

Babes-Bolyai University  
Cluj-Napoca  
Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences

Department of Education Sciences  
Doctoral School: "**Education, Reflection, Development**"

PhD Thesis Summary:

**Optimizing the development of socio-emotional competences  
of preschoolers as prerequisites for academic achievement**

Supervisor,  
Professor Dr. Vasile Chiş

PhD Candidate  
Monica Sima (căs.Coste)

Cluj-Napoca  
2019

## Content

Introduction .....	5
PART I: Theoretical basis	
Chapter 1. Early education	
1.1. The concept of early education .....	10
1.2. The concept of socio-emotional education .....	15
1.3. The curricular approach of socio-emotional education .....	17
1.4. Standards of socio-emotional development in early education .....	24
Chapter 2. Theories of development and learning in relation to socio-emotional development	
2.1. The theory of psychosocial development of E. Erikson .....	27
2.2. The constructivist theories of J. Piaget and J. Bruner .....	31
2.3. The socio-cultural theory of L.S. Vygotsky .....	35
2.4. A. Bandura's social learning theory .....	38
2.5. H. Gardner's Multiple Intelligence Theory .....	44
Chapter 3. Integration of socio-emotional skills in early education	
3.1. The prerequisites of schooling .....	48
3.2. Social development in preschool children .....	51
3.2.1. The main social skills in children .....	52
3.2.2. Initiating and maintaining relationships with others .....	54
3.2.3. Integration into the group of children .....	57
3.3. Emotional development in preschoolers .....	58
3.3.1. Emotions .....	58
3.3.2. The concept of self .....	61
3.3.3. Emotional expressivity .....	64
3.3.4. Emotional control .....	67
Chapter 4. Strategies for optimizing the development of socio-emotional skills	
4.1. Emotional regulation .....	68
4.2. Training of emotional regulation skills and behavioral control .....	69

4.3. ABC Model .....	76
4.4. The pyramidal model for supporting socio-emotional competences .....	79
4.5. School success and its components .....	82

## Part II: INVESTIGATIVE MEASURES

### Chapter 5. Study I. Investigation of the opinions of the educational experts on the primary education segment on the research problem

5.1. Research premises. Delimitation of the research problem .....	89
5.2. Purpose and objectives of the research .....	90
5.3. Research hypothesis .....	91
5.4. The sample .....	91
5.5. Description of the experimental steps .....	92
5.6. Presentation and interpretation of the results .....	94
5.7. Conclusions .....	103

### Chapter 6. Study II. Optimizing the development of the social and emotional skills of preschoolers through educational interventions

6.1. Research premises. Delimitation of the research problem .....	106
6.2. Research objectives .....	112
6.3. Research hypotheses .....	113
6.4. Research variables .....	114
6.5. Place and period of deployment .....	115
6.5. Place and period of deployment .....	115
6.6. Sampling of participants .....	115
6.7. Content sample .....	116
6.8. Research strategy type and design .....	116
6.9. The system of research methods used .....	120

### Chapter 7. Overview of the "Take my hand!" Training program

7.1. Theoretical premises .....	122
7.2. Program description .....	124
7.3. Purpose of the program .....	129

7.4. Specific educational objectives of the training program .....	129
7.5. Curriculum criteria of the intervention program .....	130
7.6. Planning activities within the program .....	135
7.7. Initial evaluation of children .....	135
7.8. Training strategies used .....	135
7.9. Ways of organizing and conducting activities .....	136
Chapter 8. Research results and data interpretation	
8.1. Testing the statistical distribution of data .....	153
8.2. Graphical representation of the distribution of QQ Plot data .....	155
8.3. Distribution of study participants .....	161
8.4. Analysis of the relationship between pre-test and post-test scores .....	163
8.5. Analysis of the relationship between the scores of the two groups .....	167
8.6. Multiple regression analysis .....	172
8.7. Conclusions .....	173
8.8. Research results following retesting .....	174
8.9. Descriptive statistics .....	174
8.10. Inferential statistics .....	175
8.11. Capitalizing research data .....	183
Chapter 9. Conclusions .....	186
Bibliography .....	196
Annexes .....	211

Children's school readiness, their education and caring for them is currently the topic of numerous debates for both Early Education specialists as well as parenting specialists. Considering the recent studies which indicate Early Childhood's major impact on children's subsequent development (Bruce, 2011; English, 2012), devoting attention to building strong educational bases even at such an early age is understandable in order for the future adults to have a well-rounded personality. Therefore, in order that Early Childhood education be efficient, high quality standards are needed which would meet the children's needs in their preparation for the beginning of school. The present dynamics in society requires educational settings which would meet children's current needs of competencies. Year after year, all factors involved in the educational process focused their attention on developing children's cognitive skills, attempting to help them amass a vast amount of information and notions, not taking into account the fact that social and emotional skills are at least equally important to cognitive ones in order for a child to be successful at school and later on in life.

In Romania the year 2000 marks a new beginning regarding how Early Childhood Education is viewed and its major benefits in a child's first years of life. In 2012 a new program called "The Generalization of K-5 in Romanian Early Education" was begun which lowered the threshold for beginning first grade from 7 to 6 years of age. In April of 2012 UNICEF created "School Readiness: a conceptual framework" in which two defining characteristics are mentioned (transition and acquiring competencies) and are analyzed three-dimensionally: children's school readiness in view of their learning and development, schools' preparations for children- school environment along with school practices meant to facilitate an easy transition for children into early elementary school and promote equal opportunities for all children and their families, focusing on parental attitudes and parents' involvement in early learning. All three dimensions are important and require an interconnection and collaboration among all factors involved, as school readiness is a time of transition which demands harmony among children, families, and the system (Fabian and Dunlop, 2006; Vogler, Crivello and Woodhead, 2008). School readiness cannot be defined without realizing that all factors involved (child, family, system) are subject to social, cultural, and historic influences (Rogoff, 2003).

What does school readiness mean? The answer varies based on the respondent. Naturally, parents accentuate pre-academic skills and knowledge (Diamond, Reagan, and Bandyk, 2000; UNICEF 2004), while elementary grades teachers tend to focus on social and emotional

issues (Docket & Perry, 2003). Thus, an important step has been taken in crossing from a general concept, namely emotional intelligence, to a specific one, namely abilities and competencies that an individual possesses. Elias et al. (1997) defined SEL (Social Emotional Learning) as the process of acquiring basic competencies for recognizing and managing emotions, establishing and attaining positive goals, appreciating and understanding others' perspectives, establishing and maintaining positive relationships, making responsible decisions and constructively managing interpersonal situations. The immediate objectives of SEL programs are to develop five interdependent sets of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral competencies: self awareness, self control, social awareness, relational abilities, and making responsible decisions (Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning, 2005).

A recent NESET II report (Strengthening Social and Emotional Education as a Core Curricular Area across the EU, 2018) makes recommendations for social and emotional integration as an essential element of the curriculum across the EU, all based on international research, EU policy and current practices of member states. Among the recommendations made by the report, the one regarding a review of the current state of social and emotional education across member states should be noted; although the importance of socio-emotional education is often recognized, there are considerable differences when it comes to the levels of educational policies, curricular settings, and available programs meant to help schools and children develop socio-emotional competencies. International proof based on research strongly argues for the benefits of SEE in social, emotional, and academic achievements; this fact justifies the ESE acceleration policy as a priority in all member states across the EU (Cefai, Bartolo, Cavioni & Downes, 2018).

### **Purpose**

The proposed research topic is part of the aforementioned context and aims at creating a study based on a group of children ages 5-6 regarding the level of development and improvement of socio-emotional competencies as well as behavioral control after implementing an extensive intervention program. Research suggests that a high quality early education has positive effects on the development of cognitive, social, and emotional skills, greatly influencing academic success during school years and even in adulthood (Gormley, Phillips, Newmark, Welti, & Adelstein, 2011; Huang, Invernizzi, & Drake, 2012). Social and emotional education should become an essential part of learning programs across Europe, as adequate and

sufficient sources, keeping in mind the amount of formatting and the time required by such prioritization. Such recommendations have better chances of properly functioning if they are accompanied by parallel interventions meant to eliminate barriers and create structures and systems that promote mental health and well-being, equal opportunities, and social justice (Cefai et al., 2018). The present Curriculum for Early Childhood from Romania, allocates an insignificant part to socio-emotional education, which is why the educational policies document used in elaborating and setting the intervention program is titled *Fundamental Guidelines in a Child's Early Learning and Development from Birth to Seven Years of Age* (Ionescu et al., 2010).

