Babeș—Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca

Faculty of Political, Administrative and Communication Sciences

Doctoral School of Communication, Public Relations and Advertising

SUMMARY OF PHD THESIS

ONLINE CITY BRANDING

ANALYSIS OF THE WEBSITES OF THE COUNTY SEATS FROM ROMANIA USING AN ONLINE CITY BRAND EVALUATION TOOL

PhD supervisor:

dr. habil. Kádár Magor-Elek

PhD candidate:

Benedek István

Cluj-Napoca

2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: The theory of place branding

Chapter 2: Place branding in practice

Chapter 3: Place branding in the online sphere

Chapter 4: Conclusions and final remarks

KEYWORDS

INTRODUCTION

In the last two decades, there is a growing interest regarding the applicability of concepts like brand and branding in the context of places. The concept of the brand can be considered a plastic and resilient notion which may refer to products, services, firms, organizations, cultural products, events, and well-known personalities as well. According to Keller (2013) the concept of the brand can appear basically everywhere, the only condition is that consumers must be in a position where they can choose between different actors of the same market. The concept of place branding can be considered a generic term, which may refer to the branding of countries, regions, cities, and destinations as well. Today, there is a growing competition among these places in a national and international context. The use of place branding practices offers a way for identification and differentiation for these places and the solution to sustaining a stable position on the market.

The development of the city branding domain is closely related to the paradigm of the entrepreneurial city (Hall & Hubbard, 1998). The concept of the entrepreneurial city marks an important shift in the way cities are administrated because it emphasizes the idea that cities should be administrated based on the profit-oriented principles of commercial organizations (Eshuis & Edwards, 2013). The concept of city branding can be considered an overarching term that offers strategic guidance for city governments. This strategic guidance works as an assurance because it helps local governments to make tactical and strategic decisions that are on-brand, meaning that there are in accordance with the core values of the place brand (Boisen, 2015).

The current thesis focuses on the examination of city branding in the online sphere. The research is centered around the official websites of local governments, the primary online communication channel for cities. The official website is capable of transmitting the brand identity of a place, thereby to influence the brand image in the mind of different target groups. As a result of globalization, the online sphere offers an efficient and accessible communication channel for cities of all dimensions and from all over the world (Björner, 2013). Moreover, the official website is an important channel for cities to interact with their residents (Paganoni,

2012). It is also a channel for transmitting the identity of a place (Florek, 2011), and one of the few communication instruments in the online sphere where brand managers have a high level of control over the content, design, and structure of the channel (Grodach, 2009; Yan, 2011). In addition, the official website can be considered as the only online communication channel that local governments are obliged to use to interact with their different target groups.

OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

Analyzing place brands from an online branding perspective is a relatively new research direction in the place branding domain. Measuring place brands in the online sphere is a challenging task and the place branding domain still lacks a measurement tool that is widely accepted among the researchers and practitioners of the field. The main goal of the current thesis is to develop an integrated and multidisciplinary model for measuring city brands using the official websites as the primary communication channel for local governments. Thereby, the current paper brings a new vision regarding the analysis of city brands through their official websites. The proposed model works as a benchmarking instrument allowing the comparison of different city brands and the identification of strong and week points regarding the performance of the examined websites. A specific goal of the current paper is to provide a comprehensive view of the performance of the official websites of all the county seats in Romania from a place branding perspective. In this sense, our research provides valuable information for future academic research in the field and for practitioners involved in city branding initiatives as well.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS

The current thesis is divided into four main chapters. The first chapter discusses the theoretical aspects of the place branding domain, the second chapter provides an overview of the practice of place branding and identifies the most relevant stages of a city branding project. The third chapter is centered around the idea of online city branding and proposes a new brand-related measurement tool for the official websites of local governments. This model is used to compare the performance of the main cities from Romania, notably the 41 county seats of the country. The fourth and final chapter presents the conclusions of the current research, the theoretical and practical implications of the study, as well as the limitations and possible future directions.

THE THEORY OF PLACE BRANDING

The first part consists of seven subsections. The first subchapter offers a comprehensive literature review regarding the definition of the concept of brand and branding. The branding literature provides a plethora of definitions about brand and branding. However, the research domain still lacks a clear and well-established interpretation that is commonly acknowledged. Based on this thorough review, the current paper tries to synthesize these definitions and makes a distinction between three major directions of interpretations. The first approach consists of definitions where the focus is put on the organizations as the owner's of the brand (Kotler & Keller, 2012; Bauer & Berács, 2016), the second puts the consumer in the center (Adamson, 2008; Batey, 2008; Kapferer, 2012; Neumeier, 2016), while the third approach takes into consideration the perspective of the organization and the consumer as well (Kotler & Keller, 2016; Kladou et al., 2017).

The 1.2 subchapter provides an overview of the terms *place brand* and *place branding*. Regarding the concept of place brand, we considered as a reference point the interpretation of Zenker and Braun (2010), who state that a place brand can be conceptualized as a network of associations in the consumer's mind based on the visual, verbal, and behavioral expression of a place. In our view, this interpretation can be considered as an overarching definition applicable to countries, regions, cities, and destinations as well. The term place branding can be conceptualized as a two-sided, bilateral process (de Chernatony et al., 2011). The first part is the input phase, consisting of the development of a brand identity, while the second part is the output phase when the brand image is formed. While the first phase is done by the brand managers, the second phase takes place in the mind of the consumers. Scholars and practitioners of the field also highlight that the process of place branding is not limited to the creation of a visual or verbal identity (Hospers, 2009; Kavaratzis & Hatch, 2013; Baker, 2019). In its current view, place branding can be considered a more complex process, that provides a strategic vision and guidance for the future development of a respective place. Compared to the branding of commercial goods and services, the place branding domain is a distinct and specific field of branding first and foremost due to its close relation with the political and administrative sciences (Jorgensen, 2015; Lucarelli, 2015; Oliveira, 2016). Speaking about the process, a clear distinction should be made between the concept of place branding and place marketing. In the literature, these two terms are used mostly as synonyms (Skinner, 2008; Kalandides & Kavaratzis, 2009; Zenker, 2011). The current thesis considers that place marketing is a demand-driven, while place branding is an identity-driven strategic process

(Boisen et al., 2018). This approach assumes that place marketing should be considered as a short-term, tactical instrument, while place branding is more likely to be a long-term, strategic tool, which provides the long-term vision for the development of a certain place (Govers, 2011; Wheeler, 2017).

The third subchapter presents an overview of the development of the place branding domain. The development of the field can be divided into three major phases. The first one can be described as the phase of place promotion, the second one is centered around the idea of place marketing, and the third phase marks a shift in the promotion and communication of places by introducing the concept of place branding. Since the start of the new Millenium, this new research domain emerges as a complex and multidisciplinary field, related to administrative sciences and urban policy, geography and tourism, and marketing studies as well. The development of the place branding domain is explained in relation to the aforementioned scientific fields, based on the work of Hankinson (2015). According to the author, the subject of place promotion was developed in the urban policy domain, the first publications about place marketing appeared in the economics and marketing field, while in the tourism and geography domain the majority of related papers examined the role of destination image, considered a key element in destination and place branding as well.

The 1.4 subchapter describes the relationship of the place branding domain with other branding-related fields, like product branding, service branding, as well as corporate branding. According to the literature the place branding domain on the one hand has several particularities compared to product branding (Papp-Váry, 2009; Anholt, 2010) and service branding (Hankinson, 2010), and on the other hand, multiple similarities compared to corporate branding (Hankinson, 2007; Kavaratzis, 2009; Dinnie, 2011). In comparison to product and service branding, the literature suggests that places are more complex entities, with multiple stakeholder groups, and the management of the brand has some specific challenges related especially to the delimitation of the brand owner. In addition, similar aspects and challenges can be found compared to the field of corporate branding as both places and corporations are complex and multidimensional entities dealing with a large number of stakeholder groups. In the case of place branding, the relationship with the brand owner and the different stakeholder groups can be done using the so-called PPP (public-private partnership) approach, where partnerships are developed between the members of the public and the private sector.

The fifth subchapter of the first part sums up the most relevant terms used in the branding literature and explains their applicability in the context of places, like countries, regions, and cities. The thesis underlines four basic concepts used in the place branding domain: place brand identity, place brand image, place brand positioning, and place brand equity. These concepts are closely related to each other. First, it is the role of the brand manager to develop a well-defined and coherent brand identity that subsequently serves as the foundation for the brand positioning. Positioning means that the brand occupies a certain place in the mind of the consumers and this way it can be identified and differentiated by the competitors of the same market. This way a brand image is formed in the mind of the consumers, which conclusively determines the value of the respective brand. In the context of places, a certain distinction should be made between the concept of place identity and place brand identity. While the former is a complex construct developed in a rather spontaneous manner during the history of a certain place, the latter is a consciously developed concept as a result of a place branding process (Kerr & Oliver, 2015). However, the two concepts are closely related to each other, because the place brand identity should be based on the place identity of a certain country, region, or city (Hospers, 2006; Govers & Go, 2009).

Just like identity, the brand image of a place is also a complex concept with numerous meanings. In the current thesis, we highlight the approach of Baker (2019) who distinguishes between three types of place brand images. The first one is called organic images developed in a rather spontaneous manner, determined by external factors such as the representation of the place in literature, music, movies, or mass media, by the performance of the place related to sports, economy, science, or culture, as well as by the different stereotypes related to the place. The second is called marketing-induced image. This type of place representation is the result of a consciously planned strategic brand communication. This brand communication is realized using marketing and communication techniques like advertising, public relations, direct marketing, or personal selling. The third is called the experience-induced image, and as the name suggests, it is based on the primary experiences of consumers about a certain place. The term positioning, first used in the seventies by authors Al Ries and Jack Trout, is considered one of the most relevant marketing and branding concepts. Positioning involves a certain degree of simplification in order to define the core idea of a brand that can be communicated adequately. This core idea must be communicated adequately for consumers to have a clear association in their minds about the values of a certain brand. This simplification is a specific

challenge in the context of place branding because of the complexity and diversity of the place brand.

The last core concept is brand equity, which refers to the added value of a brand for the brand managers and the brand consumers as well. In the place branding domain, several global rankings try to measure the value of a certain country or a city. The 1.6 subchapter summarizes the most relevant place brand rankings, that are considered as reference points for the academic community and the practitioners of the field as well. Regarding the measurement of country brands, the current thesis presents the following rankings: the Anholt Nation Brand Index, the FutureBrand Country Brand Index, the BAV Group Best Countries Index, the Reputation Institute Country RepTrak model, and the Bloom Country Brand Ranking which consists of two separate rankings on the touristic and economic brand value of the examined countries. In addition, the thesis presents the following rankings that are considered as relevant city brand measurement tools: the Anholt City Brand Index, the Reputation Institute City RepTrak, the Global Cities Index, the Global Cities Outlook, the Cities in Motion Index, the Global Power City Index, the Resonance Best Cities Report, and the ING Most Talked About Cities ranking. The end of the chapter provides a summary of the most commonly used research dimensions regarding the measurement of country and city brands. In the context of country brands, the majority of the rankings include dimensions related to the economic, touristic and cultural performance of the place, while in the context of city brands besides the economic performance the majority of rankings highlight the quality of living and the human resources of a city as the main dimensions for determining the place brand value.

The last subchapter of the first part examines the different types of place branding activities including country branding, regional branding, city branding, and destination branding. Place branding can be considered as an umbrella term, so it can refer to branding activities done at different spatial levels. Moreover, the literature uses different concepts for the same spatial levels as well. Speaking of countries, authors use terms like *state branding* (Van Ham, 2001), *country branding* (Szondi, 2007), and *nation branding* (Anholt, 2002). Among the scholars of the field, the term nation branding is the one that is used most commonly in recent publications. The concept of nation branding was introduced by Simon Anholt in 1996, a concept that is centered around the idea that the reputation of the countries is a key component capable of influencing their competitiveness in a global market. According to Anholt, this reputation should be managed by implementing the techniques and instruments of commercial branding. Among the concepts related to the different spatial levels, *regional branding* seems to be the

most challenging to define. The term regional branding may refer to regions inside a country, like Catalonia in Spain (Huertas-Roig et al., 2010), or regions situated at the territory of two or more countries, like the Oresund region between Denmark and Sweden (Falkheimer, 2016). The greatest challenge in the context of regional branding is related to the fact that in the majority of cases there is no single administrative entity capable of acting as the brand manager for the respective region (Oliveria & Ashworth, 2017). At a local level, the place branding literature suggests concepts like *city branding* (Dinnie, 2011), *location branding* (Hankinson, 2001), or *rural branding* (Rausch, 2008). These concepts highlight that the place branding practices can be applied to large cities and small-town and rural areas as well.

