

BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY
“HISTORY, CIVILIZATION, CULTURE” DOCTORAL SCHOOL

DOCTORAL THESIS

The Academic City of Cluj and the Rise of the New Nationalism
(1919-1925)

Summary

Doctoral supervisor:

Professor Toader Nicoară, PhD

PhD student:

Maria Nicoleta Berețchi (C. Ghitta)

Cluj-Napoca

2020

Contents

Introduction:

Interwar anti-Semitic nationalism in Transylvania. A theoretical and historiographical framework...p. 3

Chapter I

The University of Cluj, first year. The “Petru Maior” Student Centerp. 24

Chapter II

The First Student Congress in Greater Romania; Cluj, 1920.....p. 41

Chapter III

The chronicle of a wasted yearp. 58

1. In conflict with the authorities; the battle for “numerus clausus” in the 1922-1923 academic year at the University of Cluj
2. An atypical leader at the head of the “Petru Maior” Student Center in Cluj: Ion I. Moța

Chapter IV

Preparations for the 1923/24 academic year and the “nationalization” of Clujp. 103

Chapter V

The student movement in and outside the Universityp. 122

1. Autumn recoveries
2. The student movement outside the University
3. The brutalization of Cluj and the personification of the Movement: The Six from Văcărești

Chapter VI

The Transylvanian version of a “European model”: The Romanian Action” (1923-1925)p. 179

1. Foundation, activity, ideology
2. Professor I. C. Cătuneanu – A long-running anti-Semite
3. A clergyman in the “Romanian action”: Dr. Titus Mălăiu
4. Transylvanian echoes of a papal document: The Consistorial address of 20 December 1926

Conclusions.....p. 229

Bibliography.....p. 233

For most Romanians, the Union of 1918 was a genuine miracle. It was all the more surprising as it came after a devastating war, during which the very existence of the Romanian state had been put to the test, and the “age-old dream”, the project of unifying all Romanians, had seemed more distant than ever. The upheavals on the battlefronts and the troubled aftermath of the war had once again twisted the outcome. Triumph had arrived, after much suffering, shame and fear, and while it was unexpected, it was also overwhelming: that uplifting feeling that Pușcariu had experienced nowhere more fully than in Cluj. Of all the newly incorporated provinces, Transylvania seemed to best illustrate the success that had already been gained. It also had the potential to make significant attempts to consolidate this achievement. Soon afterwards, those who had awakened from the euphoria of celebration faced new responsibilities. Greater Romania proved to be more than a miracle; it was a great challenge. The economic, social, cultural and legal disparities between the regions – and between the regions and the Old Kingdom – were obvious and could not be resolved overnight. The avalanche of problems calling for a unifying effort came amid the persistent post-war economic crisis. It took years (a decade) to pass a unitary school legislation for the whole country. And this is just one example. Other issues that demanded resolution remained in abeyance for an even longer time, or were never resolved. And the solutions that were found were far from satisfying for everyone.

The heritage Transylvania brought to the table also had a significant peculiarity: the high percentage of minorities, their diversity (even if one was numerically dominant, the Hungarian minority), and their overrepresentation in cities and in the urban social and economic environments. All these proved to be touchstones for the country that defined itself as a “national” state.

The nationalism of the Transylvanian Romanians came with its own peculiarities as well: it had been practiced until then from the stance of an opposition political force, in conflict with a foreign power. The Romanians, who had demanded national rights before 1918, were now in a position to grant them to others and, at the same time, to build the new unified Romanian state and to reconcile distinct political traditions (their own and those of the Old Kingdom). The solutions to these challenges were also diverse. Some embraced the radicalism of political and ideological extremism; in terms of the attitude towards Jews, they endorsed an explicit and assumed anti-Semitism. The nationalist-Christian and the Legionary doctrines also had representatives in Transylvania, where two different experiences in managing the “Jewish

problem” found a meeting ground. The confrontation between what we would call the “Romanian model” and the “Hungarian model” highlighted the gap between those two experiences.

For the modern Romanian state, the question of the status of the Jews was a kind of millstone carried in diplomatic suitcases to all the great international meetings of the late nineteenth century and the beginning of the next. The Romanian Jews obtained legal and political emancipation only at the Paris Peace Conference, and the question of the effective granting of this status remained in the hands of the Constitution that was voted in March 1923. By comparison, what had happened in Hungary during the same period was different. In 1867 Jews obtained the desired political-legal status, shortly after their co-religionists in countries such as England (1860), Germany (1864), Italy (1866). The quarter-century after the initialing of dualism would become, for Hungarian Jewry, a true “golden age”, revered with nostalgia, even if this would eventually prove to be a bitter nostalgia. This successful model of solving the Jewish problem also brought discontent. From the point of view of other nationalities in the monarchy, it could pose serious problems, as in the case of the Slovaks or in that of the Romanians from Transylvania. For the latter, the perception of the Jews as the closest and loyal allies of the Hungarians (in the policy of Magyarization) did not create a very encouraging perspective on mutual relations. The configuration of Romanian attitudes towards Jews after 1918 often took place within a triangle of Romanian-Hungarian-Jewish nationalism). The “Jewish Problem” in interwar Transylvania was to be swept into the frantic carousel of the “national problem” in Romania.