## **Method**

### *Participants*

Research took place in Babes-Bolyai University's Kindergarten, a public urban kindergarten which has ten groups divided arranged on age level but randomly divided based on gender in such a way that each group has an approximately equal number of each gender. Children's parents are of various social categories, most of them having undergraduate studies. The study was based on three groups of children (N=90) representing 32% of the children who attend Babes-Bolyai University Kindergarten. Research was conducted during the 2017-2018 school year, with the pretest phase between October, 2017 and the post test phase between June, 2018. Children ages 5-6 attended kindergarten since they were 3 years old; the experimental group was comprised of (N=57), the focus group of (N=28), and 5 parents declined participation in the study.

The content material included scientific notions as given by The National Curriculum for Early Education (2008) for children aged 5-6 years old. Our aim was to conform to the requirements of a formatting program linked to the organization and implementation of learning and education in kindergarten via certain punctual educational interventions meant to improve social and emotional competencies by identifying and correcting problematic behavioral issues. The materials used were diverse (story books, poems, drawings, images, emojis, etc.) and were selected based on the proposed objectives using materials available both online and in print format related to the topic of socio-emotional development, according to the themes proposed by the teachers as indicated in the plan for each group of children and meeting the requirements given by the early education curriculum.

### *Research Design*

Based on the nature of the subject at hand, the research conducted is of a practical-applied type, and the manner of approach is a longitudinal one, tracing the same group of children during K5 (2017-2018 school year) and the beginning of their elementary school (2018-2019 school year). Based on the method used the research was conducted in two stages: the first one of diagnosis, the second one of intervention. Considering the specifics of the problem investigated, the present research has a flexible structure which is most adequate for such pedagogical research. This study aims at identifying the effects of the intervention program regarding socio-emotional skills in preschool children of 5-6 years old and was designed and implemented according to the model. The general objective of the research is the implementation of an intervention program focused on shaping and developing socio-emotional skills in preschool children of 5-6 years old.

The stages of research had as a starting point the pre-experimental stage in which we tried to emphasize the level of children's social, emotional, and cognitive development using standardized instruments for observing and measuring. Teachers who participated in the program attended 8 workshops regarding socio-emotional education where we provided them with informative material and courses while the kindergarten's psychologist presented techniques and methods of forming and molding desirable behavior in preschoolers, methods of intervention for developing social and emotional skills as well as positive interaction, and strategies of effectively solving conflicts (e.g. writing a letter of request, waiting for one's turn, verbally expressing anger, sharing toys, obeying rules); we also undertook steps to systematically observe preschoolers and create an inventory of inadequate behavior exhibited by children in the studied groups, behaviors which were then ranked according to frequency, length, latency and intensity.

The formative stage of the experiment included the implementation of the intervention program and activities for the groups we worked with, according to the aim and objectives of the research. In order for this stage to be successful, two main actions were conducted: the organization of activities based on established principles and the monitoring and support of teachers in their work with the help of weekly counseling.

The postexperimental stage involved reassigning the PEDa questionnaires, namely the scales for parents and teachers in evaluating social, emotional, and cognitive competencies. Discussions with teachers participating in the program also took place in view of collecting



data which would allow subsequent in-depth analysis and interpretation of findings as well as improvement of the intervention program.

### *Instruments*

In evaluating preschoolers' social and emotional competencies questionnaire batteries available on the Platform for Development Evaluation in Children Ages 3 to 7 were used (PEDa, Miclea, Balaj, Porumb, Porumb & Porumb, 2010). This platform is a multi-method and multi-observant evaluation system which includes 18 scales for parents, 36 scales for educators, and 49 scales for psychologists. The Platform for Development Evaluation (PEDa) was validated in Romania in 2010 with the intent of offering a means of complex evaluation in developmental areas of children aged 3-7 and was used with permission as an instrument in the present research. The research used scales for evaluating social, emotional, and cognitive competencies for children aged 5-7, the scales being filled in by children's parents who were part of the program and by preschool teachers who participated in the intervention program. The scale which evaluates social competencies in preschoolers has three basic dimensions: compliance to rules, social relations, and prosocial behavior. The scale which evaluates emotional competencies includes three dimensions: understanding emotions, expressing emotions, and managing emotions. Each age category has two variants of the scale, one being completed by the educators and the other one by the parents or tutors. In total, there are 6 different scales for screening social abilities of preschoolers. The present study used the scales for children aged 5-7. For each item the answer is given on a scale of 1-5, where 1 means almost never, 2 seldom, 3 sometimes, 4 very often and 5 almost always. The respondent must circle only one answer for each item, based on the frequency displayed by the child's behavior.

### **Intervention**

The basis of the intervention program were the principles promoted by two educational models regarding the development of such abilities:

#### *Principles of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy - ABC model ( A. Ellis,1979)*

Rational-Emotional-Behavioral Education is a positive, preventive educational program which supports the development of positive self-esteem, of self-control, and of establishing social relations. A. Ellis reached the conclusion that language influences thoughts, and emotions determine the way in which the latter ones are structured. The implementation of the

concepts promoted by Ellis in the educational realm is done using the Rational Emotive Behavior Education (REBE). Ellis (1979, 1985 apud Opre & Vaida, 2008) traces the applicability of this theory using the ABC(DE) model (activating event, cognitions, consequences, disputing, effect). The aim is to prevent the formation of irrational thoughts. Thus, children will learn problem solving strategies and how to control their emotions, will develop adequate social behavior, will increase their self-esteem and perseverance, and will facilitate team work (Ellis & Bernard, 2007).

#### *The Pyramid Model for Supporting Social Emotional Competence in Infants and Young Children*

The Pyramid Model for Supporting Social Emotional Competence in Infants and Young Children (L. Fox et al., 2003) is a conceptual setting for approaching social and emotional development and for correcting provocative behavior at young children. This Pyramid Model setting includes four levels of practice meant to meet the needs of all children, including those with problematic behavior. The key implication is that most answers to provocative behavior are probably found by analyzing adults' behavior and general practice in the classroom, not by identifying the children who need specialized intervention. This model applied in current practice demonstrates that good preparation and planned activities by teachers who are willing to offer all students a high quality educational experience can solve numerous issues arising in the classroom.

Socio-emotional development shows the way in which preschool children gain social abilities, self-awareness, and emotional control, factors connected with learning. This area of development has two interdependent directions. First, the concept of self, which includes self-awareness, belief in oneself and personality, all in turn allowing children to become competent students (S. Harter, 2006; R. A. Thompson, 2006). The same area includes the development of self-awareness and self-esteem, the level of empathy and care for those around, and preschoolers' initiative of becoming enthusiastic and active students. Social competencies include abilities to interact positively with adults and classmates in formal and informal contexts of learning, understanding the roles and responsibilities of participating in group activities and cooperating while abiding by the adults' instructions, acquiring and developing pro-social behavior. The quality of attachment relationships and interaction with family members, teachers, and classmates influences children's learning in various ways, both direct and indirect (Dunn, 1993; Thompson, 1990).

### *Curriculum criteria of the intervention program*

Child centered education must be viewed as a guiding line of education in general, and the level and quality of preschoolers' acquisitions depend on facilitating a state of well-being for children by creating favorable contexts of learning. In this regard, the program aims at developing children's social and emotional competencies by making use of the varied activities appropriate for kindergarten level. The premise for implementing this program is that the development of socio-emotional competencies in children is directly related to children's better adjustment during elementary grades and can predict the child's future evolution regarding academic success.

The general objective of the program is the development of preschoolers' social and emotional competencies as bases for establishing the mechanisms of socio-emotional as well as behavioral self-management in order to create a state of well-being and a favorable beginning during school years. The program's objectives were established based on Fundamental Guidelines in a Child's Early Learning and Development from Birth to Seven Years of Age (Ionescu et al., 2011). Actions for developing social competencies (61-84 months): initiating positive interactions with adults and children of similar age; manifesting prosocial behavior; manifesting compliance to rules; accepting/respecting diversity. Actions for developing emotional competencies (61-84 months): forming a positive image of one's self as a unique being; utilizing certain strategies of emotional self-management; emotional alphabetization by recognizing one's emotions and those of other people as well as what causes emotions, offering an adequate response; verbal and non-verbal expression of primary or complex emotions.