This chapter also presents some of the place branding projects done in Romania at a national, regional, and local level. At the national level the first attempts were made at the beginning of the 21st century like the *Romania, Simply Surprising* campaign from 2003 (Kaneva & Popescu, 2011), the *Romania, Land of Choice* project initiated in 2009 (Cheregi, 2017), or the *Explore the Carpathian Garden* campaign from 2010 (Andrei, 2017), which served as the foundation for the touristic brand of the country in the last ten years. The main objective of the aforementioned campaigns was to promote the touristic offering of the country among potential foreign visitors. Therefore, these campaigns were mostly destination branding initiatives without having an overarching objective of branding the whole nation. At a regional level, the majority of place branding initiatives are realized at the county level, mostly because the county council is an administrative body that can act as the brand manager. Among the case studies in Romania, Coroș and Coroș (2010) examine the case of Brașov County. The county was among the pioneers in applying place branding tactics as the *Be.live.it* touristic brand was launched back in 2009. Recently, there are several counties inside Romania where a touristic brand is developed at a regional level. Good examples include Covasna County (Visit Covasna), Harghita County (Visit Harghita) or Maramureș County (Visit Maramureș).

Since the second part of the 2000s, there is a growing interest among the cities in Romania to engage in branding projects at a local level, especially in regional centers like Cluj-Napoca, Timișoara, or Iași. This list should be expanded with the city of Sibiu as one of the first good examples of cities that managed to improve their reputation at national and international level as well. The city was designated the European Capital of Culture in 2007 and this title was the main reason why Sibiu managed to gain better visibility among the European visitors (Petrea et al., 2013). Another good example is Alba Iulia, the county seat of Alba County, a city that engaged in city branding projects from the beginning of the 2010s (Irimieș, 2012). This

branding project was recognized at national and European levels as well. Alba Iulia was considered the first city in Romania to have a brand book realized in accordance with international standards.

PLACE BRANDING IN PRACTICE

The second part is entitled *Place branding in practice* and is divided into five subchapters. This part of the thesis overviews the process of place branding, focusing mainly on the branding realized at a local level. The first subchapter proposes a thorough literature review about the place brand management models. This section reviews the interpretations of authors like Kádár (2014), Moilanen and Rainisto (2009), Govers and Go (2009), Zavattaro (2014), Hanna and Rowley (2011; 2013), Papp-Váry (2011; 2019), and Baker (2019) as well. Based on the work of the aforementioned authors the thesis proposes a new model for city brand management. This new model consists of the following four stages: analysis, planification, implementation, and evaluation. The model is based on the framework of the Project Management Institute (PMI), which distinguishes five stages of a project: initiating, planning, executing, monitoring, and closing.

The process of city branding starts with a preliminary analysis phase. In this stage, primary and secondary research data should be used to have a better understanding of the current situation of the current reputation and image of the place. The data gained through these research methods can be grouped, analyzed, and evaluated using situational analysis instruments like the SWOT or PEST analysis. The former defines the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the place, while the latter examines the macro environment of the place including the political, economic, social, and technological factors.

Subchapter 2.3 provides an overview of the second phase of the process, which is called the planification stage. This stage begins with the definition of a clear place brand identity, which provides the foundation for the positioning of the brand. According to Baker (2019), the following aspects should be taken into consideration when positioning a place: differentiated, authentic, compelling, and sustainable. This stage is followed by the structural development of a working brand architecture, where the city brand is considered the umbrella brand of the place. The third and final phase of the planification is a creative process resulting in the development of a brand book. This brand book works as a guide for the city brand communication including recommendations and prohibitions on the use of different visual and verbal brand elements. This chapter examines the most relevant visual elements like the logo,

the colors, the font, and the visual and audiovisual content used in the city brand communication, as well as the most significant verbal elements including the name, the slogan, the communication style, and the storytelling practices of a certain place.

The next subchapter provides an overview of the implementation phase of a city branding project. This chapter is structured into three parts as well. The first part highlights the importance of the target group definition, provides tools and instruments for segmentation, and emphasizes the role of residents in the place branding process. In the past, the main goal of place branding processes was to reach external target groups like potential visitors and investors, but recently there is a common agreement among the scholars and practitioners of the field regarding the key role that residents play in the whole branding process. This new approach considers residents as the central target groups of these projects (Kavaratzis & Kalandides, 2015; Zenker et al., 2017; Insch & Walters, 2018).

It should be noted here that residents can fulfill different roles in their interaction with the city branding projects. According to the literature (Braun et al., 2013), we can think about the residents as an integral part of the place brand identity, as the ambassadors of their place, and local citizens who have the power to support or disprove the efforts of the local government. Even though the implication of residents in place branding processes is considered as a crucial condition for successful projects, in practice the majority of such initiatives still relies on a top-down approach (Bennett & Savani, 2003; Jorgensen, 2015; Larsen, 2018). A city branding project implementation implies not only the segmentation of the target groups but the determination of key messages and content strategy as well, especially regarding the online communication channels (Simmons, 2007; Rowley, 2008).

The final phase of the implementation examines the most relevant communication channels for city brand communication. This section of the thesis proposes the use of the PESO model to define these communication channels. This is an important theoretical contribution of the current thesis because earlier studies in the domain mention only the use of the POE model in this context. The PESO media mix developed in the work of Dietrich (2014; 2020) distinguishes between four types of media channels: paid media, earned media, shared media, and owned media. Moreover, the model also highlights some of the key instruments that are situated in the intersection of these media platforms like influencer marketing, which can be considered as a paid-earned media instrument, or e-mail marketing, a form of paid and owned media at the same time. The last chapter of the second part overviews the fourth stage of the

city branding process, namely the evaluation phase. This part involves the evaluation of the branding process, the constant monitoring of the newly created place brand, and finally the long-term management of the brand. Strategic leadership and tactical management are key conditions for a successful and sustainable city brand (Hanna & Rowley, 2013; Baker, 2019).

PLACE BRANDING IN THE ONLINE SPHERE

The third chapter of the current thesis examines the city branding phenomenon in an online context. This part of the paper consists of six subsections. The first one offers an overview of the most relevant particularities of online city branding. This is inspired by the work of Hanna and Rowley (2015), where the authors suggest that there are seven essential factors when considering digital strategic place brand management: channels, clutter, communication, chatter, community, co-creation, and collaboration. The second subsection provides a summary of the current online communication channels and marketing instruments, based on the previously presented PESO model. The 3.3 subchapter highlights the role of official websites in the context of online city branding. The next chapter provides a comprehensive literature review on the evaluation instruments for official websites of cities, countries, or destinations. This section takes a multidisciplinary approach by including measurement tools developed in different domains like tourism, administrative sciences, commercial branding, and place branding. The fifth chapter presents a new and integrated model for the measurement of city brands using the official websites of local governments. The 3.6 subsection examines the results of the empirical research, where the proposed model is applied to rank the performance of the websites of the county seats from Romania.

DEVELOPING A NEW MEASUREMENT TOOL

Our research is based on the content analysis of the official websites of local government entities. The model allows the grouping and classification of the operationalized characteristics. The proposed model of the current thesis is called the OCBE model, which is an abbreviation for online city brand evaluation. This evaluation tool can be used for the evaluation of official websites of cities from a place branding perspective. Regarding the development of this new evaluation tool, the current paper takes a multidisciplinary approach. The table below offers a summary of the literature review in the domain of tourism, administrative sciences, and place branding as well. The proposed OCBE model makes a synthesis of the results of these studies and defines the most significant research dimensions and related variables from a branding perspective.

Table 1: earlier models of website analysis from related domains

Examined dimensions	Source	Field
Aesthetic, Informative, and Interactive dimension	Han & Mills (2006)	Tourism
Information quality, ease of use, responsiveness, security and privacy, visual appearance, trust, interactivity, personalization, and fulfillment dimension	Park & Gretzel (2007)	Tourism
Technical Qualification, Consumer Perspective, Website Attractiveness, Marketing Effectiveness	Qi et al. (2008)	Tourism
Information, Communication, Transaction, Relationship, and Technical merit dimension	Li & Wang (2010)	Tourism
Technical functionality, Usability, Marketing Effectiveness, Information Content dimension	Stepchenkova et al. (2010)	Tourism
Visual appearance, Information Quality, Ease of use, Interactivity, and Personalization dimension	Giannopoulos & Mavragani (2011)	Tourism
Visual style, Navigation and interactivity, Textual information, Advertising, Social media, and travel aids	Luna-Nevarez & Hyman (2012)	Tourism
Technical dimension, consumer perspective, marketing, destination presentation	Morrison (2013)	Tourism
Technical, Communicative, Relational, and Persuasive aspects	Fernández-Cavia et al. (2014)	Tourism
Information, Communication, Transactions, Relationships, and Technical Merit dimension	Charoula et al. (2015)	Tourism
Visual appearance, navigability, usability dimension	Martínez-Sala et al. (2020)	Tourism
General, budgetary information, and financial information, presentation and navigation, relational web presence	Gandía & Archidona (2008)	Administrative Sciences
Accesibility, performance, navigability, content dimension	Miranda et al. (2009)	Administrative Sciences

Security, Usability, Content, Service, Citizen participation	Holzer et al. (2010)	Administrative Sciences
Navigation, Content, Website layout dimension	Elling et al. (2012)	Administrative Sciences
Content, Usability, Quality, Accessibility, Citizen engagement, Transparency, Responsiveness, Dialog, Balancing of interests	Karkin & Janssen (2014)	Administrative Sciences
Information tools, E-services, Utility, Transparency, Civic engagement	Feeney & Brown (2017)	Administrative Sciences
Hard variables: based on the legal framework, Soft variables: based on efficient, transparent, and accessible communication	Kádár & Benedek (2018)	Administrative Sciences
Transparency, Service Quality, Citizen engagement	Lee-Geiller & Lee (2019)	Administrative Sciences
Privacy and security, Ergonomy, Content, Online services, Citizen involvement, and engagement	Urs (2020)	Administrative Sciences
Brand design, brand behavior, and brand communication	Florek et al. (2006)	Place branding
Appearance, personality, humanity, style, medium, credibility	Fernández-Cavia & Huertas-Roig (2009)	Place branding
Logo, colors, slogan, visual elements, multimedia elements, storytelling, brand description	Vinyals-Mirabent (2014)	Place branding
Usability, interactivity, content, and reference to social networks	De Rosa et al. (2019)	Place branding
Brand personality analysis based on website content analysis	Vinyals-Mirabent et al. (2019); Hanna & Rowley (2019)	Place branding
Brand presentation, Brand behavior, Brand communication, Brand functions	Briciu (2020)	Place branding

source: *author's own creation*

THE PRESENTATION OF THE OCBE MODEL

Based on the thorough review of earlier studies, the current thesis concludes that there are four major factors influencing an online city brand on official websites: the visual appearance of the brand, the interactivity of the brand and the platform, the content provided by the website, and the quality of the website which assures a proper user experience for the visitors of the site. The proposed model of the current thesis is composed of four dimensions. The first dimension is called the *brand presentation* and it has seven subdimensions: the visual identity of the brand, the presentation of the touristic brand, the presentation of the economic brand, visual storytelling, audiovisual storytelling, the description of the brand, and the visual consistency between the brand identity and the website design. The second dimension examines the *brand interactivity* and it is composed of five subdimensions: contact information, resident interaction, tourist interaction, social media communication, and mobile communication. The *brand content* is the third dimension examined through seven subdimensions: content actuality, content accessibility, the general description of the city, resident information, tourist and visitor information, presentation of the cultural offering, and presentation of the economic offering. The fourth and last dimension is related to the *quality of the brand*, with the following subdimensions: visibility, performance, navigation, structure, readability, accessibility, and privacy & security. The table below summarizes the proposed dimensions, subdimensions, and indicators of the OCBE model.

Table 2: The dimensions, subdimensions, and indicators of the OCBE model

1. Brand presentation	Examined indicators
1.1 Visual identity	the city logo, city slogan, city coat of arms, domain name
1.2 Touristic brand	touristic logo, touristic slogan
1.3 Economic brand	investor logo, investor slogan
1.4 Visual storytelling	header image, image gallery
1.5 Audiovisual storytelling	highlighted video, video gallery
1.6 City brand description	description of city log, description of city coat of arms, brand book
1.7 Visual consistency	font consistency, color consistency, shape consistency

2. Brand interactivity	Examined indicators
2.1 Contact information	the physical address, phone address, e-mail address
2.2 Resident interaction	newsletter, webcast, virtual assistant, participatory budgeting, mayor's blog
2.3 Visitor interaction	city map, webcam, virtual tours, tourism blog
2.4 Social media communication	Facebook, Youtube, Instagram, Twitter
2.5 Mobile communication	mobile app, resident app, visitor app
3. Brand content	**Examined indicators**
3.1 Content actualization	news feed, latest post
3.2 Content accessibility	multilingualism, dedicated search
3.3 General description	mayor's welcome message, mayor presentation, city general presentation, history, sister cities, famous people
3.4 Resident information	city vision, city performance, city management
3.5 Visitor information	tourism-related information, dedicated visit page
3.6 Cultural information	cultural offering, events calendar
3.7 Economic information	economy-related information, dedicated investor page
4. Brand quality	**Examined indicators**
4.1 Visibility	search engine position, number of links
4.2 Performance	loading speed, mobile optimization
4.3 Navigation	home button, site map, main menu, breadcrumbs, doormat navigation, jump to the top of the page option
4.4 Structure	length of the first page, the orientation of the main menu, number of items of the main menu, customer-oriented web structure
4.5 Readability	Text distribution, text size, text hierarchy
4.6 Accessibility	accessibility widget, text size modification, color modification, number of general and contrast related WCAG errors
4.7 Privacy & security	secure domain, cookie banner, privacy policy

source: author's own creation

The OCBE model is composed of four dimensions, 25 subdimensions, and a total of 100 indicators. For every indicator, the proposed model indicates the structural level where the presence or absence of a specific content should be analyzed. In this sense, the model is composed of indicators that should be evaluated on the first page of the website (H), as well as by indicators examined at the first and second structural level (H+1, H+2). There are some indicators where the content of the whole site should be analyzed (W). On several indicators, the results are evaluated using search engines (S) or third-party measurement tools (M). Every indicator is evaluated on a scale between zero and one point, depending on the degree of fulfillment. On some occasions, only the appearance or the absence of a certain variable is evaluated, but there are numerous indicators where the quality of the communication is also considered.