The enthusiastic national consensus – easy to gain even between the politicians of Transylvania and those of the Old Kingdom when it came to achieving the Union – turned shortly after the achievement of the goal into something else: a competition for putting it into practice. As the new center was in Bucharest, the Liberals quickly imposed themselves, especially as they played by their own rules. Once the Treaty on Minorities was signed, the Vaida-Voievod government – whose leader was in talks on the broader Paris peace process – was overthrown. The Governing Council (the provisional government of Transylvania) was also disbanded before the agreed deadline. For these reasons, but also for others (the large number of heads of institutions coming from across the mountains, for example), the Transylvanian elites sometimes felt they were pushed aside and not allowed to take part in the new national

construction. Hence, the harsh opposition within which the Transylvanians' National Party barricaded itself, including by refusing to participate in the coronation celebrations in Alba Iulia, for which they would always be reproached by their opponents (and others): regionalism. This was the label meant to enrage the Transylvanian Romanians, considered incapable of putting the general national interest above all else; a label they tried to get rid of, at one point, by merging with the Peasants' Party from older Romania. They did so in 1926, after long deferrals. Too long, according to a wing of the party headed by Vasile Goldiș, which had meanwhile joined the "Averescani" (People's Party); they accused Maniu's branch of rigidity and imposed unacceptable conditions on him. Without a political program, there was also a different faction which embraced "regionalist regionalism": the more Orthodox South versus the more Greek-Catholic North.

Nor was the centralizing effort of the Liberals always ardently accepted. It was sometimes detrimental to the new provinces, and the Transylvanians, no matter how patriotic, could not ignore this: "The Liberals tenaciously apply their system of unification. It consists in leveling everything, at any cost, even if it is tantamount to a downgrading effort. If we can't go forward, let's go backward."

For those who read the documents of the time, the social and political climate of everyday life in the capital of Transylvania does not seem an oasis of peace. On the contrary. Disputes, grievances, the stresses and worries of daily living are reflected every step of the way, whether in the mutually accusatory political discourse (government/opposition/party x is to blame for all this), or in the compensatory-nationalist discourse (we will overcome all obstacles in the name of national solidarity, we will overcome all the hurdles raised by our internal enemies; external danger was rarely or never invoked in those first years). There was also an extreme version of the latter discourse, which gradually gained traction and managed to almost completely exempt the Romanians from any responsibility in relation to the often-bumpy road that the difficult project of National Construction had to travel.

As the circular of the "Petru Maior" Center told its members, during the Easter holidays of April 1923, they had to convey to the people (the peasants) "that, if there are many difficulties, it is not the fault of our people, who are perhaps better endowed by nature than other nations". The text does not name those "other nations", but merely leaves room for insinuation. The next leader of the students was much more inflexible: "all the Jews are our enemies today"

(Ion I Moța)". The new, anti-Semitic, nationalism managed to absolve the "Romanian people" much better from any guilt for the hardships of the present. The Hungarian government was usually held responsible for the past, at least in Transylvania. Some of its errors were still producing effects. They could be invoked, but only at a regional level. For country as a whole it was much easier to project a common danger, distributed in all areas, especially in cities: the Jewish danger. Anti-Semitism could thus simultaneously play the role of central pillar of intransigent nationalism (as it defined itself), in which foreigners (Jews) were the first to be held responsible for the "disaster" (hardships) of the country, but also that of a unifying factor. It functioned just as well in the territories of older Romania as in those of Greater Romania. As proof, the National-Christian factions or those belonging to similar currents (all champions of the new nationalism) managed to merge before others (in 1925) and then presented themselves, together, as an expression of the new "spiritual union" of all Romanians. If the "Jewish element" was indicted in countries such as Germany or Hungary (the countries that had lost the war) as "the main reason" for their defeat, the one responsible for their disaster, lo and behold!, ideological resources were found in countries such as Romania to declare the same Jewish population guilty of making it very difficult to manage and implement the victory. Depending on various needs, an anti-Semitism of mainly social and economic extraction could "explain" the difficulties faced by the Romanian population, still so strongly connected to the rural area, in its attempts to integrate in cities and to have more bourgeois occupations. This type of anti-Semitism was also used by the new organizations that called themselves "national-Christian". But the term "Christian" in that phrase was meant to convey more than that. It pointed out the need for a struggle to return to the situation before the legislation that had allowed Jews to integrate themselves in the modern state, including in the Romanian one; a state in which full equality was ensured on the basis of "nationality" or "citizenship". And the Jews could thus become "Romanian", just like they had become "English" or "French", etc. The particle "Christian" was recharged with an additional ideologized meaning, meant to correct this error of modernity and to introduce a clear determination to reject others (non-Christians). In this new sense, the term "Christian" acquired more of a racial connotation (a criterion for rejecting an entire category) than a religious one. Hence, the reaction of some Christian thinkers or Churches (see the intervention made by the Vatican, at one point).