The planning of activities as part of the program was done taking into consideration the daily schedule outlined by the Lesson Plan of the Curriculum for Early Education and keeping in mind all activity categories mentioned in it: personal development activities (routines, transitions, etc.), games and free choice activities, activities from various fields. The methods and techniques used in the intervention program were as follows: the expository method, role play, conversation, explanation, exercise, debate, case study. Children's evaluation was conducted based on children's portfolios as well as the Sheet for Assessing the Child's Individual Progress before Signing Up for School Readiness Class and the Notebook for Psycho Pedagogical Observations, both instruments being issued by the Ministry of Education. Preschoolers' social and emotional skills were evaluated by applying the screening

instruments available on the Platform for Development Evaluation in Children Ages 3 to 7 (PEDa, Miclea, Balaj, Porumb, Porumb & Porumb, 2010). Teachers' knowledge before the start of the intervention program was taken into account, and teachers attended 8 two-hour sessions in which a series of specific didactic methods and techniques were presented (the principles of rational-emotional-behavioral education, the ABC model, the Pyramid Model for developing socio-emotional competencies in children). All these combined in a creative way lead to attaining the objectives regarding children's development of social and emotional competencies.

The intervention program created and implemented aims at forming and developing social and emotional abilities and has as a theoretical basis Rational-Emotional- Behavioral Education and the Pyramid Model of Supporting Social Emotional Competence which supports those competencies. The intervention was implemented on a daily basis for seven months (November 2017-June 2018), and was done by teachers for the entire duration of the instructive-educational process for the entire group of children as well as for small groups or individually.

The program made use of the materials prepared beforehand by teachers, print and online accessible materials, and school provided materials, using them in such a way as to form and develop socio-emotional competencies based on proposed strategies and personalized questions. The program also included stories, poems, songs, and educational games with specific content based on the proposed objectives and used in various ways. In order to achieve the main objective proposed, the program is structured in two parts: activities which aim at developing interacting abilities with adults and classmates, as well as developing prosocial behavior, and activities which aim at developing the concept of one's self, emotional autocontrol and emotional expression.

## **Results**

Of the 85 children aged 5-6 who participated in the study, 58 were part of the intervention group (68.2%) and 27 of the control group (31.8%), gender based distribution being of 58.8% female and 41.2% male. Although ANOVA analysis was attempted using repeated measurements which allows for the simultaneous insertion in the equation of the time factor (pre-test/post-test) and group factor (experimental/control), it was observed that the circular assumption would be invalidated. Consequently, data was processed sequentially.

In the first phase differences between the pre-test and post-test averages were analysed in evaluating both parents and educators and in establishing whether or not statistically speaking significant differences between the two tests existed while considering the entire group of participants (experimental and control) in order to exclude the hypothesis that such differences are caused by other factors, such as time or development. Thus, an analysis was conducted to determine if those differences are constant and significant for the control group versus the experimental group, making a comparison of the pre-test and the post-test score differences between the two groups. The second phase investigated the group differences (experimental/control) between average scores. All statistic analysis was conducted in IBM SPSS 20.0 (2011).

In order to establish if the control group's averages of the emotional and social competencies level measured in the pre-test and post-test differed from the experimental group's averages of the emotional and social competencies level measured in the pre-test, a quasi experimental study was done using the *t* Test for independent groups. In order to establish if there are significant differences in each of the two groups we used a *t* Test for paired groups.

The Pearson correlation analysis results indicated strong intensity relationships of association ( $r > .70$ ,  $p < .001$ ) among the scores of the tested variables, both in educators' and parents' responses. The difference between the pre-test and post-test average scores was tested and the effect was measured by calculating Cohen's *d* coefficient (Cohen, 1977). The results indicated the following aspects: significant statistic differences between the pre-test and post-test scores of the cognitive competencies reported by educators (SCC\_E),  $t(84)=11.03$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $d=1.06$ ; significant statistic differences between the pre-test and post-test scores of the emotional competencies reported by educators (SCE\_E),  $t(84)=15.68$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $d=.92$ ; significant statistic differences between the pre-test and post-test scores of the social competencies reported by educators (SCS\_E),  $t(57)=13.50$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $d=1.23$ ; significant statistic differences between the pre-test and post-test scores of the cognitive competencies reported by the parent (SCC\_P),  $t(84)=8.62$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $d=.84$ ; significant statistic differences between the pre-test and post-test scores of the emotional competencies reported by the parent (SCE\_P),  $t(84)=11.18$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $d=.86$ ; significant statistic differences between the pre-test and post-test scores of the social competencies reported by the parent (SCS\_P),  $t(84)=10.06$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $d=.76$ .

The statistic's results suggest that for those who participated in the intervention there is a considerable improvement of the children's emotional, social, and cognitive competency averages as well as the educators' and parents' reportings. The *d* coefficients indicated considerable effect increases of the variables tested, according to Cohen's interpretation recommendations (1977). A single coefficient of medium value was identified, for the level of social competencies reported by parents (SCS\_P). Therefore, the findings have not only statistic significance but also practical one, mostly indicating high differences of magnitude regarding children's level of competencies in the initial stage of the study compared to their levels in the final stage of the study. This finding could be interpreted as follows: in a timespan of seven months in which the control group (GC) benefited from learning activities according to the early childhood curriculum while the experimental group (GE) additionally benefited from specific activities as part of the intervention program, there was a significant progress regarding acquisition for all competencies studied in all children who were part of the study.

The second stage focused on investigating group differences (experimental/control) between score averages in order to highlight the intervention's positive effect on the experimental group. Last but not least, the differences in score averages were tested based on gender and the respondent's function (educator/parent). The relationship analysis between the pre-test and post-test scores of the two groups was performed using a One-way ANOVA analysis. Thus, for both groups the degree to which the statistically significant growth of the score from the pre-test to the post-test phase indicated in the previous analysis (the *t* test) differs was verified. In order to determine if the assumption of variance equality is met, the Levene test was used (Levene, 1961). The results indicated that the variables cognitive competencies, emotional competencies, and social competencies reported by educators do not meet the variance equality. Consequently, for those variables a Welch ANOVA analysis was conducted, an analysis which, as indicated by scholarly literature, reduces the occurrence possibility of Type I errors in situations where the compared groups are small or unequal in number and the variance equality is not met (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004). When calculating the Omega coefficients of effect degree, the coefficients indicated values  $\omega^2 > .14$ , a threshold which, according to interpretation recommendations offered by Field, corresponds to a high degree of effect (2013). The result indicates that the intervention had a high magnitude impact on group participants' cognitive, emotional, and social competencies. In

conclusion, the results obtained based on statistic analysis of data (pre-test/post-test, experimental group/control group) indicate multiple positive effects of the intervention for all considered indicators: cognitive, behavioral, social, and emotional.

A multiple regression analysis was conducted in order to test the acquisitions' predictive effect in the realm of social and emotional competencies upon cognitive competencies. Educators' reportings indicate that the two independent variables (social competencies and emotional competencies) significantly predicted cognitive competencies and explained 56% of the dependent variable's (cognitive competency's) variancy  $F(2,82)= 53.87, p< .001$ . Both predictor variables, emotional competencies ( $\beta=.48, p< .001$ ) and social competencies ( $\beta=.33, p=.001$ ), significantly contributed statistically in explaining the criteria variable, namely the cognitive competencies. Parents' reportings explained 27% of the dependent variable's (cognitive competency's) variancy  $F(2,82)= 16.52, p< .001$ . Both predicting variables, the emotional competencies ( $\beta=.36, p< .001$ ) and the social competencies ( $\beta=.23, p=.001$ ), significantly contributed statistically in explaining the criteria variable, namely cognitive competencies.

In conclusion, based on both the scores reported by educators and those reported by parents, there is clear indication that the rise in emotional and social competencies' scores represents a significant predictor of the rise in cognitive competencies.