APPLYING THE OCBE MODEL

The last section of the third chapter presents the application of the proposed measurement tool. The research sample consists of the official websites of county seat cities from Romania. The official website was defined as the main communication channel managed by the city halls. There are two reasons for focusing on county seats: the first one is related to the fact that city branding is still considered a relatively new practice in cities from the Central and Eastern European region, and especially among the cities from Romania. The second reason is related to the position of these cities as regional centers with the necessary resources to initiate these types of projects. These cities are those magnet places that have the power to attract residents, visitors, and investors as well, therefore the instruments of city branding can be exploited more efficiently. The literature also suggests that these big cities are the ones who engage first in place branding projects, compared to smaller towns and rural areas.

A total of 41 official websites were analyzed using online content analysis between January and April 2021. The results of the evaluation reflect the actual situation regarding the performance of the city brands communicated in the online environment through the official websites of the cities. The average score of the examined websites was 42.34, which means that the fulfillment of the evaluated dimensions indicates an average performance below 50%. The results also indicate differences among the examined research dimensions. The lowest average score was obtained in the *Brand presentation* dimension with an average score of 7.41, which indicates a fulfillment rate of 30%. This is followed by the *Brand interactivity* dimension, where the average score of 8.33 means a fulfillment rate of 33%. The examined

websites performed better in the *Brand content* and *Brand quality* dimensions. The average score of the former was 13.11 points, while the latter was 13.49 points. The average fulfillment rate of these two dimensions is above 50%. The standard deviation regarding the whole sample indicates a score of 9.97. A lower standard deviation was obtained in the *Brand presentation* (2.78) dimension, while the highest score (3.98) was obtained by the *Brand content* dimension. The *Brand interaction* and *Brand quality* dimensions had similar standard deviation scores, the former 3.40, the latter 3.44.

For a more structured presentation of the results, we grouped the analyzed cities into four groups based on their fulfillment rate. The first group included cities with an average performance between 0 and 25%. This first group was represented by only one city website from our sample. The second group included cities with an average performance between 25 and 50%. This group was represented by almost three-quarters of the websites included in our research. The third group consists of websites with an average performance between 50 and 75%. This group included a total number of 10 city websites. The fourth and final group is the one for city websites with average performances above 75%. In our sample, there were no websites that managed to reach this performance, which indicates considerable room for future improvement.

Table 3: Dimension related and overall scores of the analyzed websites

	City (website)	Brand presentation	Brand interactivity	Brand content	Brand quality	SUM
1	Sibiu	10.00	16.00	19.00	19.50	64.50
2	Cluj-Napoca	10.00	15.00	18.00	19.50	62.50
3	Alba Iulia	14.00	12.50	15.50	19.00	61.00
4	Zalău	9.00	10.00	18.00	22.00	59.00
5	Brașov	9.00	15.00	18.00	14.00	56.00
6	Oradea	10.00	12.00	16.00	16.00	54.00
7	București	11.00	12.00	13.00	16.50	52.50
8	Baia Mare	10.00	10.00	16.00	15.00	51.00
9	Galați	15.00	10.00	14.50	11.50	51.00
10	Bistrița	9.50	8.00	14.50	18.00	50.00
11	Iași	9.00	11.50	16.00	11.00	47.50
12	Buzău	9.50	13.00	8.00	16.00	46.50
13	Reșița	9.00	8.00	13.50	15.50	46.00
14	Craiova	9.00	7.50	18.00	10.50	45.00
15	Satu Mare	5.50	9.00	15.50	14.50	44.50
16	Constanța	10.00	8.00	12.00	12.50	42.50
17	Sfântu Gheorghe	5.00	3.00	17.50	17.00	42.50
18	Timișoara	7.00	8.00	14.00	13.50	42.50

19	Târgu Mureș	4.50	10.00	16.00	11.50	42.00
20	Brăila	7.00	8.00	9.50	17.00	41.50
21	Arad	6.00	7.50	16.00	11.50	41.00
22	Focșani	8.50	7.00	13.50	12.00	41.00
23	Deva	4.50	9.50	17.00	9.00	40.00
24	Tulcea	7.00	10.00	10.50	12.50	40.00
25	Ploiești	7.50	6.00	16.00	9.00	38.50
26	Piatra Neamț	5.50	5.00	12.50	15.00	38.00
27	Pitești	6.00	6.00	11.50	14.50	38.00
28	Râmnicu Vâlcea	5.50	10.00	10.00	12.50	38.00
29	Vaslui	5.50	6.00	14.00	12.00	37.50
30	Giurgiu	8.50	6.00	13.50	9.00	37.00
31	Târgu Jiu	6.50	7.00	7.50	16.00	37.00
32	Bacău	4.00	11.00	6.00	15.00	36.00
33	Slobozia	6.50	9.00	8.00	12.00	35.50
34	Alexandria	6.00	3.00	15.50	10.50	35.00
35	Călărași	7.50	4.00	10.50	12.00	34.00
36	Suceava	5.50	7.00	12.00	8.50	33.00
37	Miercurea Ciuc	5.00	2.00	15.50	8.50	31.00
38	Drobeta Turnu Severin	7.00	5.00	3.50	14.50	30.00
39	Slatina	5.00	6.00	5.50	12.00	28.50
40	Botoșani	2.50	5.00	11.00	7.50	26.00
41	Târgoviște	1.00	3.00	5.50	9.50	19.00

source: author's own creation

Table 3. provides detalied informations about the scores obtained by the city websites in different dimensions. The first group (0-25%) is represented by the city website of Târgoviște. The second group (25-50) includes cities like Botoșani, Slatina, Drobeta-Turnu Severin, Miercurea Ciuc, Suceava, Călărași, Alexandria, Slobozia, Bacău, Giurgiu, Târgu Jiu, Vaslui, Râmnicu Vâlcea, Pitești, Piatra Neamț, Ploiești, Tulcea, Deva, Focșani, Arad, Brăila, Târgu Mureș, Constanța, Timișoara, Sfântu Gheorghe, Satu Mare, Craiova, Reșița, Buzău and Iași. Finally the top 10 performers of the ranking are the ones with average scores above 50%. This group consists of the following city websites: Bistrița, Galați, Baia Mare, București, Oradea, Brașov, Zalău, Alba Iulia, Cluj-Napoca and Sibiu. A certain territorial concentration can be observed in the ranking, because the majority of the well performing cities are located in the Nord-Vest and Centre development regions. Among the top 10 performers 80% of the cities are situated inside these regions.

CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

The current thesis has some important theoretical and practical implications. First of all, it tries to summarize the most significant results and directions of a relatively new research domain, called place branding. The research is based on the theoretical foundations of the place

branding domain, but takes a multidisciplinary approach and includes sources and relevant research results from the domain of tourism, marketing, administrative sciences, commercial branding, and online communication as well. The thesis describes a restructured city branding management model with four major phases and twelve subsections. It also makes the connection between the domain of online branding and city branding. Speaking of theoretical implications, the current thesis develops a new measurement tool for city brand evaluation. This model can be applied to official websites of the cities and measures the presence, content, interactivity, and quality of the city brands communicated through these online communication channels. This evaluation tool is based on earlier models proposed by scholars from the field of tourism, administrative sciences, and place branding. As a result of this multidisciplinary approach, the proposed model is more comprehensive, compared to the majority of earlier place branding instruments. It also shifts the focus from the visual appearance of the brand identity and argues that in a technologically determined environment the user experience provided by the website is also a main component of the brand image. For the practitioners of the field, the proposed city brand management process model and the online city brand evaluation tool are both valuable instruments for planning city brand projects and evaluating online city brand performance. The current thesis provides an overview of the current situation regarding the performance of the county seats from Romania. In this sense, the research offers significant results for decision-makers in local governments as well. By comparing the performance of these city websites, local governments can have a clear understanding of their current situation and can easily identify the strong and week points of their online presence based on the examined research dimensions and variables.

The current research provides numerous opportunities and directions for future studies. The sample size included only county seats but future research should examine the performance of smaller cities and towns as well. Another recommendation is to apply the model on an international level, starting with capital cities from Europe. The current results offer possibilities for more in-depth evaluations and interpretations. The city brand performance can be compared with the economic and tourism-related performance of these cities. Finally, it should be noted that nowadays there are numerous online channels that brands can use in order to communicate their identity. This is true for commercial brands and place brands as well, therefore future studies should include other types of owned media channels, for example, social media sites like Facebook or Instagram.

Bibliography

Aaker, D. & Joachimsthaler, E. (2000b): The brand relationship spectrum: Key to the brand architecture challenge. *California Management Review,* 42(4), pp. 8-23.

Aaker, D. A. & Joachimsthaler, E. (2000a): *Brand Leadership.* New York: The Free Press.

Aaker, D. A. (1991): *Managing Brand Equity: Capitalizing on the Value of a Brand Name.* New York: The Free Press.

Aaker, D. A. (1996): *Building strong brands.* New York: The Free Press.

Aaker, D. A. (2001): *Strategic Market Management.* New York: Wiley.

Aaker, J. (1997): Dimensions of brand personality. *Journal of Marketing Research,* 34(3), pp. 347-356.

Adams, T. (2011): The FutureBrand County Brand Index. In: Go, F. M. & Govers, R. (eds.): *International Place Branding Yearbook 2011. Managing Reputational Risk.* New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Adamson, A. P. (2008): *Brand Digital. Simple Ways Top Brands Succeed in the Digital World.* New York: Palgrave MacMillan.

Ahmad, I. (2019): Global Ad Blocking Behavior 2019. Social Media Today. Online elérhető: https://www.socialmediatoday.com/news/global-ad-blocking-behavior-2019-infographic/551716/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.02.15.

Aitken, R. & Campelo, A. (2011): The four Rs of place branding. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 27(9/10), pp. 913-933.

Alonso, I. & Bea, E. (2012): A tentative model to measure city brands on the Internet. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 8(4), pp. 311-328.

Andéhn, M. & Zenker, S. (2015): Place Branding in Systems of Place – on the Interrelation of Nations and Supranational Places. In: Zenker, S. & Jacobsen, B. P. (szerk.): *Inter-Regional Place Branding. Best Practices, Challenges and Solutions.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Andersson, M. (2007): Region branding: The case of the Baltic Sea Region. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 3(2), pp. 120-130.

Andrei, A-G. (2017*): Branding post-communist Romania and its effects on country image.* Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence, vol. 11, iss. 1, pp. 504-512.

Anholt, S. & Hildreth, J. (2004): *Brand America – The Mother of All Brands.* London: Cyan Books.

Anholt, S. (2002): Foreword. Special Issue on Place Branding. *Journal of Brand Management,* 9(4), pp. 229-239.

Anholt, S. (2005): *Brand New Justice. How Branding Places and Products Can Help the Developing World.* Oxford: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.

Anholt, S. (2005): Editorial: Some important distinctions in place branding. *Place Branding,* 1(2), pp. 116-121.

Anholt, S. (2006): The Anholt-GMI City Brands Index. How the world sees the world's cities. *Journal of Place Branding,* 2(1), pp. 18-31.

Anholt, S. (2007): *Competitive Identity. The New Brand Management for Nations, Cities and Regions.* Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.

Anholt, S. (2010a): *Places. Identity, Image and Reputation.* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Anholt, S. (2010b): Definitions of place branding – Working towards a resolution. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 6(1), pp. 1-10.

Anholt, S. (2020): *The Good Country Equation. How We Can Repair the World in One Generation*. Oakland: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Arifon, O. (2017): *Rescaling through city branding: The case of Amsterdam.* The Conversation. Online elérhető: https://theconversation.com/rescaling-through-city-branding-the-case-of-amsterdam-71956, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.12.30.

Arnhold, U. (2010): *User generated branding: integrating user generated content into brand management.* Wiesbaden: Gabler.

Aronczyk, M. (2013): *Branding the Nation: The Global Business of National Identity.* Oxford: University Press.

Ashworth, G. & Kavaratzis, M. (2009): Beyond the logo: Brand management for cities. *Brand Management,* 16(8), 520-531.

Ashworth, G. (2009): The instruments of Place Branding: How is it done? *European Spatial Research and Policy,* 16(1), pp. 9-22.

Ashworth, G. J. & Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. (2015): The Need to Rethink Place Branding. In: Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. & Ashworth, G. J. (eds.): *Rethinking Place Branding. Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions.* Cham: Springer International.

Ashworth, G. J. & Voogd, H. (1990): *Selling the City: Marketing Approaches in Public Sector Urban Planning.* London: Belhaven.

