Art and Trends, Recommender Systems Handbook*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 73-105

[88] Malone T. W. (2006) *What is collective intelligence and what will we do about it?*, disponibil on-line la: http://cci.mit.edu/about/MaloneLaunchRemarks.html

[89] Manning, C. D., Raghavan, P., Schütze, H. (2008), *Introduction to Information Retrieval*, Cambridge University Press; 1 edition, New York, USA

[90] Marlow, C., Naaman, M., Boyd, D., Davis, M. (2006), Position paper, tagging, taxonomy, flickr, article, toread, *Collaborative Web Tagging Workshop, at WWW2006*, Edinburgh, Scotland.

[91] Maruyama, K., Matsushita, M., Yamamoto, S. (2006), Japanese Workshop on Leveraging Web2.0 Technologies in Software Development Environments (WebSDE). In Proceedings of the 21st IEEE/ACM international Conference on Automated Software Engineering, pp. 377

[92] Mehta, N. (2009), *Choosing an Open Source CMS: Beginner's Guide*, Packt Publishing, Birmingham, Mumbai

[93] Mican, D. & Tomai, N. (2009), Web 2.0 as a New Vision of Web-Based Applications, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai. Informatica, Special Issue 2009*, pp. 39 - 42

[94] Mican, D. & Tomai, N. (2010), Web 2.0 and Collaborative Tagging, *Proceedings* of the 2010 Fifth International Conference on Internet and Web Applications and Services, ICIW 2010, IEEE Press, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 519-524

[95] Mican, D., Bologa, C. S., Muresan, A. M. (2008), Optimized Advertising Content Delivery, *Annals Of The Tiberiu Popoviciu Seminar of Functional Equations*, *Approximation And Convexity*, vol. 6 no.1, pp. 230 – 240

[96] Mican, D., Mocean, L., Tomai, N. (2012), Building a Social Recommender System by Harvesting Social Relationships and Trust Scores between Users, *In Business Information Systems Workshops, LNBIP, Volume 127, Part 1*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 1-12

[97] Mican, D. & Nicolae Tomai, N. (2010) Association-rules-based recommender system for personalization in adaptive web-based applications, *Proceedings of the*

10th international conference on Current trends in web engineering (ICWE'10), Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 85-90

[98] Mican, D. & Rusu, M. L. (2006), Content Management System for Academic Management, InfoBusiness 2006, *Proceedings of International Conference on Business Information Systems*, Editura Universitatii Alexandru Ioan Cuza Iasi, pp. 342-348

[99] Mican, D. & Sitar-Taut, D. A. (2009), Preprocessing and Content / Navigational Pages Identification as Premises for an Extended Web Usage Mining Model Development, *Informatica Economica/Economy Informatics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp.168 - 179

[100] Mican, D., Tomai, N., Coros, I. R. (2009), Web Content Management Systems, a Collaborative Environment in the Information Society, *Informatica Economica/Economy Informatics*, vol. 13, no. 2/2009, pp.20-31

[101] Mills D. (2008), Project10X's Semantic Wave 2008 Report: Industry Roadmap to Web 3.0 & Multibillion Dollar Market Opportunities, disponibil on-line la: www.project10x.com

[102] Mobasher, B., Cooley, R., Srivastava, J. (2000). Automatic personalization based on Web usage mining, *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 142-151

[103] Mobasher, B., Dai, H., Luo, T., Nakagawa, M. (2001) Effective personalization based on association rule discovery from web usage data, *Proceedings of the 3rd international workshop on Web information and data management (WIDM '01).* ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 9-15

[104] Moreno J. L. (1977), Who Shall Survive?: Foundations of Sociometry, Group Psychotherapy, and Sociodrama, Beacon House, New York, USA

[105] Munkvold, B. E., Päivärinta, T., Hodne, A. K., Stangeland, E. (2006), Contemporary issues of enterprise content management: the case of Statoil, *Scand. J. Information Systems*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 69-100

[106] Nakano, R., (2001) *Web Content Management: A Collaborative Approach*, Addison-Wesley Professional

[107] Newcomer, E. (2002), *Understanding Web Services: XML, WSDL, SOAP, and UDDI*, Addison-Wesley Professional; 1 edition

[108] Nonaka, I. (1994), A Dynamic Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation, *Organization Science*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 14-37