## **Discussion**

The present study was performed as a response to the need of emphasizing more emotional intelligence development in preschool years. Many educators who regard socio-emotional development with skepticism due to the fact that it is outside of the preschool and school activities' objectives, believe that the educational system's major task is to develop children's academic abilities (writing, reading, computing). Preschoolers who demonstrate high levels of socio-emotional abilities in early childhood exhibit less instances of provocative behavior, engage easier in activities, and demonstrate stability in fulfilling tasks (McClelland et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2004). These children demonstrate good school integration and excellent academic performance in their first years of school (Rhoades, Warren, Domitrovich, & Greenberg, 2011; Torres, Domitrovich, & Bierman, 2013).

## **Conclusions**

The importance of social and emotional skills has already been proven, and the reasons leading to use them in such a way are numerous, such as heightened self esteem as a student, vivid curiosity, attention, thought and impulse self-control, initiative in developing new ideas; all these are essential to the learning process at any age. Learning, problem solving, and creativity are all based on social-emotional and motivational qualities, as well as on basic cognitive abilities. As a result, when learning takes place in groups, such as kindergarten or the family, the setting greatly influences the way in which the learning process takes place. When children enjoy interaction with adults and other children, they are more enthusiastic about activities and participate more (Ladd, Kocherderfer, & Coleman,1996). Furthermore, others' interest and enthusiasm increases the child's enthusiasm for learning, and children are motivated when given recognition for their achievements.

The major limits of the research are the small number of participants and the fact that the intervention program's implementation could raise problems for less experienced teachers. The above mentioned limits will be used as further ideas for future research. As a further line of research I propose the continuation of this longitudinal study by retesting participants at the end of the first semester of their last year in kindergarten in order to determine if the competencies acquired in preschool will remain stable during the first years of school. The intervention program will also be implemented in other 5-6 years old kindergarten classes of Babes-Bolyai University Kindergarten during the 2018-2019 school year.

The conducted experimental study validated the assumption that the implementation of an intervention program applied intensively at all times throughout the school day leads to an accelerated development of both social and emotional competencies. The development is noticeable in the educational environment by exhibiting more frequently pro social behavior, compliance to rules, better usage of emotional abilities (such as identifying one's own emotions but also those of others and offering adequate responses based on context), the creation of a positive self image, and the development of the ability to empathize with those around. Parents' reports indicated that the development level of social and emotional competencies significantly increased between the pre-test and post-test phase, demonstrating the fact that the competencies transferred from the educational to the family environment. Is my strong belief that implementing such an intensive program throughout an entire school year or even throughout all preschool years while at the same time following specific



objectives for each child developmental stage would contribute to reaching a high level of development for the aforementioned competencies and to the formation of certain response mechanism adapted to problematic situations that children might encounter.

Regarding the benefits of group program implementation, this dimension focuses on the extent to which educators set clear behavioral expectations, are proactive about anticipating behavioral problems, and demonstrate low reactivity when they occur, use effective strategies to redirect these behaviors, and manage to manage the undesirable behaviors of the children, so that there have been few cases of serious behavioral problems in groups, but, in those with such problems, no significant improvements have been observed regarding the decrease in frequency and intensity of manifestation of the behaviors undesirable as a result of applying educational intervention. These findings suggest that, although educational practices applied to all children in the group, may generate some benefits for children at risk for behavioral disorders, more targeted learning practices and individualized behavioral support plans for these children and for those who exhibit frequently and intensely undesirable behaviors. Dunlap and his colleagues suggest that individualized learning plans and these personalized interventions (developed by specialists) should be carefully monitored for their faithful implementation by teachers for these children, along with practices for the whole group (Dunlap et al., 2013).

There are a number of possible explanations for this finding. First of all, the educators focused mainly on the implementation at group level of the intervention program. The implementation of these practices by teaching staff may not be sufficiently intensive for children with such behaviors to significantly change them. Secondly, this finding could be related to the very large number of children in a group, which favors the manifestation of such behaviors. Our findings confirm the need for whole-group practices, the need for individually designed interventions, and the importance of monitoring the fidelity of applying individualized intervention plans to children who have the most persistent undesirable behaviors.

The results obtained by the experimental group are in agreement with those reported in other studies focused on the implementation of an intervention based on the Pyramid Model for improving the skills pursued and the learning outcomes ((Landry, Anthony, Swank & Monseque-Bailey, 2009; Powell et al., 2010; Ramey et al., 2011)

In conjunction, all these data provide promising evidence that the combination of high quality training, support materials and teacher training based on already experienced practices, will increase the level of social and emotional skills from the preschool age.

Our findings support the assertions made in previous longitudinal, non-experimental studies that social, emotional and behavioral contexts of early childhood educational experiences "matter" to their opportunities for learning (Fantuzzo et al., 2007; McClelland and Morrison, 2003; Raver, 2002). It is important that the results of this study are not conceived as a unilateral approach regarding only the importance of the socio-emotional field, but rather that it provides evidence to see in these competences the "key" of opening up new learning opportunities in the preschool stage but also in the following ones. stages of children's schooling.

These findings might suggest not only the effectiveness, but also the efficiency of integrating global social-emotional teaching strategies across the curriculum, rather than just providing targeted instruction to children who do not demonstrate social, emotional or behavioral challenges.

Considering the purpose of the research, we have tried to highlight that a good mastery of these skills will help children to achieve high performance in school, both in academic learning and in lifelong learning, and this is possible by pursuing constant of the socio-emotional development objectives throughout the program of a day of gardening and the fruition of every opportunity encountered.

Following the interpretation of the research results, the hypothesis is confirmed that the optimization of the development of social, emotional and cognitive skills will have a favorable impact on the adaptation of the children, constituting the premises of the school success.

The results show that the program was effective, even if the application period was only six months. It should be specified that the educators who applied it are more than 10 years old in education and have carried out with other generations of children socio-emotional development programs, knowing the dynamics of developing these skills.

The experimental study carried out validated the hypothesis that the implementation of an intervention program applied intensively at all times and stages of a day of kindergarten leads to an accelerated development of social and emotional competences both in the educational environment by increasing the frequency of prosocial behaviors, of compliance

with rules, showing in at the same time a consistent improvement in the use of emotional skills such as recognizing one's own emotions as well as those of others and providing appropriate contextual responses, creating a positive self-image and developing the ability to empathize with others.

The parents' reports showed that the level of development of the social and emotional competences targeted by the objectives of the program increased significantly between the pretest and posttest stages, demonstrating that they were transferred from the educational environment and into the family environment.

Entering the kindergarten represents the beginning of the child's social life, and the efficiency of the child's relationship with adults and those of close age is given by the ability to raise awareness of the self and the world around it, consisting of identifying one's own emotions and feelings, as well as of others, and in the ability to self-regulate emotionally. Given that the Curriculum for pre-school education aims (at level I) to socialize the preschool and to achieve a high degree of autonomy, and at level II the preparation of the preschool for the school environment, the socio-emotional development should be a priority goal, along with the cognitive development and development of learning attitudes and capacities, something unfortunately missed by some teachers.

The promising results regarding the impact of these skills on the evolution of children make us propose the application of the intervention program for a longer period of time, starting from the beginning of the preschool stage. We want these socio-emotional development programs to become models of good practice in the current context of promoting socio-emotional education as a basic element of preparing children for the next stages of schooling by promoting a child-centered, attachment-based and child-centered education. ensuring a well-being that favors learning in all its aspects.

We believe that the development of such an intensive program during a school year or even during the entire period of early education, pursuing specific objectives for each stage of child development, would contribute to achieving a high level of development of these competences and to the formation of mechanisms of development. adequate response to the problematic situations that children may face. Probably the effects would have been more intense if the program had been running for a longer period of time.

The preschooler should be helped to raise awareness of its potential and its value or importance within a group and must be endowed with a series of strategies for maintaining

positive relationships and friendships within the group. Autonomy is achieved precisely by developing the concept of self, self-confidence, self-regulation skills, which will ensure and facilitate adaptation both in the kindergarten environment and in the school environment. In carrying out this work we aimed to highlight both the positive role of socio-emotional development in preschoolers and the fact that it would be necessary for all the factors involved in education to realize the importance of developing these skills from the earliest ages.

The socio-emotional education programs integrated in the curricular activities during the preschool period, could have a particularly strong impact in the education and development of children by offering opportunities for holistic development, in a warm, loving and supportive environment, in the preparation stage for school stimulating creativity, curiosity and openness to learning. Further research is needed to expand and refine the available evidence-based programs, as well as to identify optimal support for high fidelity implementation, sustained use, and support for professional development of the workforce. Further research is also needed to identify the role of parent training in the promotion of social skills for preschool children.