Bailey, R. (2017): Media relations. In: Tench, R. & Yeomans, L. (eds.): *Exploring Public Relations. Global Strategic Communication.* Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Bajmócy, Z. (2004): Az üzleti inkubáció szerepe a vállalkozásfejlesztésben. *Közgazdasági Szemle,* 1(1), pp. 1132-1150.

Baker, B. (2007): *Destination branding for Small Cities: The essentials for successful place branding.* Portland: Creative Leap Books.

Baker, B. (2019): *Place branding for Small Cities, Regions and Downtowns: The Essentials for Successful Destinations.* Kindle edition.

Barreda, A. A. & Bilgihan, A. & Nusair, K. & Okumus, F. (2016): Online branding: Development of hotel branding through interactivity theory. *Tourism Management,* 57, pp. 180-192.

Barrow, S. & Mosley, R. (2005): *The Employer Brand. Bringing the Best of Brand Management to People at Work.* Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Bastos, W. & Levy, S. J. (2012): A history of the concept of branding: practice and theory. *Journal of Historical Research in Marketing,* 4(3), pp. 347-368.

Batey, M. (2008*): Brand Meaning.* New York: Routledge.

Bauer, A. & Berács, J. (2016): *Marketing.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Bauer, A. & Horváth, D. (2013): *Marketingkommunikáció.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Bauer, C. & Strauss, C. (2016): Location-based advertising on mobile devices. *Management Review Quarterly,* 66(3), pp. 159-194.

Bennett, R. & Savani, S. (2003): The rebranding of city places: an international comparative investigation. *International Public Management Review,* 4(2), pp. 70-87.

Berens, G. & Fombrun, C. J. & Ponzi, L. J. & Trad, N. G. & Nielsen, K. (2011): Country RepTrak: A Standardized Measure of Country Reputation. In: Go, F. M. & Govers, R. (szerk.): *International Place Branding Yearbook 2011. Managing Reputational Risk.* New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Bergström, B. (2019): *Bevezetés a vizuális kommunikációba.* Második kiadás. Budapest: Scolar Kiadó.

Berrone, P. & Ricart, J. E. & Duch, A. & Carrasco, C. (2019): *IESE Cities in Motion Index 2019.* Online elérhető: https://media.iese.edu/research/pdfs/ST-0509-E.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.16.

Berthon, P. R. & Pitt, L. F. & Plangger, K. & Shapiro, D. (2012): Marketing meets Web 2.0, social media, and creative consumers: Implications for international marketing strategy. *Business Horizons,* 55(3), pp. 261-271.

Berze, I. ZS. & Dúll, A. (2018): Helyidentitás mint identitásorientáció? *Magyar Pszichológiai Szemle,* 73(3), pp. 435-463.

Best Cities Report (2020): *World's Best Cities 2020. A Ranking of Global Place Equity.* Resonance. Online elérhető: https://resonanceco.com/reports/2020-worlds-best-cities/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.17.

Bhatia, V. (2004): *Worlds of Written Discourse. A Genre-Based View.* London: Bloomsbury Publishing.

Bigné, J. E. & Sánchez, M. I. & Sánchez, J. (2001): Tourism image, evaluation variables and after purchase behaviour: inter-relationship. *Tourism Management,* 22(6), pp. 607-616.

Bíró, P. (2009): Magyarországkép 2009: Másképp, más kép – Feltételek és esélyek. *Marketing & Menedzsment,* 43(2), pp. 56-62.

Björner, M. (2013): International positioning through online city branding: the case of Chengdu. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 6(3), pp. 203-226.

Blain, C. & Levy, S. E. & Ritchie, J. R. B. (2005): Destination Branding: Insights and Practices from Destination Management Organizations. *Journal of Travel Research,* 43(4), pp. 328-338.

Bloom Tourism (2019): *Country Brand Ranking 2019-2020. Tourism Edition.* Online elérhető: https://www.bloom-consulting.com/en/country-brand-ranking, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.05.

Bloom Trade (2019): *Country Brand Ranking 2019-2020 Trade Edition.* Online elérhető: https://www.bloom-consulting.com/en/country-brand-ranking, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.05.

Boisen, M. & Terlouw, K. & Groote, P. & Couwenberg, O. (2018): Reframing place promotion, place marketing, and place branding – moving beyond conceptual confusion. *Cities,* 80, pp. 4-11.

Boisen, M. (2015): Place Branding and Nonstandard Regionalization in Europe. In: Zenker, S. & Jacobsen, B. P. (szerk.): *Inter-Regional Place Branding. Best Practices, Challenges and Solutions.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Bonsón, E. & Ratkai, M. & Royo, S. (2016): Facebook Use in Western European Local Governments: An Overall View. In: Sobaci, M. Z. (eds.): *Social Media and Local Governments. Theory and Practice.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Boros, L. & Garamhegyi, Á. (2010): *Bevezetés a településmarketingbe.* Szeged: JATEPress.

Bose, S. & Pradhan, S. & Siriguppi, D. & Alreddy, S. K. (2019): Customer-based place brand equity and investments: study of West Bengal. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 15(2), pp. 67-77.

Botos, A. (2005): *Regionális Térségfejlesztési Lehetőségek Kovászna Megyében.* PhD dissertation, Szent István Egyetem, Gödöllő.

Boulding, K. (1956): *The Image: Knowledge in Life and Society.* Ann Arbor, Michigan: University of Michigan Press.

Boyd, D. M. & Ellison, N. B. (2008): Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication,* 13, pp. 210-230.

Brakus, J. J. & Schmitt, B. H. & Zarantonello, L. (2009): Brand experience: What it is? How is it measured? Does it affect loyalty? *Journal of Marketing,* 73(3), pp. 52-68.

Braun, E. & Eshuis, J. & Klijn, E-H. (2014): The effectiveness of place brand communication. *Cities,* 41(A), pp. 64-70.

Braun, E. & Kavaratzis, M. & Zenker, S. (2013): My city – my brand: the different roles of residents in place branding. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 6(1), pp. 18-28.

Braun, E. (2008): *City Marketing: Towards an Integrated Approach.* PhD dissertation, Erasmus Research Institute of Management (ERIM), Rotterdam.

Braun, E. (2012): Putting city branding into practice. *Journal of Brand Management,* 19(4), pp. 257-267.

Brencis, A. & Ikkala, J. (2013): Does City Size Matter? City Brand Index vs Population Size. *Sociology and Anthropology,* 1(2), pp. 95-103.

Briciu, V. (2020): *Brandingul locurilor şi mediul online. Caracteristici şi ipostaze identitare.* Kolozsvár: Presa Universitară Clujeană.

Brodie, R. J. & Whittome, J. R. M. & Brush, G. J. (2009): Investigating the service brand: A customer value perspective. *Journal of Business Research,* 62(3), pp. 345-355.

Brown, T. J. & Dacin, P. A. & Pratt, M. G. & Whetten, D. A. (2006): Identity, Intended Image, Construed Image, and Reputation: An Interdisciplinary Framework and Suggested Terminology. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science,* 34(2), pp. 99-106.

Budai, B. B. (2009): *Az e-közigazgatás elmélete.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Buhalis, D. (2000) Marketing the Competitive Destination of the Future. *Tourism Management*, 21, pp. 97-116.

Burgess, J. (1990): The Production and Consumption of Environmental Meanings in the Mass Media: A Research Agenda for the 1990s. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers,* 15(2), pp. 139-161.

Burmann, C. & Riley, N-M. & Halaszovich, T. & Schade, M. (2013): *Identity-Based Brand Management. Fundamentals, Strategy, Implementation, Controlling.* Wiesbaden: Springer Gabler.

Burmann, C. (2010): A call for 'User-Generated Branding'. *Journal of Brand Management,* 18(1), pp. 1-4.

Butler, T. (2007): Re-urbanizing London docklands: gentrification, suburbanization or new urbanism? *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research,* 31(4), pp. 759-781.

Caldwell, N. & Freire, J. R. (2004): The differences between branding a country, a region and a city: Applying the Brand Box Model. *Journal of Brand Management,* 12(1), pp. 50-61.

Campelo, A. & Aitken, R. & Thyne, M. & Gnoth, J. (2014): Sense of Place: The Importance for Destination Branding. *Journal of Travel Research,* 53(2), pp. 154-166.

Campelo, A. (2017): The state of the art: from country-of-origin to strategies for economic development. In: Campelo, A. (szerk.): *Handbook on Place Branding and Marketing.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Castells, M. (1996): *The Rise of the Network Society.* Oxford: Blackwell.

Cepăreanu, A. (2020): *Florin Grozea, fondator şi CEO MOCAPP: Piaţa de influencer marketing din România este evaluată la 8-10 mil. euro anul acesta.* Online elérhető: https://www.zf.ro/business-hi-tech/florin-grozea-fondator-si-ceo-mocapp-piata-de-influencer-marketing-19733563,utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.20.

Charoula, D., Eleonora-Ioulia, M., Fotini, P., & Maro, V. (2015). Evaluating Destination Marketing Organizations' Websites: Conceptual and Empirical Review. In Management Association, I. (szerk.): *Hospitality, Travel, and Tourism: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications.* Hershey, PA: Business Science Reference, IGI Global.

Cheregi, B-F. (2017): Nation Branding in Romania After 1989: A Cultural Semiotic Perspective. *Romanian Journal of Communication and Public Relations,* 19(1), pp. 27-49.

Christodoulides, G. & de Chernatony, L. (2010): Consumer-based brand equity conceptualization and measurement. *International Journal of Market Research,* 52(1), pp. 43-66.

Christodoulides, G. (2009): Branding in the post-internet era. *Marketing Theory,* 9(1), pp. 141-144.

Csordás, T & Varga, Z. (2013): Mobilkommunikációs eszközök és videojátékok. In: Horváth, D. & Nyirő, N. & Csordás, T. (szerk.): *Médiaismeret: Reklámeszközök és reklámhordozók.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Dabner, D. & Stewart, S. & Vickress, A. (2017): *Graphic Design School. The Principles and Practice of Graphic Design.* Hatodik kiadás, Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Datzira-Masip, J. & Poluzzi, A. (2014): Brand architecture management: The case of four tourist destinations in Catalonia. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 3(1), pp. 48-58.

De Chernatony, L. & Christodoulides, G. (2004): Taking the brand promise online: Challenges and opportunities. *Interactive Marketing,* 5(3), pp. 238-251.

De Chernatony, L. & McDonald, M., & Wallace, E. (2011): *Creating Powerful Brands.* Fourth edition. Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd.

De Chernatony, L. & Riley, F. D. (1998a): Defining a "Brand": Beyond the Literature with Experts' Interpretations. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 14(5), pp. 417-443.

De Chernatony, L. & Riley, F. D. (1998b): Modelling the components of the brand. *European Journal of Marketing,* 32(11/12), pp. 1074-1090.

De Rosa, A. S. & Bocci, E. & Dryjanska, L. (2019): Social representations of the European capitals and destination e-branding via multi-channel web communication. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 11, March, pp. 150-165.

Devine-Wright, P. (2009): Rethinking NIMBYism: The role of place attachment and place identity in explaining place-protective action. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology,* 19(6), pp. 426-441.

Dietrich, G. (2014): *Spin Sucks. Communication and Reputation Management in the Digital Age.* Indianapolis: Que Publishing, Pearson Education.

Dietrich, G. (2020): *The Newly Refreshed PESO Model Graphic and Process.* SpinSucks. Online elérhető: https://spinsucks.com/communication/refreshed-peso-model/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.20.

Dinnie, K. (2004): Place branding: Overview of an emerging literature. *Place Branding,* 1(1), pp. 106-110.

Dinnie, K. (2008): *Nation Branding. Concepts, Issues, Practice.* Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Dinnie, K. (2011): *City Branding. Theory and Cases.* London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Dúll, A. (2009): *A környezetpszichológia alapkérdései. Helyek, tárgyak, viselkedés.* Budapest: L'Harmattan Kiadó.

Dumbrăveanu, D. (2009): Place branding: A challenging process for Romania. *Human Geographies – Journal of Studies and Research in Human Geography*, 3(2), pp. 39-48.

EB (2004): *Project Cycle Management Guidelines.* European Comission. Online elérhető: https://ec.europa.eu/international-partnerships/funding/managing-project_en , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.25.

EBD (2021): European Best Destinations 2021. The best places to visit in Europe selected by travellers. Online elérhető: https://www.europeanbestdestinations.com/european-best-destinations-2021/ , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

Elling, S. & Lentz, L. & de Jong, M. & van den Bergh, H. (2012): Measuring the quality of governmental websites in a controlled versus an online setting with the 'Website Evaluation Questionnaire'. *Government Information Quarterly,* 29(3), pp. 383-393.

Enyedi, Gy. (2012): *Városi Világ.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

EPI (2020): *Environmental Performance Index 2020. Global metrics for the environment: Ranking country performance on sustainability issues.* New Haven, CT: Yale Center for Environmental Law & Policy. Online elérhető: https://epi.yale.edu/downloads/epi2020report20210112.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.12.30.

Eshuis, J. & Braun, E. & Klijn, E-H. (2013): Place Marketing as Governance Strategy: An Assessment of Obstacles in Place Marketing and Their Effects on Attracting Target Groups. *Public Administration Review*, 73(3), pp. 507-516.

Eshuis, J. & Edwards, A. (2013): Branding the City: The Democratic Legitimacy of a New Mode of Governance. *Urban Studies,* 50(5), pp. 1066-1082.