In those first years after the Union (1919-1925, 1926), the academic city of Cluj tapped into several resources in the process that led to the coagulation and mobilization of this anti-Semitic nationalism. The above-described features of a doctrinal anti-Semitism with multiple tiers were defining especially for the generation of well-established professors who founded the “Romanian Action” and who then joined the LANC.

The younger generation, the students, followed for a while (partially) this doctrinal and institutional organization, up to the point where they realized that it limited their capacity for action. After all, had they, the students, not started everything (that is, the anti-Semitic revolt) in the autumn of 1922? Weren't they the “generation of 1922”, “the new generation” – as the name of the periodical (*Generația nouă*) that appeared in Cluj in 1927, with an almost identical layout with that of *Dacia Nouă* from 1922? It's just that by 1927, the “generation of 1922” had graduated university and set out on its own, autonomous ideological and organizational path.

The students of Cluj played one of the most complex roles in this new nationalist movement, often and inexplicably ignored or swiftly glossed over. In 1920 Cluj hosted the first Students' Congress in Greater Romania and witnessed the confrontation between the current of opinion coming from the Old Kingdom, demanding the exclusion of foreigners (Jews) (also) from student organizations, and the ideal of integrating and protecting the minorities, entrenched in the new Paris peace regulations.

In 1922, the revolt for the “*numerus clausus*” was to break out in the laboratories of the Faculty of Medicine, leading to a suggestive transition from an issue that was relevant in the Central-European and Transylvanian context to one with national implications for the New Romania. A wasted academic year at the University of Cluj was nonetheless to yield one of the most prominent leaders of the new anti-Semitic student movement, the future Legionary Movement.

This thesis reconstructs the Transylvanian side of the story about the beginnings of the new nationalism in Cluj. For many of its adherents, this movement started while they were students here and turned into a true generational project. Born in the university and moving outside its walls, with periodic appeals to new generations of young people, the initial “student movement” shaped its ideology and means of action, designated its leaders, from its very first years of existence – those from “before the Legion”, as Ion I. Moța put it.

Bibliography

I. Sources

Archive funds:

- Biblioteca Centrală Universitară “Lucian Blaga” Cluj-Napoca (BCU-CN), *Fond “Petru Maior”*, Ms. 5824, 5851, 5900, 5988, 5993, 6011
- Serviciul Județean Cluj al Arhivelor Naționale, Cluj-Napoca (SJCAN)
 - a. *Fond Universitatea din Cluj*, Ședințele Senatului Universitar, dosar 4, 5
 - b. *Fond Universitatea “Regele Ferdinand I” Cluj*, Facultatea de Litere și Filosofie
 - c. *Fondul personal Amos Frâncu*

Periodicals

- *Acțiunea Românească*, 1924, 1925, 1926
- *Anuarul Universității din Cluj*, 1919-1920, Cluj, 1921; 1922-23, Cluj, 1924; 1923-24, Cluj, 1925
- *Curierul Creștin*, 1925, 1926, 1927
- *Cuvântul Studentesc*, 1922, 1923, 1924
- *Dacia Nouă*, 1922
- *Înfrățirea*, 1920, 1921, 1922, 1923
- *Înfrățirea Românească*, 1925
- *Gazeta Transilvaniei*, 1924
- *Generația Nouă*, 1927
- *Glasul Libertății*, 1920
- *Libertatea*, 1923, 1924
- *Lumea Universitară*, 1922
- *Patria*, 1920, 1921, 1922, 1923, 1924
- *România*, 1920
- *Unirea*, 1925, 1926, 1927
- *Voința*, 1920

II. General and specialized reference works

- *A Social and Economic History of Central European Jewry* (edited by Jehuda Don and Victor Karady), Routledge, New Brunswick & London, 1990
- Adorno, Theodore W., *Contro l'antisemitismo*, Manifesto libri, Roma, 1994
- Adorno, Theodore W. - Frenkel-Brunswik, Else - Levinson, Daniel J. - Sanford, Nevitt, *La personalità autoritaria*, vol. I-III, Editore Pgreco, Milano, 1997