## Bibliography

- Bandura, A. (1989). "Social cognitive theory". In R.Vasta (Ed.), *Annals of child development* (Vol. 6). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of self-regulation, *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process*, 50:248-287.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy. The exercise of control*. New York: Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co.
- Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. (1963). *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt
- Bassok, D., Finch, J., Lee, R., Reardon, S. F., & Waldfogel, J. (2016). "Socio economic gaps in early childhood experiences, 1998 to 2010". *AERA Open*, 2(3), 1–22.
- Bernard, M.E. (2009). *Programul educațional DA,POȚI!..* Cluj-Napoca: Editura RTS
- Bernard, M. (2016). *Romanian Psychological Testing Services – Da, poti! 4-7 ani*. Retrieved 25 May 2018, from <http://rtscluj.ro/content/view/92/25/>
- Blair C., Razza, RP. (2007). "Relating effortful control, executive function, and false belief understanding to emerging math and literacy ability in kindergarten". *Child Development*. 78 (2): 647–663
- Blair, K., Denham, S., Kochanoff, A., Whipple, B., (2004). "Playing it cool: Temperament, emotion regulation and social behavior in preschoolers". *Journal of School Psychology*, 42: 419–443.
- Bocoș M., R., Stan C., Chiș O., Andronache D. (2016). *Dicționar praxiologic de pedagogie. Volumul 1: A-D*. București: Paralela 45.
- Bocoș, M. (2002). *Instruire interactivă, repere pentru reflecție și acțiune*. Cluj: Editura Presa Universitară Clujeană.
- Bocoș, M. (2003). *Teoria și practica cercetării pedagogice*, Cluj-Napoca:Editura Casa Cărții de Știință.
- Bocoș, M. (2007). *Didactica disciplinelor pedagogice, un cadru constructivist*. Cluj-Napoca: Presa Universitară Clujeană.
- Bocoș, M. (2007). *Teoria și practica cercetării pedagogice*. Cluj: Colecția Științele Educației.
- Bocoș, M. (2009). *Tratat de didactică modernă*. Pitești: Editura Paralela 45.
- Bocoș, M., Avram, I., Catalano,H., Someșan, E., coord. (2009). *Pedagogia învățământului preșcolar*, Cluj-Napoca: Presa Universitară Clujeană.

- Bodrova, E. & Leong, D. J. (2007). *Tools of the mind: A Vygotskian approach to early childhood education* (2nd ed.). Columbus: Merrill/Prentice Hall.
- Borțea, S. Vodiță, A. (2013). *100 de întâlniri. 100 de idei reușite*. Pitești: Diamant.
- Botis, A., Mihalca, L. (2007). *Despre dezvoltarea abilităților emoționale și sociale ale copiilor, fete și băieți, cu vârsta până în 7 ani. Ghid pentru cadrele didactice din învățământul preșcolar*. Buzău: Editura Alpha MDN.
- Bowman, B. T., Donovan, M. S., & Burns M. S., Eds. (2001). *National Research Council "Eager to learn: Educating our preschoolers". Committee on Early Childhood Pedagogy, Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Bowman, D. B., Markham, P. M. and Roberts, R. D. (2001). "Expanding the Frontier on Human Cognitive Ability: So Much More than (Plain) g!". *Learning and Individual Differences*, 13: 127–158.
- Bronson, M.B.(2000). *Self regulation in early childhood: Nature and nurture*. New York: Guilford.
- Bruce, T. (2005). *Early Childhood Education*. London: Hodder & Stoughton.
- Bruner, J. S. (1996). *The culture of education*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bull, R., Espy, K.A., Wiebe, S.A. (2008). "Short-term memory, working memory, and executive functioning in preschoolers: Longitudinal predictors of mathematical achievement at age 7 years". *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 33: 205–228.
- Calkins, S. (2007). "The emergence of self-regulation: Biological and behavioral control mechanisms supporting toddler competencies". In C. A. Brownell & C. B. Kopp (Eds.), *Socio emotional development in the toddler years: Transitions and transformations* (pp. 261-284). New York: Guilford Press.
- Catalano, R.F., Berglund, M. L., Ryan, J. A. M., Lonczak, H. S., & Hawkins, J. D. (2002). *Positive youth development in the United States: Research findings on evaluations of positive youth development programs*. *Prevention & Treatment*, 5, Article 15. Retrieved August, 1, 2002, from <http://journals.apa.org/prevention/volume5/pre0050015a.html>.
- Cefai, C.; Bartolo, P. A.; Cavioni, V.; Downes, P. (2018). *Strengthening Social and Emotional Education as a core curricular area across the EU*. A review of the international evidence, NESET II report, Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. doi: 10.2766/664439.

- Chis, V. (2002). *Provocările pedagogiei contemporane*. Cluj-Napoca: Editura PUC.
- Chiș, V. (2001). *Activitatea profesorului între curriculum și evaluare*. Cluj-Napoca: Editura Presa Universitară Clujeană
- Chiș, V. (2014). *Fundamentele pedagogiei*. Cluj-Napoca: Editura Eikon.
- Chiș, V.(2005). *Pedagogia contemporană – pedagogia pentru competențe*, Cluj-Napoca: Casa Cărții de Știință.
- Cioflica, S., Lazăr, C., Mignea-Guga, N. (2009). *Ziua bună începe la „întâlnirea de dimineață”*, Petroșani: Editura Tehno-Art.
- Cohen, J., (1977). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. New York: Academic Press.
- Cohen, J., (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, S. D. (2017). *Three Principles to Improve Outcomes for Children and Families. Science to Policy and Practice*. Cambridge: Center on the Developing Child, Harvard University.
- Cohen, L., (2006). “Social, emotional, ethical, and academic education: Creating a climate for learning, participation in democracy, and well-being”. *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(2): 201–237.
- Cohen, L., (2012). *Rețete de jocuri. De ce și cum să te joci cu copilul tău*. Traducere de Anca Oana Mîndrilă-Sonetto. București: Editura Trei.
- Cole, P.M. (1985) – “Display rules and the socialization of affective displays”. In Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning [CASEL]. (2005). *Safe and sound: An educational leader’s guide to evidence-based social and emotional learning programs* – Illinois edition. Chicago, IL: 285–307.
- Cole, P. M., Martin, S. E., & Dennis, T. A. (2004). Emotion Regulation as a Scientific Construct: Methodological Challenges and Directions for Child Development Research. *Child Development*, 75(2), 317-333.
- Conroy, M. A., Sutherland, K. S., Vo, A. K., Carr, S., & Ogston, P. L. (2014). “Early childhood teachers’ use of effective instructional practices and the collateral effects on young children’s behavior”. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16: 81–92.
- Cottle, T. (2004). “Issues in Education: Getting beyond Self-Esteem”. *Childhood Education*, 80(5): 269–271. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00094056.2004.10522815>.

- Cristea, S. (1998). *Dicționar de pedagogie*, București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică
- Crișan, A., (2011). *Dezvoltarea inteligenței emoționale la vârsta preșcolară*, Teza de doctorat, Universitatea București, București.
- Crișan, A.M., (2018).“Învățarea competențelor socio-emoționale”. *Revista de consiliere educațională*, seria 2(4):18–22.
- Damasio, A., Yang, M.H.I., (2007). “We feel, therefore we learn – relevance of affective and social neuroscience in education”. *Mind Brain and Education*, vol 1 (1): 1–10.
- Davidson, R. Begley S. (2013). *Creierul și inteligența emoțională*. București: Editura Litera
- Davidson, R. J.; Scherer, Klaus R.; Goldsmith, H. Hill, eds. (2003). *Handbook of Affective Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup>Edition, Oxford University Press.
- Davies, M., Stankov, L. & Roberts, R.D., (1998). “Emotional intelligence: In search of an exclusive construct”. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75: 989–1015.
- Denham, S. A., (2006). “Social-emotional competence as support for school readiness: What is it and how do we assess it?”. *Early Education and Development*, 17: 57–89.
- Denham, S.A., (2006). “Emotional competence. Implications for social functioning”. In J.L. Luby, ed., *Handbook of preschool mental health. Development, Disorders and Treatment*. New York: The Guilford Press, 23–45.
- Denham, S. A. (1986). Social cognition, prosocial behavior and emotion in preschoolers: Contextual validation. *Child Development*, 57, 194-201
- Denham, S. A. (2006). Social-emotional competence as support for school readiness: What it is and how do we assess it? *Early Education and Development*, 17(1), 57-89.
- Denham, S. A., Bassett, H., Mincic, M., Kalb, S., Way, E., Wyatt, T., & Segal, Y. (2012). Social–emotional learning profiles of preschoolers' early school success: A person-centered approach. *Learning and individual differences*, 22(2), 178-189.
- Denham, S. A., Bassett, H. H., Brown, C., Way, E., & Steed, J. (2015). I Know How You Feell Preschoolers' emotion knowledge contributes to early school success. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 13(3), 252-262.
- Denham, S. A., Bassett, H. H., Sirotkin, Y. A., Brown, C., & Morris C. S. (2015). \_No-o-o-o peeking: Preschoolers' executive control, social competence, and classroom adjustment. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 29, 212-225.