[109] Nooy, W., Mrvar, A., Batagelj, V. (2005), *Exploratory Social Network Analysis with Pajek (Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences)*, Cambridge University Press, New York, USA

[110] Nordheim S. & Paivarinta T. (2004), Customization of Enterprise Content Management Systems: An Exploratory Case Study, *Proceedings of the 37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'04), Track 4, Vol. 4. IEEE Computer Society*, Washington, DC, USA

[111] O'Reilly, T. (2006). What is Web 2.0: Design Patterns and Business Models for the Next Generation of Software. O'Reilly website, 30th September 2005. O'Reilly Media Inc., disponibil on-line la: www.oreillynet.com/lpt/a/6228

[112] Olmo, F. H. & Gaudioso, E. (2008), Evaluation of recommender systems: A new approach, *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 790-804

[113] Oracle, BEA WebLogic Platform 8.1 Online Documentation, disponibil on-line la: http://download.oracle.com/docs/cd/E13218_01/wlp/docs81

[114] Paivarinta, T. & Munkvold, B. E. (2005), Enterprise Content Management: An Integrated Perspective on Information Management, *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 38th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'05), Track 4, Vol. 4. IEEE Computer Society*, Washington, DC, USA

[115] Pal, S. K., Talwar, V., Mitra, P. (2002). Web mining in soft computing framework: Relevance, state of the art and future directions, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol.13, no. 5, pp. 1163-1177

[116] Pallis, G., Zeinalipour, D., Dikaiakos, M. D. (2011), *Online Social Networks: Status and Trends, Web Data Management Trails*, Jain, L. and Vakali, A., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[117] Pattal, M. M. I., Li, M., Zeng, J. (2009), Web 3.0: A Real Personal Web! More Opportunities and More Threats, *In Proceedings of the 2009 Third International Conference on Next Generation Mobile Applications, Services and Technologies (NGMAST '09), IEEE Computer Society*, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 125-128

[118] Pearl Pu, Li Chen, Rong Hu. (2011), A user-centric evaluation framework for recommender systems. *In Proceedings of the fifth ACM conference on Recommender systems (RecSys '11). ACM*, New York, NY, USA, pp. 157-164

[119] Perkowitz, M. & Etzioni, O. (1997), Adaptive sites: Automatically learning from user access patterns, *Proceedings of the Sixth International WWW Conference*, Santa Clara, CA

[120] Perkowitz, M. & Etzioni, O. (1998) Adaptive Web sites: automatically synthesizing Web pages, *Proceedings of the Fifteenth National/Tenth Conference on Artificial intelligence/innovative Applications of Artificial intelligence (Madison, Wisconsin, United States). American Association for Artificial Intelligence*, Menlo Park, CA, pp. 727-732

[121] Perry, B. W. (2006), *Ajax Hacks: Tips & Tools for Creating Responsive Web Sites*, O'Reilly Media; 1 edition, Sebastopol, Canada

[122] Peters, I. (2009), *Folksonomies. Indexing and Retrieval in Web 2.0 (Knowledge and Information)*, De Gruyter; 1 edition, Berlin, Germany

[123] Petruşel, R., Mican, D. (2010), Mining Decision Activity Logs, Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, 1, Volume 57, *Business Information Systems Workshops, Part 1*, Berlin, Germania, Springer Verlag, pp. 67-79

[124] Petruşel, R., Vanderfeesten, I., Dolean, C.C., Mican, D. (2011), Making Decision Process Knowledge Explicit Using the Decision Data Model, *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, Volume 87, Business Information Systems, Part 5*, Poznan, Poland, Springer Verlag, pp. 172-184

[125] Pour, M. K. (2006), *Encyclopedia of E-commerce, E-government and Mobile Commerce*, Idea Group Publishing

[126] Ragetli, J. (2004), Methods and Tools for Managing Library Web Content, Content and Workflow Management for Library Websites: Case Studies, Yu, H., Information Science Publishing

[127] Ramezani, M., Bergman, L., Thompson, R., Burke, R., Mobasher, B. (2008), Selecting and Applying Recommendation Technology. *In: Proceedings of International Workshop on Recommendation and Collaboration, in Conjunction with* 2008 International ACM Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces (IUI 2008), Canaria, Canary Islands, Spain

[128] Ramos, V., Fernandes, C. & Rosa, A. (2005), Social Cognitive Maps, Swarm Collective Perception and Distributed Search on Dynamic Landscapes, *Journal of New Media in Neural and Cognitive Science*, Germany