Eshuis, J. & Klijn, E-H. & Braun, E. (2014): Place marketing and citizen participation: branding as strategy to address the emotional dimension of policy making? *International Review of Administrative Sciences,* 80(1), pp. 151-171.

Eurobarometer (2020): *Media use in the European Union.* Online elérhető: https://op.europa.eu/s/o9FU, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

Falkheimer, J. (2016): Place branding in the Øresund region: From a transnational region to a bi-national city-region. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 12(2/3), pp. 160-171.

Fan, Y. (2008): *Key Perspectives in Nation Image: A Conceptual Framework for Nation Branding.* 6th Conference of Asia Academy of Management, december 14-16, Taipei, Tajvan.

Fan, Y. (2010): Branding the nation: Towards a better understanding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 6(2), pp. 97-103.

Fawkes, J. (2017): Public relations, propaganda and the psychology of persuasion. In: Tench, R. & Yeomans, L. (eds.): *Exploring Public Relations. Global Strategic Communication.* Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Feeney, M. K. & Brown, A. (2017): Are small cities online? Content, ranking, and variation of U.S. municipal websites. *Government Information Quarterly,* 34(1), pp. 62-74.

Fehér, K. (2016): *Digitalizáció és új média. Trendek, stratégiák, illusztrációk.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Feinberg, B. M. & Zhao, X. (2011): The Anholt-GfK Roper Nation Brands Index: Navigating the Changing World. In: Go, F. M. & Govers, R. (eds.): *International Place Branding Yearbook 2011. Managing Reputational Risk.* New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Femenia-Serra, F. & Gretzel, U. (2020): Influencer Marketing for Tourism Destinations: Lesson from a Mature Destination. In: Neidhardt, J. & Wörndl, W. (szerk.): *Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2020.* Proceedings of the International Conference in Surrey, UK, January 8-10, 2020. Cham: Springer.

Feng, R & Morrison, A. M. & Ismail J. A. (2003): East versus West: A comparison of online destination marketing in China and the USA. *Journal of Vacation Marketing,* 10(1), pp. 43-56.

Fernández-Cavia, J. & Huertas-Roig, A. (2009): City Brands and their Communication through Web Sites: Identification of Problems and Proposals for Improvement. In: Gascó-Hernández, M. & Torres-Coronas, T. (eds.): *Information Communication Technologies and City Marketing: Digital Opportunities for Cities Around the World.* Hershey, New York: Information Science Reference.

Fernández-Cavia, J. & Rovira, C. & Díaz-Luque, P. & Cavaller, V. (2014): Web Quality Index (WQI) for official tourist destination websites. Proposal for an assessment system. *Tourism Management Perspectives,* 9, pp. 5-13.

Filieri, R. (2016): What makes an online consumer review trustworthy? *Annals of Tourism Research,* 58, May / 2016, pp. 46-64.

Florek, M. & Insch, A. & Gnoth, J. (2006): City Council websites as a means of place brand identity communication. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 2(4), pp. 276-296.

Florek, M. & Kavaratzis, M. (2014): From brand equity to place brand equity and from there to the place brand. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 10(2), pp. 103-107.

Florek, M. (2011): Online City Branding. In: Dinnie, K. (eds): *City Branding: Theory and Cases.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Florida, R. (2002): *The Rise of the Creative Class. And How It's Transforming Work, Leisure and Everyday Life.* New York: Basic Books.

Foroudi, P. & Akarsu, T. N. & Ageeva, E. & Foroudi, M. M. & Dennis, C. & Melewar, T. C. (2018): Promising the dream: Changing destination image of London through the effect of website place. *Journal of Business Research,* 83, pp. 97-110.

Foroudi, P. & Melewar, T. C. & Gupta, S. (2014): Linking corporate logo, corporate image, and reputation: An examination of consumer perceptions in the financial setting. *Journal of Business Research,* 67(11), pp. 2269-2281.

FutureBrand (2020): *The FutureBrand Country Index 2020.* Online elérhető: https://www.futurebrand.com/uploads/FBCi-2020-Assets/FutureBrand-Country-Index-2020.pdf , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.15.

Galí, N. & Camprubí, R. & Donaire, J. A. (2017): Analysing tourism slogans in top tourism destinations. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 6(3), pp. 243-251.

Gandía, J. L. & Archidona, M. C. (2008): Determinants of web site information by Spanish city councils. *Online Information Review,* 32(1), pp. 35-57.

Gardner, B. & Levy, S. (1955): The Product and the Brand. *Harvard Business Review,* március-április, pp. 33-39.

Gartner, W. C. (2014): Brand equity in a tourism destination. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 10(2), pp. 108-116.

Gertner, D. (2011): A (tentative) meta-analysis of the 'place marketing' and 'place branding' literature. *Journal of Brand Management,* 19(2), pp. 112-131.

Giannopoulos, A. A. & Mavragani, E. P. (2011): Traveling Through the Web: A First Step Toward a Comparative Analysis of European National Tourism Websites. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management,* 20(7), pp. 718-739.

Giuliani, M. V. (2003): Theory of attachment and place attachment. In: Bonnes, M. & Lee, T. & Bonaiuto, M. (eds.): *Psychological theories for environmental issues.* Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing Limited.

Govers, R. & Go, F. (2009): *Place Branding. Glocal, Virtual and Physical Identities, Constructed, Imagined, and Experienced.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Govers, R. & Go, F. M. (2010): The E-branding of Places. In: Go, F M. & Govers, R. (eds.): International Place Branding Yearbook 2010: Place Branding in the New Age of Innovation. New York: Palgrave MacMillan.

Govers, R. & Kaefer, F. & Ferrer-Roca, N. (2017): The state of academic place branding research according to practitioners. Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, 13, pp. 1-3.

Govers, R. (2011): From place marketing to place branding and back. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 7(4), pp. 227-231.

Govers, R. (2013): Why place branding is not about logos and slogans. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 9(2), pp. 71-75.

Govers, R. (2015): Rethinking Virtual and Online Place Branding. In: Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. & Ashworth, G. J. (eds.): *Rethinking Place Branding. Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions.* Cham: Springer International.

GPCI (2020): *Global Power City Index 2020.* Institute for Urban Strategies, The Mori Memorial Foundation. Online elérhető: http://mori-m-foundation.or.jp/pdf/GPCI2020_summary.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.11.15.

GPI (2020): *Global Peace Index 2020. Measuring Peace in a Complex World.* Institute for Economics & Peace, Sydney. Online elérhető: http://visionofhumanity.org/reports, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.11

Green, A. & Grace, D. & Perkins, H. (2016): City branding research and practice: An integrative review. *Journal of Brand Management,* 23(3), pp. 252-272.

Gregory, A. (2010): *Planning and Managing Public Relations Campaigns. A Strategic Approach.* London: Kogan Page.

Gregory, A. (2017): Strategic Public Relations Planning and Management. In: Tench, R. & Yeomans, L. (eds.): *Exploring Public Relations. Global Strategic Communication.* Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Gretzel, U. & Collier de Mendonça, M. (2019): Smart destination brands: semiotic analysis of visual and verbal signs. *International Journal of Tourism Cities,* 5(4), pp. 560-580.

Gretzel, U. (2018): Influencer marketing in travel and tourism. In: Sigala, M. & Gretzel, U. (eds.): *Advances in social media for travel, tourism and hospitality: new perspectives, practice and cases.* New York: Routledge.

Grodach, C. (2009): Urban branding: An analysis of city homepage imagery. *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research,* 26(3), pp. 181-197.

Gronroos, C. (2006): Adopting a service dominant logic for marketing. *Marketing Theory,* 6(3), pp. 317-333.

Grosu, C. (2019): *Cum scoti un oras din depresie – Cluj-Napoca, un caz scoala. Lectura obligatorie pentru orice primar din tara primarilor.* Curs de Guvernare, online: http://cursdeguvernare.ro/cum-scoti-un-oras-din-depresie-cluj-napoca-un-caz-scoala-lectura-obligatorie-pentru-price-primar-din-tara-primarilor.html , utolsó letöltés: 2021.03.25.

Gudjonsson, H. (2005): Nation branding. *Place Branding,* 1(3), pp. 283-298.

Guillory, J. E. & Sundar, S. S. (2014): How Does Web Site Interactivity Affect Our Perception of an Organization? *Journal of Public Relations Research,* 26(1), pp. 44-61.

Hall, D. (2004): Branding and national identity: the case of Central and Eastern Europe. In: Morgan, N. J. & Pritchard, A. & Pride, R. (eds.): *Destination Branding: Creating the Unique Destination Proposition.* London: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.

Hall, T. & Hubbard, P. (1998): *The entrepreneurial city: geographies of politics, regime and representation.* Chichester: Wiley.

Han, J. & Mills, J. E. (2006): Zero acquaintance benchmarking at travel destination websites: what is the first impression that nation tourism organizations try to make? *International Journal of Tourism Research,* 8(6), pp. 405-430.

Hankinson, G. (2001): Location branding: A study of the branding practices of 12 English cities. *Brand Management,* 9(2), pp. 127-142.

Hankinson, G. (2004a): Relational network brands: Towards a conceptual model of place brands. *Journal of Vacation Marketing,* 10(2), pp. 109-121.

Hankinson, G. (2004b): The brand images of tourism destinations: A study of the saliency of organic images. *Journal of Product & Brand Management,* 13(1), pp. 6-14.

Hankinson, G. (2007): The management of destination brands: Five guiding principles based on recent developments in corporate branding theory. *Journal of Brand Management,* 14(3), pp. 240-254.

Hankinson, G. (2010): Place branding theory: a cross-domain literature review from a marketing perspective. In: Ashworth, G. & Kavaratzis, M. (eds.): *Towards Effective Place Brand Management*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Hankinson, G. (2015): Rethinking the Place Branding Construct. In: Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. & Ashworth, G. J. (eds.): *Rethinking Place Branding. Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions.* Cham: Springer International.

Hanna, S. & Rowley, J. (2008): An analysis of terminology use in place branding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 4(1), pp. 61-75.

Hanna, S. & Rowley, J. (2011): Towards a strategic place brand-management model. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 27(5-6), pp. 458-476.

Hanna, S. & Rowley, J. (2013): A practitioner-led strategic place brand-management model. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 29(15/16), pp. 1782-1815.

Hanna, S. & Rowley, J. (2015): Rethinking Strategic Place Branding in the Digital Age. In: Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. & Ashworth, G. J. (eds.): *Rethinking Place Branding. Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions.* Cham: Springer International.

Hanna, S. & Rowley, J. (2019): The projected destination brand personalities of European capital cities and their positioning. *Journal of Marketing Management.* 35(11/12), pp. 1135-1158.

Hargita, D. M. (2017): *A magyar atlétikai sportág hatásmechanizmusa a stakeholder preferenciák nézőpontjából.* PhD dissertation, Pannon Egyetem, Gazdálkodás- és Szervezéstudományok Doktori Iskola.

Healey, M. (2009): *Mi az a branding?* Budapest: Scolar Kiadó.

Hegyi, F. B. (2007): Marketingorientált városfejlesztés. *Tér és Társadalom,* 21(3), pp. 129-141.

Helmore, E. (2017): *Detroit redefined: city hires America's first official 'chief storyteller'* The Guardian. Online elérhető: https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2017/sep/05/detroit-redefined-america-first-official-chief-storyteller, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.12.30.

Henning- Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. (2004): Electronic wordof-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(1), pp. 38-52.

Herendy, CS. (2010): A kereső, a dokumentumok és a user. A szemantikus web egy lehetséges nézőpontja. *Médiakutató,* 11(1), pp. 41-55.

Herendy, CS. (2012): Website usability a közigazgatásban. Külföldi önkormányzati gyakorlatok: Bologna. *Médiakutató,* 13(1), pp. 39-58.

Horgan, C. (2015): *When cities change their names to stupid things for stupid reasons.* The Guardian. Online elérhető: https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2015/jan/07/when-cities-change-their-names-to-stupid-things-for-stupid-reasons, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.12.30.

Hornskov, S. B. (2007): On the management of authenticity: Culture in the place branding of Øresund. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 3(4), pp. 317-331.

Hospers, G. J. (2006): Borders, bridges and branding: The transformation of the Oresund region into an imagined space. *European Planning Studies,* 14(8), pp. 1015-1033.

Hospers, G. J. (2010): Making sense of place: from cold to warm city marketing. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 3(3), pp. 182-193.

Hospers, G. J. (2011): Four of the most common misconceptions about place marketing. *Journal of Town and City Management,* 2(2), pp. 167-176.

Houghton, J. P. & Stevens, A. (2011): City Branding and Stakeholder Engagement. In: Dinnie, K. (eds.): *City Branding: Theory and Cases.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Huertas, A. & Rovira, C. & Fernández-Cavia, J. (2011): Interactivity as a key factor in city website visibility and place brand communication. *Journal of Town & City Management,* 2(2), pp. 132-142.

Huertas-Roig, A. & Pritchard, A. & Morgan, N. (2010): Place-making or place branding? Case studies of Catalonia and Wales. In: Ashworth, G. & Kavaratzis, M. (eds.): *Towards Effective Place Brand Management.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Hulberg, J. (2006): Integrating corporate branding and sociological paradigms: a literature study. *Journal of Brand Management,* 14(1/2), pp. 60-73.