- Denham, S. A., Bassett, H. H., Zinsser, K., & Wyatt, T. M. (2014). How preschoolers' social-emotional learning predicts their early school success: Developing theory-promoting, competency-based assessments. *Infant and Child Development*, 23(4), 426-454.
- Dennis, T.A., Malone, M.M., Chao-Cheng Chen, M.A. (2009). "Emotional face". *Development and Psychopathology*, 14: 761-787.
- Diamond A, Barnett WS, Thomas J, Munro S. (2007). "Preschool program improves cognitive control". *Science*, 318: 1387-1388
- Dockett, S., Perry, B., (2003). "The Transition to School: What's important?". *Education Leadership*, 60: 30-33.
- Dollard, J., & Miller, N. E. (1950). *Personality and psychotherapy. An analysis in terms of learning, thinking, and culture*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Dolto, F. (2011). *Când apare copilul*. Traducere de Delia Vasiliu și Rodica Stoicescu. București: Editura Trei.
- Duncan GJ, Dowsett CJ, Claessens A, Magnuson K, Huston AC, Klebanov P, et al. (2007). "School readiness and later achievement". *Developmental Psychology*, 43: 1428-1446.
- Dunlap, G., Strain, P., Fox, I., Carta, J., Conroy, M., Smith, B., Sowell, C. (2006). "Prevention and intervention with young children's challenging behavior: Perspectives and current knowledge". *Behavioral Disorders*, 31(1): 29-45.
- Dunlap, G., Wilson, K., Strain, P., & Lee, J. (2013). *Prevent-Teach-Reinforce for young children: The early childhood model of individualized positive behavior support*. Baltimore: Brookes.
- Dunn, J. (1993). *Young Children's Close Relationships: Beyond Attachment*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D. & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). "The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions". *Child Development*, 82(1): 405-432.
- Durlak, J., Wells, A. (1997). "Primary Prevention Mental Health Programs for Children and Adolescents: A Meta-Analytic Review". *American journal of community psychology*, 25: 115-152.

- Elias, M. J. (2006). "The connection between academic and social-emotional learning". In M. J. Elias, & A. Harriett (Eds.), *The educator's guide to emotional intelligence and academic achievement: social-emotional learning in the classroom*. Virginia: Corwin Press, 4–14.
- Elias, M. J., Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Frey, K. S., Greenberg, M. T., Haynes, N. M., Kessler, R., SchwabStone, M. E., & Shriver, T. P. (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Ellis A., Bernard, M.E. (2007). *Terapia rațional emotivă și comportamentală în tulburările copilului și adolescentului. Teorie, practică și cercetare*. Traducere de Mădălina Sucală. Cluj-Napoca: Editura RTS
- Ellis, A.(1980), "Discomfort anxiety: Anew cognitive behavioral construct. Part II", *Rational living*, 15(1): 5–30.
- Ellis, A., & McLaren, C. (2018), *Terapia rațional-emotivă și comportamentală*. București: Editura ALL.
- Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R.A., (2006). Emotion regulation and children's socioemotional competence, in L. Batter and C.S. Tamis-LeMonda( Eds.): *Child psychology: A handbook of contemporary issue*(2nd Ed.), New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Espy KA, McDiarmid MM, Cwik MF, Stalets MM, Hamby A, Senn TE (2004). "The contribution of executive functions to emergent mathematic skills in preschool children". *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 26: 465–486.
- Etienne, R., Lerouge, A. (1997). *Enseigner en college et enlycee. Reperes pour un nouveau metier*. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Fabian, H., Dunlop, AW. (2006). *Outcomes of Good Practice in Transition Processes for Children Entering Primary School*, Paper prepared for the EFA Global Monitoring Report 2007. United Nations Educational, Paris: Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Fantuzzo J, Bulotsky-Shearer R, McDermott PA, McWayne C, Frye D, Perlman S. (2007). "Investigation of dimensions of social-emotional classroom behavior and school readiness for low-income urban preschool children". *School Psychology Review*, 36:44–62.
- Faster, D. & Lopez, D. (2013). "School climate and assessment". In Dary, T. & Pickeral, T. eds., *School Climate Practices for Implementation and Sustainability. A School Climate Practice Brief*. New York: National School Climate Center.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics*. New York: SAGE.

- Fox, L., & Hemmeter, M. L. (2009). "A program wide model for supporting social emotional development and addressing challenging behavior in early childhood settings". In W. Sailor, G. Dunlap, G. Sugai, and R. Horner (Eds.), *Handbook of Positive Behavior Support*. New York: Springer, 177–122.
- Fox, L., Dunlap, G., Hemmeter, M. L., Joseph, G., & Strain, P. (2003). "The Teaching Pyramid: A model for supporting social competence and preventing challenging behavior in young children". *Young Children*, 58(4): 48–53.
- Fox, L., Hemmeter, M., Snyder, P., Binder, D. P., & Clarke, S. (2011). "Coaching early childhood special educators to implement a comprehensive model for promoting young children's social competence". *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 31: 178–192.
- Gardner, H. (1993). *The Multiple Intelligences: The Theory in Practice*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H., (1983). *Frames of mind*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H., (2011). *Mintea disciplinată (educația pe care o merită orice copil, dincolo de informații și teste standardizate)*. Traducere de A. Dobrinescu. București: Editura Sigma
- Glava, A., Glava, C. (2002). *Introducere în pedagogia preșcolară*. Cluj-Napoca: Editura Dacia.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Goleman, D. (1998). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Goleman, D. (2007). *Inteligența socială*. Traducere de Irina-Margareta Nistor. București: Editura Curtea Veche Publishing
- Graue, M. E. (1992). "Social Interpretations of Readiness for Kindergarten". *Early Childhood Quarterly*, 7: 225–243.
- Greenberg, M. T., Weissberg, R. P., O'Brien, M. U., Zins, J. E., Fredericks, L., Resnik, H., & Elias, M. J., (2003). "Enhancing school-based prevention and youth development through coordinated social, emotional, and academic learning". *American Psychologist*, 58: 466–474.
- Gross, J.J. (1999). "Emotional regulation: past, present and future". *Cognition and Emotion*, 13: 551–573.
- Gross, J.J., Thompson, R.A., (2007). Emotion Regulation: Conceptual Foundations, in J.J. Gross(Ed.): *Handbook of Emotional Regulation*, New York: The Guilford Press.