- *Almanahul Societății Academice “Petru Maior” al Societăților pe facultăți și academii și al Cercurilor studențești regionale din Cluj*, Tipografia “Cartea Românească” S.A., Cluj, 1929
- Ancel, Jean, “The Image of the Jew in the View of Romanian Anti-semitic Movements: Continuity and Change”, în *Shvut*, 16, Tel Aviv University, 1993
- Ancel, Jean, *Contribuții la istoria României. Problema evreiască 1933-1944*, vol. I (partea întâi și partea a doua), Edit. Hasefer, București 2001
- *Anti-Semitism*, Israel Pocket Library, Jerusalem, 1974
- Arendt, Hannah, *Originile totalitarismului*, Ed. Humanitas, București, 1994
- Audoin-Rouzeau, Stéphane, Prochasson, Christophe, *Sortir de la Grande Guerre. Le monde et l’après-1918*, Éditions Tallandier, Paris, 2008
- Barbu, Violeta, “The Polemic Theology during the second half of the 18th century. A Religious Dimension of the Alterity”, în *The Jews in the Romanian History* (Papers from the International Symposium, Bucharest, September 30-October 4, 1996), Bucharest, 1999
- Bea, Card. Agostino, *La Chiesa e il popolo ebraico*, Morcelliana, Brescia, 1966, p. 21
- Ben-Itto, Hadassa, *Protocoalele Înțelepților Sionului. Anatomia unui fals*, Editura Hasefer, București, 2000
- Berneri, Camillo, *L’Ebreo antisemita*, Carucci, Roma, 1984
- Birnbaum, Pierre, “*La France au français*” *Histoire des haines nationalistes*, Seuil, Paris, 1993
- Blümchen, Isaac, *Dreptul rasei superioare*, traducere și introducere de I.C. Cătuneanu, Tipografia Unirea, Cluj, 1923
- Bozdoghină, Horia, *Antisemitismul lui A.C. Cuza în politica românească*, Editura Curtea Veche, București, 2012
- Bratu, Traian, *Politica națională față de minorități*, București, 1923
- Brătianu, Gheorghe I., *Acțiunea politică și militară a României în 1919 în lumina corespondenței diplomatice a lui Ion I.C. Brătianu*, ed. a II-a, Cartea Românească, București, 1940
- Brânzeu, Nicolae, *Memoriile unui preot bătrân*, Editura Marineasa, Timișoara, 2008
- Brubaker, Rogers - Feischmidt, Margit - Fox, Jon - Grancea, Liana, *Politică naționalistă și etnicitate cotidiană într-un oraș transilvănean*, Cluj-Napoca, Editura Institutului pentru Studierea Problemelor Minorităților Naționale & Kriterion, 2010
- Bruja, Radu Florin, *Extrema dreaptă în Bucovina*, Editura Cetatea de Scaun, Târgoviște, 2012
- Bucur, Ioan-Marius, *Din istoria bisericii greco-catolice române 1918-1953*, Ed. Accent, Cluj-Napoca, 2003
- Burke, Peter, *Istorie și teorie socială*, Ed. Humanitas, București, 1999
- Capitan Peter, Colette, *Charles Maurras et l’idéologie d’Action Française*, Édition de Seuil, Paris, 1972
- *Cardinalul Iuliu Hosu*, coordonatori Silvestru Aug. Prunduș și Clemente Plăianu, Editura Unitas, Cluj-Napoca, 1995
- Călinescu, George, *Istoria literaturii române de la origini pînă în prezent*, Editura Minerva, București, 1982