[129] Raol, J. M., Koong, K. S., Liu, L. C., Yu, C. S. (2002), An identification and classification of enterprise portal functions and features, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, vol. 102, pp. 390-399

[130] Reidy, K. (2003), Portals & Content Management Systems: Have Two Markets Become One?, Bluebill Advisors, Inc. 763 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA, vol. 11, no. 3

[131] Renée C. van der Hulst (2008), *Introduction to Social Network Analysis (SNA)* as an investigative tool, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[132] Richards, R. (2006), *Pro PHP XML and Web Services*, Apress; 1 edition, Apress, Berkeley, US

[133] Rockley, A., Kostur, P., Manning, S., (2002) *Managing enterprise content: a unified content strategy*, New Riders Press, 1 edition

[134] Russell M. A. (2011), *Mining the Social Web: Analyzing Data from Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, and Other Social Media Sites*, O'Reilly Media; 1 edition, Sebastopol, Canada

[135] Rusu, M. L., Mican, D. (2007), Management Prototype For Sharing Knowledge Resources, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai. Mathematica*, no. 2 / 2007, pp. 234 – 242

[136] Sagheb-Tehrani, M. (2007), Some steps towards implementing E-government, *SIGCAS Comput. Soc.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 22-29

[137] Sarwar, B., Karypis, G., Konstan, J., Reidl, J. (2001), Item-based collaborative filtering recommendation algorithms, *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on World Wide Web (WWW '01), ACM*, New York, NY, USA, pp.285-295

[138] Scholl, H. J. (2009), Profiling the EG Research Community and Its Core, *Proceedings of the 8th international Conference on Electronic Government, Wimmer, M. A., Scholl, H. J., Janssen M., Traunmüller, R., Eds. Lecture Notes In Computer Science*, vol. 5693. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp.1-12

[139] Searle, I. (2004), Portals in Large Enterprises, Web Portals: the New Gateways to Internet Information and Services, Tatnall, A. IGI Publishing, Hershey, pp. 119-171

[140] Segaran, T. (2007), *Programming Collective Intelligence Building Smart Web* 2.0 Applications, O'Reilly Media, Sebastopol, Canada

[141] Shani, G. & Gunawardana, A. (2009), *Evaluating Recommender Systems, Microsoft Research*, TechReport no. MSR-TR-2009-159

[142] Shariff, M. (2007), Alfresco Enterprise Content Management Implementation: How to Install, use, and customize this powerful, free, Open Source Java-based Enterprise CMS, Packt Publishing

[143] Sheffield, R. (2010), *The Web Content Strategist's Bible: The Complete Guide To A New And Lucrative Career For Writers Of All Kinds*, CLUEfox Publishing

[144] Shelly, G. B. & Frydenberg M. (2010), Web 2.0: Concepts and Applications, Course Technology, Boston, MA, USA

[145] Sinha, R.R. & Swearingen, K. (2001), Comparing recommendations made by online systems and friends. *In: DELOS Workshop: Personalisation and Recommender Systems in Digital Libraries*

[146] Sitar-Taut, D. A. & Mican, D. (2010), Offline web mining analysis on various site types using classical algorithms, *Journal of Applied Computer Science & Mathematics*, vol. 7, pp. 9-13

[147] Smith, H. A., McKeen, J. D. (2003), Developments in Practice VIII: Enterprise Content Management, *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, vol. 11, pp. 647-659

[148] Sobel, J. (2010), *HOW: Technology, Traffic and Revenue*, disponibil on-line la: http://technorati.com/blogging/article/how-technology-traffic-and-revenue-day

[149] Spiliopoulou, M., Mobasher, B., Berendt, B., Nakagawa, M. (2003), A Framework for the Evaluation of Session Reconstruction Heuristics in Web-Usage Analysis, *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 171-190

[150] Stuttard, D. & Pinto, M. (2007), *The Web Application Hacker's Handbook: Discovering and Exploiting Security Flaws*, Wiley, Indianapolis, Indiana

[151] Tang, L. & Liu, H. (2010), Graph Mining Applications to Social Network Analysis, Managing and Mining Graph Data (Advances in Database Systems), Aggarwal, C. C. and Wang, H., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[152] Tatnall, A. (2004), Portals, Portals Everywhere, Web Portals: the New Gateways to Internet Information and Services, Tatnall, A., IGI Publishing, Hershey, PA, USA, pp. 1-14