- Gross, J.J., Levenson, R.W., (1997). Hiding feelings: The acute effects of inhibiting positive and negative emotions, *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 106: 95-103
- Harter, S. (2006). "The Self". In W. Damon, R. M. Lerner, and N. Eisenberg, eds., *Handbook of Child Psychology*, 6th ed., vol. 3 of *Social, Emotional, and Personality Development*. New York: Wiley.
- Heckman, J. J., Stixrud, J., & Urzua, S. (2006). The effects of cognitive and noncognitive abilities on labor market outcomes and social behavior. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 24, 411-482.
- Hemmeter, M. L., Fox, L., & Snyder, P. (2014). *Teaching Pyramid Observation Tool – Research Edition* [Manual]. Baltimore, MD: Brookes.
- Hemmeter, M. L., Snyder, P., Kinder, K., & Artman, K. (2011). "Impact of performance feedback delivered via electronic mail on preschool teachers' use of descriptive praise". *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 26: 96–109.
- Howse, R.B., Calkins, S.D., Anastopoulos, A.D, Keane, S.P., Shelton, T.L. (2003). "Regulatory contributors to children's kindergarten achievement". *Early Education and Development*, 14:101–119.
- Hunter, B. (2015). *Help Kids Manage Anger: Free Printable Game*. Home Stories A to Z. Retrieved 8 May 2018, from <https://www.homestoriesatoz.com/printables/help-kids-manage-anger-free-printable-game.html>
- Ionescu, T., Benga, O., (2007). "Reconceptualizing early education on scientific grounds: school readiness in focus, Cognition, Brain, Behavior". *Romanian Association for Cognitive Science*, XI(1): 49–65.
- Izard, C. E. (2002). "Translating emotion theory and research into preventive interventions". *Psychological Bulletin*, 128: 796–824.
- Joseph, G.E., Strain, P.S., (2003). Comprehensive evidence-based social-emotional curricula for young children. An analysis of efficacious adoption potential. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*: 23, 65-76.
- Kagan, J. (2003). Biology, context, and developmental inquiry. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54(1), 1-23.
- Kant, I. (2015). *Despre pedagogie*. Traducere de Traian Brăileanu. București: Editura Paideia.

- Kenney-Benson, G.A., Pomerantz, E.M., Ryan, A.M., Patrick, H. (2006). "Sex differences in math performance: The role of children's approach to school work". *Developmental Psychology*, 42:11–26.
- Knaus, W.J. (2004). "Educația rațional emotivă: orientări și tendințe". *Romanian Journal of Cognitive and Behavioral Psychotherapies*, 4 (1): 9–22.
- Kulcsar T. (1978). *Factorii psihologici ai reușitei școlare*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- Ladd, G. W., Kocherderfer, B. J., and Coleman, C. C.(1996). "Friendship Quality as a Predictor of Young Children's Early School Adjustment". *Child Development*, 67: 1103–1118.
- Lemerise, E. A., & Arsenio, W. F. (2000). "An integrated model of emotion processes and cognition in social information processing". *Child Development*, 71: 107–118.
- Leong, D., Bodrova, E., Hensen, R. and the Center for Improving Early Learning(2008), *Tools of the mind curriculum project, kindergarten training manual: introduction, background, and theory*, (6<sup>th</sup>ed). CO: Metropolitan State College, Denve.
- Levene, H. (1961). "Robust Tests for Equality of Variances". In I. Olkin, et al., Eds., *Contributions to Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of Harold Hotelling*. PaloAlto: Stanford University Press, 278–292.
- Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). "Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation". *Psychological Review*, 98: 224–253.
- Mayer, J.D., Salovey, P.,(1997). What is emotional intelligence? in P. Salovey, D.J. Sluyter (Eds.): *Emotional development and Emotional intelligence*, New York: Basic Books.
- Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). *Designing experiments and analyzing data: A model comparison perspective*.New York: Psychology Press.
- McClelland, M. M., Acock, A. C., & Morrison, F. J. (2006). The impact of kindergarten learning-related skills on academic trajectories at the end of elementary school. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21, 471-490.
- McClelland, M.M, Cameron, C.E., Connor, C.M., Farris, C., Jewkes, A., Morrison, F. (2007). "Links between behavioral regulation and preschoolers' literacy, vocabulary, and math skills". *Developmental Psychology*, 43:947–959.
- McClelland, M. M., Ponitz, C. C., Messersmith, E. & Tominey, S. (in press). *Self-regulation:*

- The integration of cognition and emotion*. In R. Lerner (Series Ed.) & W. Overton (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of lifespan human development*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley and Sons.
- McWayne, C.M., Fantuzzo, J.W., McDermott, P.A. (2004). "Preschool competency in context: An investigation of the unique contribution of child competencies to early academic success". *Developmental Psychology*, 40:633–645.
- Miclea, M., Bălaj, A., Porumb, D., Porumb, M., Porumb, S. (2006). "Screeningul competențelor sociale, emoționale și cognitive", în *Manualul de utilizare al Platformei de evaluare a Dezvoltării (PEDa)*. Cluj-Napoca: Editura Cognitrom.
- Miller, A. L., Gouley, K. K., Seifer, R., Dickstein, S., & Shields, A. (2004). "Emotions and behaviors in the head start classroom: Associations among observed dysregulation, social competence, and preschool adjustment". *Early Education and Development*, 15: 147–165. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15566935eed1502>
- Miller, P. H. (2011). *Theories of Developmental Psychology*. New York: Worth Publisher.
- Muller, U., Lieberman, D., Frye, D., Zelazo, P.D. (2008). "Executive function, school readiness, and school achievement". In SK Thurman, CA Fiorello, eds., *Applied cognitive research in K-3 classrooms*. New York: Routledge, 41–83.
- Murray, D. W., Rosanbalm, K. D., Christopoulos, C., & Hamoudi, A. (2015). *Self-regulation and toxic stress: Foundations for understanding self-regulation from an applied developmental perspective*. (OPRE Report 2015-21). Washington, DC: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Murray, D. W., Rosanbalm, K., & Christopoulos, C. (2016). *Self-regulation and toxic stress report 4: Implications for programs and practice*. (OPRE Report 2016-97). Washington, DC: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Odom, S. L., McConnell, S. R., Ostrosky, M., Peterson, C., Skellenger, A., Spicuzza, R., Chandler, L. K., & McEvoy, M. A. (1997). *Play time, social time: Organizing your classroom to build interaction skills*. Minneapolis: Institute on Community Integration, University of Minnesota.
- Opre, A., Vaida, S. (2008). "Counseling in Schools. A Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) Based Intervention – A Pilot Study". *Cogniție, Creier, Comportament*, 12 (1): 57–69.

- Opre, A., Buzgar, R., Ghimbulut, O., Ormenisan, M. C. (2011). "SELF KIT Program: Strategies for Improving Children' Socio-Emotional Competencies". *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29: 678–683.
- Osterrieth, P., (1973). *Copilul și familia*. Traducere de Constantin Urmă. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- Perry, B. D., (2000). How the brain learns best. *Instructor*, 110(4), 34-35.
- Perry, B D., (1996). *Maltreated Children: Experience, Brain Development, and the Next Generation*. New York:Norton.
- Piaget, J., (1950). *The psychology of intelligence*. New York: Harcourt, Brace. (Original work published 1947).
- Piaget, J., (1969). *The child's conception of time*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul. (Original work published 1946).
- Piaget, J., (1971). "The theory of stages in cognitive development". In D. R. Green, M. P. Ford, & G. B. Flamer (Eds.), *Measurement and Piaget*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Pianta, R. C., and Marcia Kraft-Sayre (2003). *Successful Kindergarten Transition*. Baltimore: Brookes Publishing.
- Ponitz, C. C., McClelland, M. M., Matthews, J. M., & Morrison, F. J. (2009). A structured observation of behavioral self-regulation and its contribution to kindergarten outcomes. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(3), 605-619.
- Popescu Neveanu, Paul (1978). *Dicționar de psihologie*. București: Editura Albatros.
- Preda, V., Pletea, M., Grama, F., (2011). *450 de jocuri educaționale: repere fundamentale în învățarea și dezvoltarea timpurie a copilului (19 luni – 84 de luni)*. Bucuresti: Didactica Publishing House.
- Radu, I., (1993). *Psihologia educației și dezvoltării*. București: Ed. Academiei.
- Răduț-Taciu, R., Bocos, M. D., Chiș, O.,(coord.) ( 2015). *Tratat de management educațional pentru învățământ primar și preșcolar*, Pitești: Paralela 45.
- Raver, C.C., (2002). "Emotions matter: Making the case for the role of young children's emotional development for early school readiness". *Social Policy Report*, 16(3): 3–24.
- Repko-Erwin, M. E. (2017). "Was kindergarten left behind? Examining U.S. kindergartenas the new first grade in the wake of No Child Left Behind". *Global Education Review*, 4: 58–74.