- Cătuneanu, I.C., *Cuvântare rostită la întrunirea "Acțiunii românești" în Zalău*, Cluj, 1925
- Cătuneanu, I.C., *Cuvinte adevărate*, Tipografia Dacia, Cluj, 1925
- Cătuneanu, I.C., *Discurs la mesajul tronului*, Tipografia Națională, Cluj, 1934
- Cioculescu, Șerban, *Amintiri*, Editura Eminescu, București, 1975
- Chevalier, Yves, *L'antisemitismo. L'ebreo come capro espiatorio*, Istituto Propaganda Libreria, Milano, 1991
- Clark, Robert, *Sfântă tinerețe legionară. Activismul fascist în România interbelică*, Polirom, Iași, 2015
- Dăianu, Elie, "Studentii din Cluj înainte de Unire (1872-1914)", în *Almanahul Societății Academice "Petru Maior"*, Tipografia Cartea Românească, Cluj, 1929
- Dragomir, Silviu, "Population de la Roumanie d'après la nationalite", în *Revue de Transylvanie*, 1935-1936, II, p. 537-539
- Evans, Richard J., *Al Treilea Reich*, vol. I., RAO, București, 2010
- *Fifteen years of Catholic-Jewish Dialogue 1970-1985*, Libreria Editrice Vaticana, Cita del Vaticano, 1988
- Flannery, Edward H., *The Anguish of the Jews. Twenty-Three Centuries of Anti-Semitism*, Paulist Press, New York, London, 1965
- Forbes, H.D., *Nationalisms, Ethnocentrism and Personality: Social Science and Critical Theory*, University of Chicago, Chicago & London, 1985
- Franzinelli, Mimmo, *Fascismo anno zero. 1919: la nascita dei Fasci italiani di combattimento*, Mondadori, Milano, 2019
- Franzinelli, Mimmo, *Squadristi. Protagonisti e tecniche della violenza fascista 1919-1922*, Feltrinelli, Milano, 2019
- Ghibu, Onisifor, *La a douăzecea aniversare a Universității Daciei Superioare*, ediție îngrijită de Crișan Mircioiu, Editura Napoca Star, Cluj-Napoca, 2001
- Ghișa, Ciprian, "Întărind vechi alterități, ridicând noi frontiere: Concordatul dintre România și Vatican - 1929", în *Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai. Theologia Catholica*, LV, nr. 4/2010
- Ghișa, Ciprian, "The Greek-Catholic Discourse of Identity in the Inter-War Period: The Relation between the Nation and People's Religious Confession", în *Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai. Historia*, vol. 57, nr. 2, 2012, p. 54-82
- Ghitta, Maria, "Assuming the Majority Status. The Standpoint on the National Minority Question in the First Inter-War Decade", în *Romania and European Integration* (edited by Ovidiu Pecican), European Studies Foundation Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca, 1999
- Ghitta, Maria, "Ideologi antisemiți interbelici: un necunoscut (I.C. Cătuneanu) și «locotenentul» din Ardeal (Ion I. Moța)", în *Tentația istoriei. În memoria Profesorului Pompiliu Teodor*, coordonatori Nicolae Bocșan, Doru Radosav și Ovidiu Ghitta, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2003
- Ghitta, Maria, "The 1922-1923 Student Revolt at Cluj University and its Anti-Jewish Aspect", în *Jews in Russia and Eastern Europe*, 1-2 (54-55), 2005, pp. 91-107
- Ghitta, Maria, "Un episod timpuriu din organizarea naționalismului interbelic: «Acțiunea Românească» (1923-1925)", în Alina Branda – Ion Cuceu – Claudia Ursuțiu *Spiritualitate și cultură europeană Volum dedicat Profesorului Ladislau Gyémánt la*

împlinirea vârstei de 60 de ani, Presa Universitară Clujeană & Editura Fundației pentru Studii Europene, Cluj-Napoca, 2007

- Ghitta, Maria, “Universitatea din Cluj, anul I. Centrul Studentesc «Petru Maior», în *Istoria ca datorie. Omagiu academicianului Ioan-Aurel Pop la împlinirea vârstei de 60 de ani*, coordonatori Ioan Bolovan și Ovidiu Ghitta, Centrul de Studii Transilvane, Cluj-Napoca, 2015, p. 895-908.
- Ghitta, Maria, “A Priest in the «Romanian Action»: Dr. Titus Mălaiu”, in *Transylvanian Review*, vol. XXIV, no. 3, Autumn 2015, p. 26-39
- Ghitta, Maria, *Primul congres studentesc din România Mare: Cluj, 1920*, în vol. *La granița dintre științe: istorie, mentalități, imaginar Omagiu Profesorilor Simona și Toader Nicoară la vârsta de 60 de ani* (coordonatori Ovidiu Ghitta și Gheorghe Negustor), Argonaut & Mega, Cluj-Napoca, 2017, p. 524-538
- Ghitta, Ovidiu “Istoria la Universitatea din Cluj acum 90 de ani”, în vol. *Istoria culturii, cultura istoriei Omagiu Profesorului Doru Radosav la vârsta de 60 de ani*, Editura Argonaut, Cluj-Napoca, 2010
- Gido, Attila, *Două decenii Evreii din Cluj în perioada interbelică*, Edit. Institutului pentru Studierea Problemelor Minorităților Naționale, Cluj-Napoca, 2014
- Goga, Octavian, “Dela Petru Maior de demult”, în *Almanahul Societății Academice “Petru Maior”*, Tipografia Cartea Românească, Cluj, 1929
- Goga, Octavian, *Mustul care fierbe*, București, 1940
- Greenberg, Rabbi Irving, “Judaism and Christianity: Their Respective Roles in the Divine Strategy of Redemption”, în *Vision of the Other. Jewish and Christian Theologians Assess the Dialogue*, edited by Eugene J. Fisher, New York, 1994
- Gyémánt, Ladislau, *Evreii din Transilvania*, Cluj-Napoca, Institutul Cultural Român, Centrul de Studii Transilvane, 2004
- Heinen, Armin, *Legiunea “Arhanghelului Mihail” Mișcare socială și organizație politică O contribuție la problema fascismului întrenațional*, Editura Humanitas, București, 1999
- Hertzl, Moshe I., *Christianity and the Holocaust of Hungarian Jewry*, New York University Press, New-York & London, 1993
- Horel, Catherine, *Amiralul Horthy regentul Ungariei*, Editura Humanitas, București, 2019
- Iancu, Carol, *L’Émancipation des juifs de Roumanie (1913-1919)*, Université Paul Valéry, Montpellier, 1992
- Iancu, Carol, *Evreii din România. De la emancipare la marginalizare 1919-1938*, Editura Hasefer, București, 2000
- Ioanid, Radu, *The Sword of the Archangel. Fascist Ideology in Romania*, Columbia University Press, New York, 1990
- Ionescu, Mirel, Miron, Greta-Monica Miron, *Istoria Baroului Cluj*, Ed. Argonaut, Cluj-Napoca, 2012
- Iorga, Nicolae, “Datoria studențimii ardeleni”, în *Almanahul Societății Academice “Petru Maior” al societăților pe facultăți și academii și al cercurilor studențești regionale din Cluj*, Cluj, 1929
- Iorga, Nicolae, *Memorii (1922-1925)*, vol. 4, Paul Editions, București, 2018
- Isaac, Jules, *Genése de l’antisémitisme. Essai historique*, Paris, 1956