Ikuta, T. & Yukawa, K. & Hamasaki, H. (2007): Regional branding measures in Japan – Efforts in 12 major prefectural and city governments. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 3(2), pp. 131-143.

Ind, N. & Riondino, C. M. (2001): Branding on the web: A real revolution? *Journal of Brand Management,* 9(1), pp. 8-19.

Ind, N. (2007): *Living the brand. How to transform every member of your organization into a brand champion.* London; Philadelphia: Kogan Page.

ING (2019): *The World's Most talked About Cities.* ING Media. Online elérhető: https://www.ing-media.com/features/the-worlds-most-talked-about-cities , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

ING (2020): *How Europe's cities are using digital to gain profile.* ING Media. Online elérhető: https://www.ing-media.com/features/how-europes-cities-are-using-digital-to-gain-profile, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

Insch, A. & Florek, M. (2008): A great place to live, work and play: Conceptualising place satisfaction in the case of a city's residents. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 1(2), pp. 138-149.

Insch, A. & Stuart, M. (2015): Understanding resident city brand disengagement. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 8(3), pp. 172-186.

Insch, A. & Walters, T. (2018): Challenging assumptions about residents' engagement with place branding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 14(3), pp. 152-162.

Ipsos (2020): *London Ranks as the Top "City Brand." Sydney Emerges in Second Place, while Paris Declines from First in 2017 to Third in 2020.* Ipsos sajtóközlemény. Online elérhető: https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/news/documents/2020-04/city-brands-2020-press-release_final-april-2020.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.15.

Irházi, J. (2019): *Az új román kormány megszüntette a turisztikai minisztériumot.* Online elérhető: https://turizmus.com/desztinaciok/az-uj-roman-kormany-megszuntette-a-turisztikai-miniszteriumot-1167298, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.12.

Irimieș, C. (2012): Brand Evaluation – A Basic Feature in Modern Brand Management. . *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences,* 37, October, pp. 80-94.

Iversen, N. M. & Hem, L. E. (2008): Provenance associations as core values of place umbrella brands: A framework of characteristics. *European Journal of Marketing,* 42(5/6), pp. 603-626.

Jacobsen, B. P. (2009): Investor-based place brand equity: A theoretical framework. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 2(1), pp. 70-84.

Jankó, F. (2002): A hely szelleme, a településimage és településmarketing. *Tér és Társadalom,* 16(4), pp. 39-62.

Järlehed, J. (2021): Alphabet city: orthographic differentiation and branding in late capitalist cities. *Social Semiotics,* 31(1), pp. 14-35.

Jenes, B. (2012): *Az országimázs mérésének elméleti és gyakorlati kérdései. Az országimázs és az országmárka dimenziói és mérési modellje.* PhD dissertation, Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Gazdálkodástani Doktori Iskola.

Jimenez, B. S. & Mossberger, K. & Wu, Y. (2012): Municipal government and the interactive web: Trends and issues for civic engagement. In: Manoharan, A. & Holzer, M. (eds.): *E-governance and civic engagement: Factors and determinants of e-democracy.* Hershey: Information Science Reference.

Jiménez-Barreto, J & Campo-Martínez, S. (2018): Destination website quality, users' attitudes and the willingness to participate in online co-creation experiences. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics,* 27(1), pp. 26-41.

Jiménez-Barreto, J. & Rubio, N. & Campo, S. & Molinillo, S. (2020): Linking the online destination brand experience and brand credibility with tourists' behavioral intentions toward a destination. *Tourism Management,* 79, 104101.

Jiménez-Barreto, J. & Sthapit, E.& Rubio, N. & Campo, S. (2019): Exploring the dimensions of online destination brand experience: Spanish and North American tourists' perspectives. *Tourism Management Perspectives,* 31, July, pp. 348-360.

Johnson, J. (2021): *Global market share of search engines 2010-2021.* Statista. Online elérhető: https://www.statista.com/statistics/216573/worldwide-market-share-of-search-engines/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.20.

Jørgensen, O. H. (2015): Place and city branding in Danish municipalities with focus on political involvement and leadership. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 12(1), pp. 68-77.

Kádár (2014b): Administrația publică locală online. Analiza reglementărilor și a comunicării online a primăriilor și consiliilor locale din reședințele de judeţ din România. *Revista Transilvană de Ştiinţe Administrative,* 2(35), pp. 40-52.

Kádár, M. & Benedek, I. (2018): Romanian Local Public Government Online 2.0. Analysis of the Fulfillment of the Online Regulations and Communication Criteria of the City Halls and Local Councils of the County Seats of Romania. In: Hinţea, C. M. & Moldovan, B. A. & Radu, B. V. & Suciu, R. M. (eds.): *Transylvanian International Conference in Public Administration 2017.* Kolozsvár: Accent.

Kádár, M. (2008): *Kampánykommunikáció. Kézikönyv a kommunikációs kampányok tervezéséhez és elemzéséhez.* Kolozsvár: Kriterion Kiadó.

Kádár, M. (2013a): Arculatépítés a városmárkázásban. Erdélyi városok márkázásának tapasztalatai. *Korunk,* 2013/04, pp. 44-49.

Kádár, M. (2013b): Az országmárkák értékelésének módszerei. Románia országmárka-értékének gyorselemzése. *Közgazdász Fórum*, 16(5), pp. 3-24.

Kádár, M. (2014a): The process of settlement branding. Case studies on city branding in Transylvania. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences.* Special Issue / 2014, pp. 55-69.

Kalandides, A. & Kavaratzis, M. (2009): From place marketing to place branding – and back: a need for re-evaluation. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 2(1), pp. 5-7.

Kalandides, A. (2011): The problem with spatial identity: revisiting the "sense of place". *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 4(1), pp. 28-39.

Kaneva, N. & Popescu, D. (2011): National identity lite: Nation branding in post-Communist Romania and Bulgaria. *International Journal of Cultural Studies,* 14(2), pp. 191-207.

Kapferer, J. N. (2003): *Strategic Brand Management. Creating and sustaining brand equity long term.* London: Kogan Page.

Kapferer, J. N. (2012): *The New Strategic Brand Management. Advanced insights and strategic thinking.* London: Kogan Page.

Kaplan, A. M. & Haenlein, M. (2010): Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media. *Business Horizons,* 53(1), pp. 59-68.

Karkin, N. & Janssen, M. (2014): Evaluating websites from a public value perspective: A review of Turkish local government websites. *International Journal of Information Management,* 34(3), pp. 351-363.

Kavaratzis, M. & Ashworth, G. J. (2010): Place branding: where do we stand? In: Ashworth, G. J. & Kavaratzis, M. (eds.): *Towards effective place brand management: branding European cities and regions.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Kavaratzis, M. & Ashworth. G. J. (2006): City branding: An effective assertion of identity or a transitory marketing trick? *Place Branding,* 2(3), pp. 183-194.

Kavaratzis, M. & Hatch, M. J. (2013): The dynamics of place brands: An identity-based approach to place branding theory. *Marketing Theory,* 13(1), pp. 69-86.

Kavaratzis, M. & Kalandides, A. (2015): Rethinking the place brand: the interactive formation of place brands and the role of participatory place branding. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space,* 47(6), pp. 1398-1382.

Kavaratzis, M. (2004): From city marketing to city branding: Towards a theoretical framework for developing city brands. *Place Branding,* 1(1), pp. 58-73.

Kavaratzis, M. (2008): *From city marketing to city branding: An interdisciplinary analysis with reference to Amsterdam, Budapest and Athens.* PhD dissertation. University of Groningen, Faculty of Spatial Sciences.

Kavaratzis, M. (2009): Cities and their brands: Lessons from corporate branding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 5(1), pp. 58-73.

Kavaratzis, M. (2010): Is corporate branding relevant to places? In: Ashworth, G. J. & Kavaratzis, M. (eds.): *Towards effective place brand management: branding European cities and regions.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Kavaratzis, M. (2015): Place branding scholars and practitioners: "strangers in the night"? *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 8(3), pp. 266-270.

Kearney (2020): *Global Cities: New priorities for a new world. 2020 Global Cities Report.* Online elérhető: https://www.kearney.com/global-cities/2020, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.11.15.

Keller, K. L. (1993): Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Managing Customer-Based Brand Equity. *Journal of Marketing,* 57(1), pp. 1-22.

Keller, K. L. (1998): *Strategic Brand Management: Building, Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity.* New Jersey: Pearson Education.

Keller, K. L. (2005): Branding Shortcuts. Choosing the right brand elements and leveraging secondary associations will help marketers build brand equity. *Marketing Management,* 14(5), pp. 18-23.

Keller, K. L. (2013): *Strategic Brand Management.* Global Edition. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Kelley, N. (2017): Integrated marketing communications. In: Tench, R. & Yeomans, L. (eds.): *Exploring Public Relations. Global Strategic Communication.* Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Kenesei, Zs. & Cserdi, Zs. H. (2018): *Szolgáltatásmarketing a turizmusban.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Kerr, G. & Oliver, J. (2015); Rethinking Place Identities. In: Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. & Ashworth, G. J. (eds.): *Rethinking Place Branding. Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions.* Cham: Springer International.

Kerr, G. (2006): From destination brand to location brand. *Journal of Brand Management,* 13(4/5), pp. 276-283.

Kim, M. J. & Lim, J. H. (2019): A comprehensive review on logo literature: research topics, findings, and future directions. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 35(13/14), pp. 1291-1365.

King, B. A. & Youngblood, N. E. (2016): E-government in Alabama: An analysis of county voting and election website content, usability, accessibility, and mobile readiness. *Government Information Quarterly,* 33(4), pp. 715-726.

Kingsnorth, S. (2019): *Digital Marketing Strategy. An integrated approach to online marketing.* London: Kogan Page.

Kladou, S. & Kavaratzis, M. & Rigopoulou, I. & Salonika, E. (2017): The role of brand elements in destination branding. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 6(4), pp. 426-435.

Kladou, S. & Kehagias, J. (2014): Assessing destination brand equity: An integrated approach. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 3(1), pp. 2-10.

Klausz, M. (2016): *A Közösségi Média Nagykönyve. Hogyan vidd sikerre céged és önmagad.* Budapest: Athenaeum Kiadó.

Knez, I. (2005): Attachment and identity as related to a place and its perceived climate. *Journal of Environmental Psychology,* 25(2), pp. 207-218.

Knox, S. & Bickerton, D. (2003): The six conventions of corporate branding. *European Journal of Marketing,* 37(7/8), pp. 998-1016.

Kohli, C. & Leuthesser, L. & Suri, R. (2007): Got slogan? Guidelines for creating effective slogans. *Business Horizons,* 50(5), pp. 415-422.

Kopackova, H. & Michalek, K. & Cejna, K. (2010): Accessibility and findability of local e-government websites in the Czech Republic. *Universal Access in the Information Society,* 9(1), pp. 51-61.

Kornberger, M. (2010): *Brand society: how brands transform management and lifestyle.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kotler, P. & Asplund, C. & Rein, I. & Haider, D. (1999): *Marketing Places Europe: How to attract investment, industries, residents and visitors to cities, communities, regions and nations in Europe.* Harlow: Pearson Education Ltd.

Kotler, P. & Gertner D. (2002): Country as brand, product, and beyond: A place marketing and brand management perspective. *Journal of Brand Management,* 9(4), pp. 249-261.

Kotler, P. & Haider, H. D. & Rein, I. (1993): *Marketing Places. Attracting investment, industry, and tourism to cities, states, and nations.* New York: The Free Press.

Kotler, P. & Keller, K. L. (2012): *Marketing Management.* Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Kotler, P. & Keller, K. L. (2016): *A Framework for Marketing Management.* London: Pearson Education Limited.

Lam, P. (2020): *Online Place Branding: The Case of Hong Kong.* London: Routledge.

Larsen, H. G. (2018): The 'mental topography' of the Shanghai city brand: A netnographic approach to formulating city brand positioning strategies. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 8(6), pp. 90-101.

Law, R. & Bai, B. (2008): How do the preferences of online buyers and browsers differ on the design and content of travel websites? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management,* 20(4), pp. 388-400.

Law, R. & Qi, S. & Buhalis, D. (2010): Progress in tourism management: A review of website evaluation in tourism research. *Tourism Management,* 31(3), pp. 297-313.

Lecompte, A. F. & Trelohan, M. & Gentric, M. & Aguilina, M. (2017): Putting sense of place at the centre of place brand development. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 33(5/6), pp. 400-420.

Lee-Geiller, S. & Lee, T. D. (2019): Using government websites to enhance democratic E-governance: A conceptual model for evaluation. *Government Information Quarterly,* 36(2), pp. 208-225.

Levin, A. (2020): *Influencer Marketing for Brands: What YouTube and Instagram can Teach You About the Future of Digital Advertising.* Berkeley, CA: Apress.

Levine, M. (2003): *A Branded World. Adventures in Public Relations and the Creation of Superbrands.* Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.

Lewicka, M. (2011): Place attachment: How far have we come in the last 40 years? *Journal of Environmental Psychology,* 31(3), pp. 207-230.

Li, X. & Wang, Y. (2010): Evaluating the effectiveness of destination marketing organisations' websites: evidence from China. *International Journal of Tourism Research,* 12(5), pp. 536-549.