[153] Tatnall, A. (2007), Encyclopedia of Portal Technologies and Applications, IGI Global

[154] Tintarev, N. & Masthoff, J. (2007), A Survey of Explanations in Recommender Systems, *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Data Engineering Workshop (ICDEW '07), IEEE Computer Society*, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 801-810

[155] Toorani, M., & Beheshti Shirazi, A. (2008), LPKI - a lightweight public key infrastructure for the mobile environments, *Proceedings of the 11th IEEE International Conference on Communication Systems (IEEE ICCS'08)*, pp. 162-166

[156] Treude, M., Storey, M-A., Deursen, A., Begel, A., Black S. (2011), Second international workshop on web 2.0 for software engineering: (Web2SE 2011). *In Proceeding of the 33rd international conference on Software engineering (ICSE '11), ACM*, New York, NY, USA, pp. 1222-1223

[157] Ulrich, A., Thomas, M., Thomas P. (2004), *Professional Content Management Systems: Handling Digital Media Assets*, John Wiley & Sons

[158] Vakali, A. & Jain L. C. (2011), New Directions in Web Data Management 1 (Studies in Computational Intelligence), Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany

[159] Vakali, A., Pallis, G. (2007) Web Data Management Practices: Emerging Techniques and Technologies, Idea Group Publishing

[160] Vossen, G., Hagemann, S. (2007), *Unleashing Web.2.0*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Burlington, MA, USA

[161] Walter, F.E., Battiston, S., Schweitzer, F. (2008), A model of a trust-based recommendation system on a social network. *J. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 57-74

[162] Warren, R. (2001), Information architects and their central role in content management. *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 14-17

[163] Wasserman, S. & Faust, K. (1994), Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications (Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences), Cambridge University Press, New York, USA

[164] Webopedia: Online Computer Dictionary for Computer and Internet Terms and Definitions, disponibil on-line la: http://www.webopedia.com

[165] West, J. A. & West, M. L. (2008), Using Wikis for Online Collaboration: The Power of the Read-Write Web, Jossey-Bass; 1 edition, Wiley Imprint, San Francisco, USA

[166] White, C. (2003), *Is the portal dead? Information Management Magazine*, disponibil la: http://www.information-management.com/issues/20030701/6959-1.html

[167] Witten, I. H., & Frank, E. (2005), *Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques*, Second Edition, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA

[168] Wojtkowski, W. & Major, M. (2004), On Portals: A Parsimonious Approach, Web Portals: the New Gateways to Internet Information and Services, Tatnall, A., IGI Publishing, pp. 15-39

[169] Wu, H., Zubair, M., Maly, K. (2006), Harvesting social knowledge from folksonomies, *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Conference on Hypertext and Hypermedia (Odense, Denmark, August 22 - 25, 2006). HYPERTEXT '06. ACM*, New York, NY, pp. 111-114

[170] Xu, S., Bao, S., Fei, B., Su, Z., Yu, Y. (2008), Exploring folksonomy for personalized search, *Proceedings of the 31st Annual international ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in information Retrieval (Singapore, Singapore, July 20 - 24, 2008). SIGIR '08. ACM*, New York, NY, pp. 155-162

[171] Yee, R. (2008), Pro Web 2.0 Mashups: Remixing Data and Web Services (Expert's Voice in Web Development), Apress; 1 edition, Apress, Berkeley, US

[172] Yu, H. (2004), Library Web Content Management: Needs and Challenges, Content and Workflow Management for Library Websites: Case Studies, Yu, H., Information Science Publishing

[173] Zakas, N. C., McPeak, J., Fawcett J. (2007), *Professional Ajax, 2nd Edition* (*Programmer to Programmer)*, Wrox; 2 edition, Wiley Publishing Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

[174] Zhao, S., Du, N., Nauerz, A., Zhang, X., Yuan, Q., Fu, R. (2008), Improved recommendation based on collaborative tagging behaviors, *Proceedings of the 13th*

international conference on Intelligent user interfaces, New York, NY, USA: ACM, pp. 413-416

[175] Zhou, L., Yau, S. (2007), Efficient association rule mining among both frequent and infrequent items, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 737-749

[176] Zhu, J., Hong, J., Hughes, J. G. (2002), Using Markov chains for Link Prediction in Adaptive Web Sites, *Proceedings of Software, Springer Verlag, LNCS 2311*, pp. 60-73