- *Istoria Universității "Babeș-Bolyai"*, coordonator Ovidiu Ghitta, Editura Mega, Cluj-Napoca, 2012
- Ivan, Marcel, *Evoluția partidelor politice (1919-1932) în cifre și grafice*, Sibiu, 1933
- Jakobovits, Immanuel, *Jewish Medical Ethics: A Comparative and Historical Study of the Jewish Religious Attitude to Medicine and Its Practice*, Bloch Publishing Company, New York, 1959
- Katarich, Sergio M., *Ebrei e cristiani. Storia di un rapporto difficile*, Elle di Ci, Torino, 1993
- Kershaw, Ian, *Hitler*, Motor Press, București, 2012
- Ketzer, David, *Il patto col diavolo. Mussolini e papa Pio XI. Le relazioni segrete fra il Vaticano e l'Italia fascista*, Rizzoli, 2015
- Lewis, Bernard *Semiti e antisemiti. Indagine su un conflitto e su un pregiudizio*, Il Mulino, Bologna, 1990
- Livezeanu, Irina, *Cultură și naționalism în România Mare 1918-1930*, Editura Humanitas, București, 1995
- Lupaș, Ioan, "Societatea studențimii universitare Julia din Cluj și activitatea membrilor ei", în *Lumea Universitară*, an I, nr. 2-3, 1-15 martie, 1922
- Lupaș, Ioan, "Problema orașelor din Transilvania în lumina recensământului din anul 1930", în *Revista economică*, 1932, nr. 27, Sibiu, p. 261-265
- Lupeanu-Melin, Alexandru, "Ce era «Petru Maior» pe vremuri", în *Lumea Universitară*, an I, nr. 2-3, 1-15 martie, 1922
- Macavescu, Mihai, "«Petru Maior» la Cluj", în *Lumea Universitară*, an I, nr. 2-3, Cluj, 1922
- Manuel, Frank E., *The Broken Staff. Judaism through Christian Eyes*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge (Massachusetts) & London, 1992
- Manuilă, Sabin, "Răspunsuri cu privire la situația elementului românesc față de elementele minoritare. Problema populației în cadrul planului economic", în *Sociologie Românească*, nr.6/1994
- Maritain, Jacques, "Gli ebrei tra le nazioni", în *Il mistero di Israele e altri saggi*, Editrice Massimo, Brescia, 1964
- Marțian, Ironim, "Canonicul dr. Titus Mălai (1893-1978)", în *Virtus Romana Rediviva*, nr. 8, 2004, Cluj-Napoca, p. 33-35
- Mălai, Marius, *Istoria renumitei familii Mălai din Transilvania, Leșu, județul Bistrița-Năsăud. Repere genealogice (1689-2005)*, Editura Napoca Star, Cluj-Napoca, 2007
- Mărgineanu, Nicolae, *Mărturii asupra unui veac zbuciumat*, Editura Fundației Culturale Române, București, 2002
- McCagg, William O. Jr., *A History of Habsburg Jews, 1670-1918*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington and Indianapolis, 1992
- Mendelsohn, Ezra, *The Jews of East Central Europe between the World Wars*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington, 1987