- Riggs, N.R., Greenberg, M.T., Kusché, C.A., Pentz, M.A.,(2006). “The mediational role of neurocognition in the behavioral outcomes of a social–emotional prevention program in elementary school students: Effects of the PATHS curriculum”. *Prevention Science*, 7:91–102.
- Rogoff, B., (2003). *The Cultural Nature of Human Development*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rubin, Z., (1980). *Children’s friendships*. Harvard: Harvard University Press.
- Salovey, P., Mayer, J. D., (1990). “Emotional intelligence”. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3): 185–211.
- Schore, A., (2000).“Attachment and the regulation of the right brain”. *Attachment & Human Development*, 2(1): 23–47.
- Schore, A., (2005).“Back to Basis: Attachment, Affect Regulation, and the Developing Right Brain: Linking Developmental Neuroscience to Pediatrics”. *Pediatrics Review*, 26(6): 204–216.
- Schaffer, H. R., (2005). *Introducere în psihologia copilului*. Cluj-Napoca: ASCR.
- Scharfe, E. (2000). *Development of Emotional Expresión, Understanding, and Regulation in Infants and Young Children*. In R. Bar-On, & J. D. A. Parker (Eds.), *The Handbood of Emotional Intelligence*.San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Shapiro, L. E., (2012). *Inteligența emoțională a copiilor. Jocuri și recomandări pentru un EQ ridicat*. Traducere de Paul Aneci și Anca Hâncu. București: Polirom.
- Slavina, L S.,(1962). *Tratarea individuală a elevilor rămași în urmă*. București: Editura didactică și pedagogică.
- Smith-Donald R., Raver C.C., Hayes T, Richardson B. (2007) Preliminary construct and concurrent validity of the Preschool Self-Regulation Assessment (PSRA) for field-based research. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*. 22:173–187
- Sroufe, L. A., (2000). Early relationships and the development of children. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 21(1-2), 67-74.
- Sroufe, L. A., & Siegel, D. (2011). The verdict is in: The case for attachment theory. *Psychotherapy Networker*, 35(34-39), 52-53.
- Sroufe, L. A., Schork, E., Motti, E., Lawroski, N., & LaFreniere, P. (1984). The role of affect in social competence. In C. Izard, J. Kagan & R. Zajonc (Eds.), *Emotion, Cognition and Behavior*, New York: Plenum.



- Stoica D., Stoica N., (1982). *Psihopedagogie școlară*. Craiova: Ed. Scrisul Românesc.
- Sugai, G., Horner, R.H., Dunlap, G., Hieneman, M., Lewis, T.J., Nelson, M.C., ( 2000). Applying positive behavioral assesment in schools, *Journal pf positive Behavior Interventions*, 2, 131-143.
- Șchiopu, U., Verza, E., (1981) – *Psihologia vârștelor. Cichurile vieții*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- Ștefan, C., (2008).“Short-term efficacy of a primary prevention program for the development of social-emotional competencies in preschool children”. *Cognition, Brain, Behavior*, 12. 285–307.
- Ștefan, C., Kallay, E., (2007). *Dezvoltarea competențelor emoționale și sociale la preșcolari. Ghid practic pentru educatori*. Cluj-Napoca: Editura ASCR.
- Tankersley, D., Ionescu, M., ed. (2015). *Roads to Quality – Strengthening Professionalism in Early Childhood Education and Care Systems: A guidebook illustrating the network’s vision for sustaining high-quality practices in early childhood education and care settings*. Leiden:ISSA.
- Thompson, R. A., (2006).“The Development of the Person: Social Understanding, Relationships, Conscience, Self”. In W. Damon, R. M. Lerner, and N. Eisenberg, eds., *Handbook of Child Psychology*, 6th ed., vol. 3 of *Social, Emotional, and Personality Development*. New York: Wiley.
- Tudose, D. E. Botiș, A. Mihalca, L., (2007). *Despre dezvoltarea abilităților emoționale și sociale ale copiilor, fete și băieți, cu vârșta până în 7 ani, Ghid pentru cadrele didactice din învățământul preșcolar*. <http://www.unicef.ro/wpcontent/uploads//dezvoltare-abilitati-pt-pdf.pdf> (noiembrie 2015).
- Valiente C, Lemery-Chalfant K, Swanson J, Reiser M., (2008). “Prediction of children’s academic competence from their effortful control, relationships, and classroom participation”. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100:67–77.
- Van Keulen, A. in Del Barrio, A., *Think big, act small*, Bureau Mutant, Members briefing paper11.4, Equity and childhood Program University of Melbourne, Australia, Dec. 2012. (<http://www.anadelbarrio.nl/Think%20big,%20act%20small!.pdf>)
- Vogler, P., Crivello G., Woodhead, M., (2008).*Early Childhood Transitions Research: A review of concepts, theory, and practice, Working Paper 48*. Hague: Bernard van Leer Foundation.

- Vrasti, R., (2015). *Reglarea emoțională și importanța ei clinică*. București: Editura ALL.
- Vygotsky, L.S., (1997). *The history of the development of higher mental functions*. Vol. 4. Translated by M. J. Hall. New York: Plenum Press.
- Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Webster-Stratton, C. (1999). *How to Promote Children's Social and Emotional Competence*. London: Paul Chapman Publishing.
- Zeidner, M., Matthews, G., Roberts, R.D., & MacCann, C. (2003). "Development of emotional intelligence: Toward a multilevel investment model". *Human Development*, 46. 69–96.
- Zeidner, M., Roberts, R.D., Matthews, G. (2008) – The Science of Emotional Intelligence. Current consensus and controversies, *European Psychologist*; Vol.13(1):64–78, DOI 10.1027/1016-9040.13.1.64
- Zins, J. E., & Elias, M. J. (2006). Social and emotional learning. In G. G. Bear & K. M. Minke (Eds.), *Children's needs III: Development, prevention, and intervention* (pp. 1–13). Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.
- Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Wang, M. C., & Walberg. H. J., Eds. (2004). *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- \*\*\* (2012) Scrisoare metodică pentru învățământul preșcolar- Încă un pas spre următorul nivel de performanță, M.E.C.T.S., Dir. Gen. Educație și Învățare pe tot parcursul vieții
- \*\*\* (1998) *Dicționarul explicativ al limbii române*, Academia Română, Institutul de Lingvistică, „Iorgu Iordan”, Editura Univers Enciclopedic, București.
- \*\*\* (2004). National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. *Young children develop in an environment of relationships*. Working Paper No. 1. Retrieved from <http://www.developingchild.net>
- \*\*\* (2009) United Nations Children's Fund Child Friendly Schools Manual, UNICEF, New York framework, Education Section Programme Division, New York.
- \*\*\* (2012) United Nations Children's Fund School Readiness: a conceptual framework, Education Section Programme Division, New York.
- \*\*\* (2012) United Nations Children's Fund, Inequities in Early Childhood Development: What the data say, UNICEF, New York.

- \*\*\*(1961) *Programa activităților obligatorii pentru învățământul preșcolar*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- \*\*\*(1963) *Programa activităților instructiv-educative în grădinițele de copii*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- \*\*\*(1987) *Programa activităților instructive-educative în grădinița de copii și în cadrul organizației Șoimii patriei*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- \*\*\*(1991) *Planul de învățământ și programa activităților instructive-educative în grădinița de copii*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- \*\*\*(1993) *Programa activităților instructive-educative în grădinița de copii*. București: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- \*\*\*(2000) *Programa activităților instructiv-educative în grădinița de copii și Regulamentul învățământului preșcolar*. București: Editura V&I Integral.
- \*\*\*(2004). National Scientific Council on the Developing Child *Children's Emotional Development Is Built into the Architecture of Their Brains: Working Paper No. 2*. <http://www.developingchild.net>.
- \*\*\*(2004). National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. *CORE CONCEPTS IN THE SCIENCE OF EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT. Healthy Development Builds a Strong Foundation – For Kids and For Society*. <http://www.developingchild.net>.
- \*\*\*(2008) Curriculumul pentru educația timpurie a copiilor de la 3 la 6/7 ani, M.E.N.
- \*\*\*(2008) Reperele Fundamentale privind Învățarea și Dezvoltarea Timpurie, M.E.N.
- \*\*\*(2016) *National School Climate Center: School Climate: Measuring School Climate*. Schoolclimate.org. Retrieved 16 May 2018, from <http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/practice.php> <http://csefel.vanderbilt.edu/>  
<http://www.casel.org/what-is-sel/>  
<http://www.unicef.ro/wp-content/uploads/Repere-fundamentale-in-invatarea-si-dezvoltarea-timpurie-a-copilului.pdf>