Lindstrom, M. (2001): Corporate branding and the Web: A global/local challenge. *Journal of Brand Management,* 8(4), pp. 365-368.

Lőrincz, K. (2017): A turisztikai desztinációk marketingtevékenysége. In: Lőrincz, K. & Sulyok, J. (szerk.): *Turizmusmarketing.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Low, G. S. & Ronald, A. F. (1994): Brands, brand management and the brand manager system: A critical historical evaluation. *Journal of Marketing Research,* 31(2), pp. 173-190.

Lucarelli, A. & Berg, P. O. (2011): City branding: a state-of-the-art review of the research domain. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 4(1), pp. 9-27.

Lucarelli, A. (2012). Unraveling the complexity of "city brand equity": a three-dimensional framework. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 5(3), pp. 231-252.

Lucarelli, A. (2015): *The political dimension of place branding.* Stockholm: Stockholm Business School.

Luna-Nevarez, C. & Hyman, M. R. (2012): Common practice in destination website design. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 1(1/2), pp. 94-106.

Lund, N. F. & Cohen, S. A. & Scarles, C. (2018): The power of social media storytelling in destination branding. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management,* 8, pp. 271-280.

Macnamara, J. & Lwin, M. & Adi, A. & Zerfass, A. (2016): PESO media strategy shifts to SOEP: Opportunities and ethical dilemmas. *Public Relations Review,* 42(3), pp. 377-385.

Macnamara, J. (2017): Digital and social media. In: Tench, R. & Yeomans, L. (eds.): *Exploring Public Relations. Global Strategic Communication.* Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Mangold, W. G. & Faulds, D. J. (2009): Social media: The new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons,* 52(4), pp. 357-365.

Markos-Kujbos, É. (2017): *Az online szájreklám (E-WOM) mint marketingkommunikációs eszköz. Az online fogyasztói vélemények információs szerepe a TripAdvisor példáján keresztül.* PhD dissertation, Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Gazdálkodástani Doktori Iskola.

Martínez-Sala, A. & Monserrat-Gauchi, J. & Alemany-Martínez, D. (2020): User Usable Experience: A three-dimensional approach on usability in tourism websites and a model for its evaluation. *Tourism Management Perspectives,* 33, 100579.

McCarthy, N. (2019): *Mobile Set To Outpace Desktop Ad Spending By 2022.* Statista. Online elérhető: https://www.statista.com/chart/19792/global-mobile-ad-spending-distribution-by-platform/#:~:text=According%20to%20Statista's%20Digital%20Market,the%20first%20time%20in%202018, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.20.

Mergel, I. (2013): A framework for interpreting social media interactions in the public sector. *Government Information Quarterly,* 30(4), pp. 327-334.

Merkelsen, H. & Rasmussen, R. K. (2016): Nation branding as an emerging field – An institutionalist perspective. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 12(2), pp. 99-109.

Merrilees, B. & Miller, D. & Herington, C. (2012): Multiple stakeholders and multiple city brand meanings. *European Journal of Marketing,* 46(7/8), pp. 1032-1047.

Merrilees, B. & Miller, D. & Herington, C. (2012): Multiple stakeholders and multiple city brand meanings. *European Journal of Marketing,* 46(7/8), pp. 1032-1047.

Míguez-González, M. I. & Fernández-Cavia, J. (2015): Tourism and online communication: interactivity and social web in official destination websites. *Communication & Society,* 28(4), pp. 17-31.

Miranda, F. J. & Sanguino, R. & Banegil, T. M. (2009): Quantitative assessment of European municipal web sites: Development and use of an evaluation tool. *Internet Research,* 19(4), pp. 425-441.

Moilanen, T. & Rainisto, S. (2009): *How to Brand Nations, Cities and Destinations. A Planning Book for Place Branding.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Moilanen, T. (2015): Challenges of city branding: A comparative study of 10 European cities. Journal of Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, 11(3), pp. 216-225.

Moin, S. M. A. (2020): *Brand storytelling in the digital age: theories, practice and application.* Cham: Palgrave MacMillan.

Molina, A. & Fernández, A. C. & Gómez, M. & Aranda, E. (2017): Differences in the city branding of European capitals based on online vs. offline sources of information. *Tourism Management,* 58, pp. 28-39.

Morgan, N. J. & Pritchard, A. & Piggott, R. (2003): Destination branding and the role of the stakeholders: The case of New Zealand. *Journal of Vacation Marketing,* 9(3), pp. 285-299.

Morgan, N. J. & Pritchard, A. & Pride, R. (2002): *Destination Branding: Creating the Unique Destination Proposition.* London: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.

Morgan, N. J. & Pritchard, A. & Pride, R. (2011): *Destination Brands. Managing Place Reputation.* London: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.

Morrison, A. M. (2013): *Marketing and Managing Tourism Destinations.* London: Routledge.

Munar, A. M. (2011): Tourist-created content: rethinking destination branding. *Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research,* 5(3), pp. 291-305.

Nandan, S. (2005): An exploration of the brand identity – brand image linkage: A communications perspective. *Journal of Brand Management,* 12(4), pp. 264-278.

Nemes, O. (2019): *Generációs mítoszok. Hogyan készüljünk fel a jövő kihívásaira?* Budapest: HVG Könyvek.

Neumeier, M. (2006): *The Brand Gap: How to Bridge the Distance Between Business Strategy and Design; a Whiteboard Overview.* Indianapolis: New Riders.

Neumeier, M. (2016): *The Brand Flip: why customers now run companies – and how to profit from it.* Berkeley: New Riders.

Nicolescu, L. (2008): *Imaginea României sub lupă!: branding și rebranding de țară.* Bukarest: ASE Kiadó.

Nielsen, J. (2007): Breadcrumb Navigation Increasingly Useful. NNG. Online elérhető: https://www.nngroup.com/articles/breadcrumb-navigation-useful/ , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.16.

Nielsen, J. (2012): *Usability 101: Introduction to Usability.* NNG. Online elérhető: https://www.nngroup.com/articles/usability-101-introduction-to-usability/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.11.15.

Nielsen, J. (2020): *Ten Usability Heuritics for User Interface Design.* NNG. Online elérhető: https://www.nngroup.com/articles/ten-usability-heuristics/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.11.15.

Nilsson, J. H. & Eskilsson, L. & Ek, R. (2010): Creating Cross-Border Destinations: Interreg Programmes and Regionalisation in the Baltic Sea Area. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism,* 10(2), pp. 153-172.

O'Dea, S. (2021): *Smartphone users worldwide 2016-2023.* Statista. Online elérhető: https://www.statista.com/statistics/330695/number-of-smartphone-users-worldwide/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

Olins, W. (2004): *A márkák – A márkák világa, a világ márkai.* Budapest: Jószöveg Műhely.

Olins, W. (2008): *The Brand Handbook.* London: Thames & Hudson Ltd.

Oliveira, E. & Ashworth. G. J. (2017): A strategic spatial planning approach to regional branding: challenges and opportunities. In: Campelo, A. (eds.): *Handbook on Place Branding and Marketing.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Oliveira, E. (2016): *Place Branding in Strategic Spatial Planning. An Analysis at the Regional Scale with Special Reference to Northern Portugal.* PhD dissertation, University of Groningen.

Paganoni, M. C. (2012): City branding and Social Inclusion in the Glocal City. *Mobilities,* 7(1), pp. 13-31.

Paganoni, M. C. (2015): *City Branding and New Media. Linguistic Perspectives, Discursive Strategies and Multimodality.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Papadopoulos, N. (2004): Place branding: Evolution, meaning and implications. *Place Branding,* 1(1), pp. 36-49.

Papp-Váry Á. F. (2011): Hogyan lesz a településből márka? A városmárkázás alapjai. In: Róka J. & Jávorka G. & Kovács M. & Téglásy Gy. & Téglási K. (szerk.): *Beszédek könyve polgármestereknek: szónoklatminták és kommunikációs tanácsok minden alkalomra*. Budapest: Raabe Kiadó.

Papp-Váry Árpád (2013): *A márkanév ereje. Szempontok a sikeres brandépítéshez.* Budapest: Dialóg Campus Kiadó.

Papp-Váry, Á. & Farkas, M. (2018a): „Fedezd fel a Te desztinációd!" – Az országszlogenek csoportosítása, avagy mivel csalogatják leginkább a turistákat. *Tér, Gazdaság, Ember,* 6(3), pp. 107-142.

Papp-Váry, Á. & Farkas, M. (2018b): Az országmárka-kommunikáció lehetséges eszközei és csoportosításuk a POE-modell alapján. *ME.DOK Média-Történet-Kommunikáció,* 13(4), pp. 19-40.

Papp-Váry, Á. (2009): Országmárkázástól a versenyképes identitásig – A country branding megjelenése, céljai és természete. *Marketing és Menedzsment,* 43(2), pp. 4-19.

Papp-Váry, Á. (2016): Az országnevek mint márkanevek és szerepük az országmárkázásban: néhány eset a világból. *Tér és Társadalom,* 30(1), pp. 79-91.

Papp-Váry, Á. (2018): Hungary vagy Magyarország? Az országnevek jelentősége márkázási szempontból. *Turisztikai és Vidékfejlesztési Tanulmányok,* 3(3), pp. 28-43.

Papp-Váry, Á. (2019): *Országmárkázás. Versenyképes identitás és imázs teremtése.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Papp-Váry, Á. (2020): *Országmárka-építés. Országnevek, országszlogenek, országlogók, országarculatok és Magyarország márkaépítési törekvései.* Budapest: Dialóg Campus Kiadó.

Pareja-Eastaway, M. & Chapain, C. & Mugnano, S. (2013): Successes and Failures in City Branding Policies. In: Kovács, Z. & Musterd, S. (eds.): *Place-making and Policies for Competitive Cities.* Chichester: Wiley Blackwell.

Park, Y. A. & Gretzel, U. (2007): Success Factors for Destination Marketing Web Sites: A Qualitative Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Travel Research,* 46(1), pp. 46-63.

Parker, A. (2012): Media relations modernized. In: Waddington, S. (eds.): *Share this: the social media handbook for PR professionals.* Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

Parkerson, B. & Saunders, J. (2005): City branding: Can good and services branding models be used to brand cities? *Place Branding*, 1(3), pp. 242-264.

Pernice, K. (2018): *Banner Blindness Revisited: Users Dodge Ads on Mobile and Desktop.* Online elérhető: https://www.nngroup.com/articles/banner-blindness-old-and-new-findings/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.02.15.

Petrea, R. & Petrea, D. & Olău, P. E. & Filimon, L. (2013): Place Branding as Efficient Management Tool for Local Government. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences.* Special Issue / 2013, pp. 124-140.

Pike, S. (2005): Tourism destination branding complexity. *Journal of Product & Brand Management,* 14(4), pp. 258-259.

Pike, S. (2016): *Destination Marketing: essentials.* New York: Routledge.

Piskóti, I. (2012): *Régió− és településmarketing. Marketingorientált fejlesztés, márkázás.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

PMI (2017): *PMBOK GUIDE. A guide to the project management body of knowledge.* Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute.

Popescu, R-I. & Profiroiu, A-G. (2013): Study on Foreigners' Perception on Romania's Image as Tourism Destination. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences,* 39, June, pp. 147-159.

Prokkola, E. (2007): Borders in tourism: the transformation of the Swedish-Finnish border landscape. *Current Issues in Tourism,* 13(3), pp. 223-238.

Pryor, S. & Grossbart, S. (2007): Creating meaning on main street: Towards a model of place branding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 3(4), pp. 291-304.

Pulizzi, J. (2012): The Rise of Storytelling as the New Marketing. *Publishing Research Quarterly,* 28(2), pp. 116-123.

Qi, S. & Law, R. & Buhalis, D. (2008): Usability of Chinese Destination Management Organization Websites. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing,* 25(2), pp. 182-198.

Raffai, CS. (2017): A piacszegmentáció jelentősége és technikái. In: Lőrincz, K. & Sulyok, J. (szerk.): *Turizmusmarketing.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Rainisto, S. K. (2003): *Success Factors of Place Marketing: A study of Place Marketing practices in Northern Europe and The United States*. PhD dissertation, Helsinki University of Technology.

Rausch, A. S. (2008): Place branding in rural Japan: Cultural commodities as local brands. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*, 4(2), pp. 136-146.

Rekettye, G. & Hetesi, E. & Törőcsik, M. (2015): *Bevezetés a marketingbe.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

RepTrak (2018a): *The World's Most Reputable Countries. 2018 Country RepTrak.* Online elérhető: https://cope-cdnsta.agilecontent.com/resources/pdf/1/2/1529840032921.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.10.

RepTrak (2018b): *The World's Most Reputable Cities. 2018 City RepTrak.* Online elérhető: https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/2963875/_PDF/RepTrak/City_Reptrak_2018f.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.16.

Revella, A. (2015): *Buyer Personas. How to Gain Insight into your Customer's Expectations, Align your Marketing Strategies, and Win More Business.* Hoboken, New Jersey: Wiley & Sons.

Ries, A. & Trout, J. (2001): *Positioning: The Battle for Your Mind.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

Roll, M. (2006): *Asian Brand Strategy. How Asia builds strong brands.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Rowles, D. (2018): *Digital Branding. A complete step-by-step guide to strategy, tactics, tools and measurement.* New York: Kogan Page.