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Mican, D., Mocean, L., Tomai, N. (2012), Building a Social Recommender System by Harvesting Social Relationships and Trust Scores between Users, *In Business Information Systems Workshops, LNBIP, Volume 127, Part 1*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 1-12

2. Mican, D. & Nicolae Tomai, N. (2010), Association-rules-based recommender system for personalization in adaptive web-based applications, *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Current trends in web engineering (ICWE'10), LNCS, Volume 6385*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 85-90, citata de:

2.1 Malski., M. (2011), A method for web-based user interface recommendation using collective knowledge and multi-attribute structures. *In Proceedings of the Third international conference on Computational collective intelligence: technologies and applications - Volume Part I (ICCCI'11)*, Piotr Jedrzejowicz, Ngoc Thanh Nguyen, and Kiem Hoang (Eds.), Vol. Part I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 346-355

2.2 Amini, B., Ibrahim, R., Othman, M.S. (2011), Discovering The Impact of Knowledge In Recommender Systems: A Comparative Study, *International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSES)*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1-14

2.3 Nigam, B, Jain, S., Tokekar, S. (2012), Mining Association Rules from Web Logs by Incorporating Structural Knowledge of Website. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, Published by Foundation of Computer Science, New York, USA, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 17-23

2.4 Suneetha, K. & Usha Rani M. (2012), Web Page Recommendation Approach Using Weighted Sequential Patterns And Markov Model, *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, vol. 12, no. 9

3. Mican, D. & Tomai, N. (2010), Web 2.0 and Collaborative Tagging, *Proceedings* of the 2010 Fifth International Conference on Internet and Web Applications and Services, ICIW 2010, IEEE Press 2010, pp. 519-524, citata de:

3.1 Franka Moritz, Maria Siebert, and Christoph Meinel. (2011), Improving search in tele-lecturing: using folksonomies as trigger to query semantic datasets to extract additional metadata. *In Proceedings of the International Conference on Web Intelligence, Mining and Semantics (WIMS '11)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA

3.2 Jian Tian, Kening Gao, Yin Zhang, and Bin Zhang (2011), Improving Search by Extending Tags According to Recommendation Level and Combinations of Types. *In Proceedings of the 2011 Seventh International Conference on Semantics, Knowledge and Grids (SKG '11).* IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 36-43

3.3 Franka Gruenewald, Maria Siebert, Christoph Meinel (2011), Leveraging Social Web Functionalities in Tele-Teaching Platforms. *In International Journal for Digital Society*, vol. 2, no. 3

3.4. Franka Moritz, Maria Siebert, Christoph Meinel (2011), Community Tagging in Tele-Teaching Environments. *In 2nd International Conference on e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and E-Learning*, Mumbai, India

4. Mican, D., Tomai, N., Coros, I. R. (2009), Web Content Management Systems, a Collaborative Environment in the Information Society, *Informatica Economica / Economy Informatics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp.20-31, indexat Proquest, citata de:

4.1 Impedovo, D. et al. (2011), "Integrated Virtual Environments for Collaborative Real-Time Activities: the Co.S.M.O.S. prototype", *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society*, vol. 7, no.2, pp. 59-68

4.2 Riechert, M (2011), *Macht Web 2.0 das eigene Web CMS überflüssig?*, Grin Verlag GmbH (Mai 2011), ISBN 364091242X, München, Deutschland

4.3 Murphy, A. (2010) Usability in Open Source Web Content Management Systems as experienced by non-specialist small business users, Unpublished master's thesis, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland

4.4 Marinescu, I. A., Radut, V. (2010), Dezvoltarea și administrarea aplicațiilor web folosind instrumente software "open source" de "Content Management System". Studiu comparativ între sistemele JOOMLA și CMS made simple, *RRIA*: vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 53-70

4.5 Marinescu, I. A. (2010), Dezvoltare și administrarea website-ului prototip CERT.ro printr-un instrument software de content management bazat pe proiectul open source - JOOMLA, *RRIA*: vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 71-84

5. Mican, D., Sitar-Taut, D. A. (2009), Preprocessing and Content / Navigational Pages Identification as Premises for an Extended Web Usage Mining Model Development, *Informatica Economica/Economy Informatics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp.168-179, indexat Proquest, citata de:

5.1 Jose, J., Sojan Lal, P. (2013), Extracting Extended Web Logs to Identify the Origin of Visits and Search Keywords, *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, Volume 182, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 435-441