- Miccoli, Giovanni, “Santa Sede, questione ebraica e antisemitismo fra Otto e Novecento”, în *Storia d’Italia, Annali*, 11, Torino, 1997
- Miccoli, Giovanni, *I dilemi e i silenzi di Pio XII. Vaticano, Seconda guerra e Shoah*, Rizzoli, Milano, 2007
- Moisa, Gabriel, “Tulburările antisemite în Oradea anului 1927”, în *Crisia*, vol. XXXVIII, Oradea, 2008, p. 189-196
- Mosse, George L., *Le origini culturali del Terzo Reich*, Mondadori, Milano, 1968
- Moța, Ion. I, *La securité juridique dans la Société des Nations*, București, 1932
- Moța, Ion. I, *Cranii de lemn*, ediția a IV-a, Editura Mișcării Legionare, București, 1940
- Mózes, Tereza, *Evreii din Oradea*, Editura Hasefer, București, 1997
- Nagy-Talavera, Nicolas M., *O istorie a fascismului în Ungaria și România*, Editura Hasefer, București, 1996
- Nastasă, Lucian (ed.), *Antisemitismul universitar în România (1919-1939) Mărturii documentare*, Editura Institutului pentru Studiarea Problemelor Minorităților Naționale & Kriterion, Cluj-Napoca, 2011
- Nathanson, Ephraim, “Romanian Governments and the Legal Status of Jews between the two World Wars”, în *Romanian Jewish Studies*, vol. I, nr.1, 1987
- Neagoe, Stelian, *Viața universitară clujeană interbelică (Triumful rațiunii împotriva violenței)*, vol. I, Editura Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1980
- Negoșescu, Ion, *Straja dragonilor*, Ed. Humanitas, București, 2009
- Nemoianu, Petru, “Orașele ardelene”, în *Revista Fundațiilor Regale*, II, 1935, vol. 1, p. 261-370
- Niculescu, Tatiana, *Mistica rugului și a revolverului. Viața lui Corneliu Zelea Codreanu*, Editura Humanitas, București, 2017
- Nolte, Ernst, *Fascismul în epoca sa. Action française, Fascismul italian, Național-socialismul*, Ed. Vivaldi, București, 2009
- Nolte, Ernst, *La guerre civile européenne 1917-1945 National-socialisme et bolchevisme*, Éditions des Syrtes, 2000
- Oișteanu, Andrei, *Mythos & Logos. Studii și eseuri de antropologie culturală*, ed. a II-a, Nemira, București, 1998
- Orga, Valentin, *Moța, pagini de viață, file de istorie*, Edit. Argonaut, Cluj- Napoca, 1999
- Ornea, Z., *Anii treizeci. Extrema dreaptă românească*, Editura Fundației Culturale Române, București, 1995
- Parkes, James, *The Emergence of the Jewish Problem 1878-1938*, Greenwood Press, London, New York, Toronto, 1970
- Patai, Raphael, *The Jews of Hungary. History, Culture, Psychology*, Wayne State University Press, Detroit, 1996
- Paxton, Robert O., *Le fascisme en action*, Éditions du Seuil, 2004