Rowley, J. & Bird, D. (2011): Online branding. In: Pattinson, H. M. & Low, D. R. (eds.): *E-Novation for Competitive Advantage in Collaborative Globalization: Technologies for Emerging E-Business Strategies.* Hershey: IGI Global.

Rowley, J. (2004): Online branding. *Online Information Review,* 28(2), pp. 131-138.

Rowley, J. (2008): Understanding digital content marketing. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 24(5/6), pp. 517-540.

Sas, I. (2018): *Reklám és pszichológia a webkorszakban – Upgrade 4.0 – A kiegyezés kora.* Budapest: Kommunikációs Akadémia.

Schmidt, K. (1995): *The Quest for Identity: Strategies, Methods and Examples.* London: Cassell.

Schwartz, B. (2016): *Google's mobile-friendly algorithm boost has rolled out.* Online elérhető: https://searchengineland.com/googles-mobile-friendly-algorithm-boost-rolled-249357, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.05.20.

Scott, D. M. (2011): *The New Rules of Marketing and PR. How to use Social Media, Online Video, Mobile Applications, Blogs, News Releases & Viral Marketing to Reach Buyers Directly.* Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

Sevin, H. E. (2014): Understanding cities through city brands: City branding as a social and semantic network. *Cities,* 38, pp. 47-56.

Shafranskaya, I. & Potapov, D. (2014): An empirical study of consumer-based city brand equity from signalling theory perspective. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 10(2), pp. 117-131.

Shank, I. (2017): *How Milton Glaser's Iconic Logo Made the World Love New York Again.* Online elérhető: https://www.artsy.net/article/artsy-editorial-logo-made-love-new-york, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.05.20.

Sicilia, M. & Pérez, R. & Heffernan, T. (2008): How do E.U. cities utilize their websites? A content analysis and suggestions for improvement. *Journal of Internet Business,* 5, pp. 2-26.

Simmons, G. & Thomas, B. & Truong, Y. (2010): Managing i-branding to create brand equity. *European Journal of Marketing,* 44(9), pp. 1260-1285.

Simmons, G. (2007): I-Branding: developing the internet as a branding tool. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning,* 25(6), pp. 544-562.

Simmons, G. (2008): Marketing to Postmodern Consumers: Introducing the Internet Chameleon. *European Journal of Marketing,* 43(3/4), pp. 299-310.

Skinner, H. (2008): The emergence and development of place marketing's confused identity. *Journal of Marketing Management,* 24(9/10), pp. 915-928.

Sobaci, M. Z. (2016): Social Media and Local Governments: An Overview. In: Sobaci, M. Z. (eds.): *Social Media and Local Governments. Theory and Practice.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Statcounter (2021a): *Search Engine Market Share Worldwide.* Statcounter GlobalStats. Online elérhető: https://gs.statcounter.com/search-engine-market-share ,utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

Statcounter (2021b): *Browser Market Share Worldwide.* Statcounter GlobalStats. Online elérhető: https://gs.statcounter.com/browser-market-share ,utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.04.15.

Statista (2020): *Number of smartphone users worldwide from 2016 to 2023.* Online elérhető: https://www.statista.com/statistics/330695/number-of-smartphone-users-worldwide/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.05.20.

Statista (2021): *Most popular social networks worldwide as of January 2021.* Online elérhető: https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.02.15.

Stedman, R. & Beckley, T. & Ambard, M. (2004): A Picture and 1000 Words: Using Resident-Employed Photography to Understand Attachment to High Amenity Places. *Journal of Leisure Research,* 36(4), pp. 580-606.

Stepchenkova, S. & Tang, L. & Jang, S. & Kirilenko, A. P. & Morrison, A. M. (2010): Benchmarking CVB website performance: Spatial and structural patterns. *Tourism Management,* 31(5). pp. 611-620.

Stern, B. B. (2006): What does brand mean? Historical-analysis method and construct definition. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science,* 34(2), pp. 216-223.

Stock, F. (2009): Identity, image and brand: A conceptual framework. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 5(2), pp. 118-125.

Stock, F. (2009): Identity, image and brand: A conceptual framework. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 5(2), pp. 118-125.

Stubbs, J. & Warnaby, G. (2015): Rethinking Place Branding from a Practice Perspective: Working with Stakeholders. In: Kavaratzis, M. & Warnaby, G. & Ashworth, G. J. (eds.): *Rethinking Place Branding. Comprehensive Brand Development for Cities and Regions.* Cham: Springer International.

Szőcs, A. (2012): *A fogyasztói márkaérték MIMIC modellje. A fogyasztói márkaérték okozati specifikációjának tesztelése.* PhD dissertation, Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Gazdálkodástani Doktori Iskola.

Szondi, Gy. (2007): The role and challenges of country branding in transition countries: The Central and Eastern European experience. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 3(1), pp. 8-20.

Szűts, Z. & Yoo, J. (2018): A chatbotok jelensége, taxonómiája, felhasználási területei, erősségei és kihívásai. *Információs Társadalom,* 18(2), pp. 41-55.

Szűts, Z. (2012): Az internetes kommunikáció története és elmélete. Az ARPANET-től a Web 2.0-ig. *Médiakutató,* 13(1), pp. 7-18.

Szűts, Z. (2019): *Online – Az internetes kommunikáció és média története, elmélete és jelenségei.* Budapest: Wolters Kluwer.

Tankovska, H. (2021): *Social Media – Statistics & Facts.* Statista. Online elérhető: https://www.statista.com/topics/1164/social-networks/ , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.20.

Tasci, A. D. A. (2020): Exploring the analytics for linking consumer-based brand equity (CBBE) and financial-based brand equity (FBBE) of destination or place brands. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy,* 16(1), pp. 36-59.

Taylor, M. J. & England, D. (2006): Internet marketing: website navigational design issues. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning,* 24(1), pp. 77-85.

Therkelsen, A. & Halkier, H. & Jensen, O. B. (2010): Branding Aalborg: building community or selling place? In: Ashworth, G. & Kavaratzis, M. (eds.): *Towards Effective Place Brand Management.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Törőcsik, M. & Somogyi, Z. (2009): Az országmárkázás kérdései. *Marketing & Menedzsment,* 43(2), pp. 20-29.

TPBO (é.n.): *Place Brand Rankings and Indices: Overview.* The Place Brand Observer. Online elérhető: https://placebrandobserver.com/place-brand-rankings-indices/, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.16.

Trueman, M. & Cornelius, N. & Wallace, J. (2012): Building brand value online: exploring relationships between company and city brands. *European Journal of Marketing,* 46(7/8), pp. 1013-1031.

Tuch, A. N. & Presslaber, E. E. & Stöcklin, M. & Opwis, K. & Bargas-Avila, J. A. (2012): The role of visual complexity and prototypicality regarding first impression of websites: Working towards understanding aesthetic judgements. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies,* 70(11), pp. 794-811.

U.S. News (2020): *Best Countries 2020. Global rankings, international news and data insights.* Online elérhető: https://www.usnews.com/media/best-countries/overall-rankings-2020.pdf, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.11.

Upshaw, L. B. (1995): *Building Brand Identity. A Strategy for Success in a Hostile Marketplace.* New York: Wiley & Sons.

Urry, J. (1990): *The Tourist Gaze.* London: Sage Publishing.

Urs, N. (2020): Evaluarea guvernării electronice în oraşele din România. Online elérhető: https://fspac.ubbcluj.ro/ro/evenimente/evaluarea-guvernarii-electronice-orasele-din-romania, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2021.03.20.

Van Gelder, S. (2011): City Brand Partnerships. In: Dinnie, K. (eds.): *City Branding: Theory and Cases.* Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Van Ham, P. (2001): The Rise of the Brand State: The Postmodern Politics of Image and Reputation. *Foreign Affairs,* 80(5), pp. 2-6.

Veres, Z. (2009): *A szolgáltatásmarketing alapkönyve.* Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Vinyals-Mirabent, S. & Kavaratzis, M. & Fernández-Cavia, J. (2019): The role of functional associations in building destination brand personality: When official websites do the talking. *Tourism Management,* 75, December, pp. 148-155.

Vinyals-Mirabent, S. (2014): Branding and Website Communication: A long road ahead. In: Egger, R. & Maurer, C. (szerk.): *ISCONTUR 2014. Tourism Research Perspectives. Proceedings of the International Student Conference in Tourism Research.* Norderstedt: Books on Demand.

Voorveld, H. A. M. & van Noort, G. & Duijn, M. (2013): Building brands with interactivity: The role of prior brand usage in the relation between perceived website interactivity and brand responses. *Journal of Brand Management,* 20(7), pp. 608-622.

Vuignier, R. (2017). Place branding & place marketing 1976–2016: A multidisciplinary literature review. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing*, 14(4), pp. 447–473.

Waddington, S. (2021): *PESO explained for marketing and public relations.* Wadds Inc. Online elérhető: https://wadds.co.uk/blog/peso-for-marketing-and-pr, utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.16.

Ward, S. V. (1998): *Selling Places. The Marketing and Promotion of Towns and Cities 1850-2000.* New York: Routledge.

Warnaby, G. & Medway, D. (2010): Semiotics and place branding: the influence of the built and natural environment in city logos. In: Ashworth, G. & Kavaratzis, M. (eds.): *Towards Effective Place Brand Management.* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Warnaby, G. (2009): Look up! Retailing, historic architecture and city centre distinctiveness. *Cities,* 26(5), pp. 287-292.

Warnaby, G. (2011): What About the Place in Place Marketing? *Academy of Marketing Conference,* július 5-7, Liverpool, Egyesült Királyság.

Welch, E. W. (2012): The relationship between transparent and participative government: A study of local governments in the United States. *International Review of Administrative Sciences,* 78(1), pp. 93-115.

Wheeler, A. (2017): *Designing Brand Identity: Essential Guide for the Whole Branding Team.* Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

Whitenton, K. (2016): *Website Logo Placement for Maximum Brand Recall.* Online elérhető: https://www.nngroup.com/articles/logo-placement-brand-recall/ , utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.12.30.

Yan, J. (2011): Social media in branding: fulfilling a need. *Journal of Brand Management,* 18(9), pp. 688-696.

Yasmeen, R. (2019): *Top 100 City Destinations.* Euromonitor International. Online elérhető: https://go.euromonitor.com/white-paper-travel-2019-100-cities.html,utolsó letöltés dátuma: 2020.04.16.

Young, M. (2018): *Ogilvy a reklámról a digitális korban.* Budapest: Kossuth Kiadó.

Youngblood, N. E. & Mackiewicz, J. (2012): A usability analysis of municipial government website home pages in Alabama. *Government Information Quarterly,* 29(4), pp. 582-588.

Zavattaro, S. & Sementelli, A. (2014): A critical examination of social media adoption in government: introducing omnipresence. *Government Information Quarterly,* 31(2), pp. 257-264.

Zavattaro, S. (2014): *Place Branding through Phases of the Image. Balancing Image and Substance.* New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Zenker, S. & Beckmann, S. C. (2012): Place branding: The issue of a narrowed tourism perspective. In: Tsiotsou, R. H. & Goldsmith, R. E. (eds): Strategic Marketing in Tourism Services. Bingley: Emerald.

Zenker, S. & Braun, E. & Petersen, S. (2017): Branding the destination versus the place: The effects of brand complexity and identification for residents and visitors. *Tourism Management*, 58, pp. 15-27.

Zenker, S. & Braun, E. (2010): *Branding the city – A conceptual approach for place branding and place brand management.* 39th European Marketing Academy Conference, június 1−4, Koppenhága, Dánia.

Zenker, S. & Braun, E. (2017): Questioning a "one size fits all" city brand. Developing a branded house strategy for place brand management. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 10(3), pp. 270-287.

Zenker, S. & Erfgen, C. (2014): Let them do the work: a participatory place branding approach. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 7(3), pp. 225-234.

Zenker, S. & Jacobsen, B. (2015): Introduction to Interregional Place Branding. In: Zenker, S. & Jacobsen, B. P. (szerk.): *Inter-Regional Place Branding. Best Practices, Challenges and Solutions.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Zenker, S. & Jacobsen, B. P. (2015): *Inter-Regional Place Branding. Best Practices, Challenges and Solutions.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Zenker, S. & Martin, N. (2011): Measuring success in place marketing and branding. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*, 7(1), pp. 32-41.

Zenker, S. & Petersen, S. & Anholt, A. (2013): The Citizen Satisfaction Index (CSI): Evidence for a four basic factor model in a German sample. *Cities,* 31, pp. 156-164.

Zenker, S. & Petersen, S. (2014): An Integrative Theoretical Model for Improving Resident-City Identification. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space,* 46(3), pp. 715-729.

Zenker, S. (2011): How to catch a city? The concept and measurement of place brands. *Journal of Place Management and Development,* 4(1), pp. 40-52.

Zheng, Y. & Schachter, H. L. & Holzer, M. (2014): The impact of government form on e-participation: A study of New Jersey municipalities. *Government Information Quarterly,* 31(4), pp. 653-659.

Zwass, V. (2010): Co-Creation: Toward a Taxonomy and an Integrated Research Perspective. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce,* 15(1), pp. 11-48.