5.2 Jose, J., Sojan Lal, P. (2012), Analysis of the sequence length of visitors from the entry point and their repeated visits, *in Proceedings of the International Conference Data Science & Engineering (ICDSE)*, IEEE Press, pp. 109-112

5.3 Jose, J., Sojan Lal, P. (2012), An Indiscernibility Approach for Pre processing of Web Log Files, *International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, IRNet, Trivandrum, pp.39-43

6. Mican, D. and Tomai, N. (2009), Web 2.0 as a New Vision of Web-Based Applications, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai. Informatica, Special Issue 2009*, pp. 39-42

7. Mican, D., Bologa, C. S., Muresan, A. M. (2008), Optimized Advertising Content Delivery, *Annals Of The Tiberiu Popoviciu Seminar of Functional Equations, Approximation And Convexity*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 230-240

8. Mican, D., Rusu, M. L. (2006), Content Management System for Academic Management, InfoBusiness 2006, *Proceedings of International Conference on Business Information Systems*, Editura Universitatii Alexandru Ioan Cuza Iasi, pp. 342-348

9. Petrușel, R., Vanderfeesten, I., Dolean, C.C., Mican, D. (2011), Making Decision Process Knowledge Explicit Using the Decision Data Model, *Business Information Systems, Part 5, LNBIP, Volume 87, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 172-184, citata de:*

9.1 Martinho, D., Silva, A. R. (2012), A Recommendation Algorithm to Capture End-Users' Tacit Knowledge, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Volume 7481, pp 216-222

9.2 Peterson, G. L. (2012), *Effects of passive lab notification features on emergency department process times*, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

10. Petrusel, R., Mican, D., Dolean, C.C. (2011), Implementing a Decision-Aware System for Loan Contracting Decision Process, *Informatica Economica/Economy Informatics*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp.167-182, indexat Proquest

11. Sitar-Taut, D. A., Sitar-Taut, A. V., Penciu, O. A., Mican D. (2011), Initiatives in the Romanian eHealth Landscape, *Informatica Economica/Economy Informatics*, vol. 15 no. 2, pp.38-45, indexat Proquest

12. Petrusel, R., Vanderfeesten, I., Dolean, C. A., Mican D. (2011), *Making Decision Process Knowledge Explicit Using the Product Data Model*. Beta working paper series, WP 340, Technische Universiteit, Eindhoven

13. Petruşel, R., Mican, D. (2010), Mining Decision Activity Logs, *Business Information Systems Workshops, LNBIP, Volume 57, Part 1*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 67-79, citata de:

13.1 Sandkhul K., Smirnov A., Shilov N. Information Logistics in Engineering Change Management: Integrating Demand Patterns and Recommendation Systems Domain // Perspectives in Business Informatics Research: *Proc. of the 10th International Conference on Business Informatics Research - BIR 2011* (October 6–8, 20011, Riga, Latvia), pp. 21-28.

13.2 Smirnov, A., Shilov, N. (2012), Group Recommendation System for User-Centric Support in Virtual Logistic Hub, INTELLI 2012, *The First*

International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Applications, Chamonix, France

14. Sitar-Taut, D. A., Mican, D. (2010), Offline web mining analysis on various site types using classical algorithms, *Journal of Applied Computer Science & Mathematics*, pp.9-13, indexat ICAAP

15. Coros, I. R., Mican D., Tomai N., Sitar-Taut D. A., Stanca L. M. (2009), Web Analytics Tools as Support for Optimizing Website Navigation and Content Quality, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai. Informatica*, Sp.Issue 3/2009, pp.27-30

16. Muresan, A. M., Mican D, (2009), Firewalls on Mobile Devices, *The Ninth International Conference on Informatics in Economy*, Ed. Economica, ASE, Editor: Ileana Adina UTA, pp. 879-884

17. Tomai, N., Muresan, A. M., Mican, D. (2007), Wireless network design considerations, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Informatica*, Sp.Issue 2/2007, pp.146-151

18. Rusu, M. L., Mican, D., Vancea, I. M. (2007), Feedback from Research and Teaching Functions of Higher Education, *Informatica Economica/Economy Informatics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp.25-31

19. Rusu, M. L., Mican, D. (2007), Management Prototype For Sharing Knowledge Resources, *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai. Mathematica*, Sp.Issue 2/2007, pp.234-242