- Petcu, Marian, “Istoria unui eșec: Congresul general al presei din România (Cluj, 1923)”, în *Revista Română de Istoria Presei*, anul VI, nr. 1(11), 2012, pp. 122-135
- Petreu, Marta, *Un trecut deocheat sau “Schimbarea la față a României”*, Edit. Apostrof, Cluj-Napoca, 1999
- Petreu, Marta, *Diavolul și ucenicul său: Nae Ionescu-Mihail Sebastian*, Ed. Polirom, Iași, 2009
- Pop, Valeriu, *Amintiri politice*, Ed. Vestala, București, 1999
- Popa, Octavian F., “Carmen saeculare”, în *Almanahul Societății Academice “Petru Maior”*, Tipografia Cartea Românească, Cluj, 1929
- Predescu, Lucian *Enciclopedia României. Cugetarea* (ediție anastatică), Edit. Saeculum I.O., Edit. Vestala, București, 1999
- Prévotat, Jacques, *L’ Action française*, PUF, Paris, 2004
- *Protocoalele înțelepților Sionului*, în românește de Ion I. Moța student, Editura Vicovia, Bacău, 2012
- Pușcariu, Sextil, “Raportul rectorului Sextil Pușcariu despre activitatea Universității din Cluj dela înființarea ei”, în *Anuarul Universității din Cluj*, anul I, 1919-1920, Cluj, 1921
- Pușcariu, Sextil, *Memorii*, ediție de Magdalena Vulpe, Editura Minerva, București, 1978
- Pușcaș, Vasile, “Universitatea din Cluj și idealul universității moderne (1919-1940)”, studiu introductiv la *Alma Mater Napocensis. Idealul Universității moderne*, Fundația Culturală Română, Cluj- Napoca, 1994
- Pușcaș, Vasile, *Universitate, societate, modernizare. Organizarea și activitatea științifică a Universității din Cluj 1919-1940*, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 1995
- *Recensământul din 1910 în Transilvania*, coordonatori Traian Rotaru, Maria Semeniuc, Elemer Mezei, vol. I, Ed. Staff, București, 1999
- *Recensământul din 1930. Transilvania*, coordonatori Traian Rotaru, Maria Semeniuc, Mezei Elemer, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2011
- Rostás, Zoltán, *Monografia ca utopie. Interviuuri cu Henri H. Stahl*, Ed. Paideia, București, 2000
- Sandache, Cristian, *Doctrina național-creștină*, Editura Paideia, București, 1997
- Sandu, Traian, *Istoria Gărzii de Fier Un fascism românesc*, Editura Cartier, Chișinău, 2019
- Sarfatti, Michele, *Gli ebrei nell’Italia fascista. Vicende, identità, persecuzione*, Einaudi, Torino, 2000
- Sartre, Jean-Paul, *L’antisemitismo. Riflessioni sulla questione ebraica*, Arnoldo Mondadori Editore, 1990
- Schmitt, Oliver Jens, *Corneliu Zelea Codreanu Ascensiunea și căderea “Capitanului”*, Editura Humanitas, București, 2017
- Scurtu, Ioan, *Iuliu Maniu. Activitatea politică*, Ed. Enciclopedică, București, 1995

- Sdrobiș, Dragoș, *Limitele meritocrației într-o societate agrară. Șomaj intelectual și radicalizare politică a tineretului în România interbelică*, Polirom, București, 2015
- Stan, Ana-Maria, “Forme de organizare ale Centrului studentesc Petru Maior de la Universitatea din Cluj între 1919-1925”, în vol. *Societate și civilizație. Profesorului universitar dr. Marcel Știrban la împlinirea a șapte decenii de viață*, volum îngrijit de Călin Florea și Ciprian Năprădean, Târgu-Mureș, 2002
- Stanca, Constantin, “Istoricul preluării Facultății de Medicină din Cluj (1919)”, în O. Ghibu, *La a douăzecea aniversare*
- Stoica, Sever, *Iuliu Maniu*, Institutul de Arte Grafice Tipografia Mayer, Cluj, 1932
- Suciu, Petru, “Dezvoltarea orașelor ardelenene”, în *Societatea de Mâine*, IV, 1927, p. 135-137
- Taguieff, Pierre-André, *Les Protocoles des Sages de Sion*, vol. I, Berg International Editeurs, Paris, 1992,
- Tilea, Viorel V., *Acțiunea diplomatică a României nov. 1919 – mart. 1920*, Sibiu, 1925
- Turcu, Lucian, *Între idealuri și realitate. Arhidieceza greco-catolică de Alba-Iulia și Făgăraș în timpul păstoririi mitropolitului Vasile Suciu (1920-1935)*, Ed. Mega, Cluj-Napoca, 2017
- Turda, Marius, *Ideea de superioritate națională în Imperiul austro-ungar (1880-1918)*, Editura Argonaut, Cluj-Napoca, 2016
- Țurcanu, Florin. “Aux origines de la «Garde de Fer». Deux lettres de Ion Moța à Charles Maurras”, în *Romanian Political Science Review*, vol. II, nr. 2, 2002, p. 539-544
- Vago, Bela, “Evreii în alegerile din România între cele două războaie”, în *Toladot*, nr. 2, 1972
- Veiga, Francisco, *Istoria Gărzii de Fier 1919-1941 Mistica ultranaționalismului*, Ed. Humanitas, București, 1993
- *Vison of the Other. Jewish and Christian Theologians Assess the Dialogue*, Paulist Press International, New York, 1994
- Verdery, Katherine, *Compromis și rezistență Cultura română sub Ceaușescu*, București, Ed. Humanitas, 1994
- Voicu, George, *Teme antisemite în discursul public*, Ed. Ars Docendi, București, 2000
- Volovici, Leon, *Ideologia naționalistă și "problema evreiască". Eseu despre formele antisemitismului intelectual în România anilor '30*, Ed. Humanitas, București, 1995
- Weber, Eugen, *Dreapta românească*, Cluj-Napoca, Editura Dacia, 1995
- Wieviorka, Michel, *Spațiul rasismului*, Ed. Humanitas, București, 1994
- Zelea Codreanu, Corneliu, *Pentru legionari*, Editura “Totul pentru țară”, Sibiu, 1936