

„BABEȘ-BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY CLUJ-NAPOCA
THE FACULTY OF POLITICAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND
COMMUNICATION SCIENCES

SUMMARY OF THE PHD THESIS

PRESS IN THE DIGITAL ERA:
CREATING VIRAL CONTENT

PhD coordinator:

Professor Elena Abrudan, PhD

PhD candidate:

Decebal Remus Florescu

Cluj-Napoca

2020

STRUCTURE OF THE PHD THESIS

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Background: the problems of the press in the digital era

1.2 Research objectives and hypotheses

1.3 Methodology

Chapter 2. Vital content

2.1 What is viral content

2.1.1 The tripartite approach to virality

2.1.2 Structural virality

2.2 Precursors to viral content from the 19th century

2.2.1 List articles, popular even in the 19th century

2.2.2 A top of the "viral" articles from 1830-1836

2.2.3 What determined virality a century ago

2.3 The STEPPS model for building viral content

2.4 Viral content formula

2.4.1 The emotion, a central element of virality

2.4.1.1 Analysis of 7000 New York Times articles

2.4.1.2 How do specific emotions affect virality

2.4.1.3 Valence-Activation-Dominance

2.4.2 News factors and virality

2.4.2.1 List of news factors

2.4.2.2 Galtung and Ruge's list revised. The importance of entertainment

2.4.2.3 News factors and the audience

2.4.2.4 How do news factors influence virality

2.4.2.5 The „deviance“ factor and the distribution value

2.4.3 Combined methods: news factors and emotion

Chapter 3. Why we share

3.1 Social communication, from Aristotel to the latest studies in neurosciences

3.1.1 The social brain

3.1.2 We are built so that we want to pass on information to other people

3.1.3 Information sharing and the pleasure circuits of the brain

3.2 The sociological perspective

3.2.1 The social capital

3.2.2 The symbolic and cultural capital

3.2.3 The digital clothing of identity

3.3 The physiological perspective

3.4 The psychological perspective

3.4.1 Fake news are 70% more likely to be reshared than real news

3.4.2 Virality and the Bayesian surprise

3.4.3 The search for the new, one of the four dimensions of temperament

3.4.4 Dopamine, the ingredient for the success of social networks

3.4.5 Operational conditioning

3.4.6 Gambling, video games and social networks

3.4.7 The dependence on social contact

Chapter 4 – Case studies

4.1 How does the degree of emotionality of articles affect the virality for the Romanian press

4.1.1 Methodology

4.1.1.1 The chosen publication

4.1.1.2 How to read the press in the digital era

4.1.1.3 How were emotionality, virality and audience computed

4.1.1.4 Results

4.1.1.4.1 The emotionality - virality - audience relationship

4.1.1.4.2 The virality – audience relationship

4.1.1.4.3 The emotionality – audience relationship

4.1.1.4.4 The emotionality – virality relationship

4.1.1.4.5 The valence-audience relationship

4.2 How do the news factors influence virality and audience for the online edition of the *Adevărul* broadsheet?

4.2.1 Methodology

4.2.2. Results

4.2.3 Postmodernism and news factors

4.3 Conclusions

4.4 The limits of this study and future research directions

References

Keywords: viral content, press, digital era, emotion, news factors, activation, going viral, audience

The digital era, although it is not the first technological revolution throughout the human history, it definitely is the first one that allows almost anyone to create and disseminate online content, without any need for intermediaries, according to the statements of Google's visionary directors Jared Cohen and Eric Schmidt (2013) in the "The New Digital Age. Remodelling the future of people, nations and businesses" book. Hundreds of millions of Internet users around the world create huge amounts of content every minute, which is further channelled by the big technology companies on giant online platforms in order to capture the users' attention for as long as possible. Moreover, entertainment providers (movies, serials, video games, music) such as Netflix, Amazon Prime, Spotify, HBO, Sony Interactive Entertainment, Nintendo and EA compete for the very same captured attention, which invest billions of dollars in order to deliver premium services. Nonetheless, public figures and the new online stars - the so-called influencers - have to be taken into account because they reach dizzying audience figures. Soccer player Cristiano Ronaldo, for example, is followed on Instagram by more than 150 million people, while Kylie Jenner, a young woman from the US who became famous overnight for attending a reality TV show, is followed by 130 millions of people in this network.

In this fierce competition for drawing the limited attention of Internet users, journalists also compete, being the only ones from the above presented list whose job description actually indicates that they "exercise their profession in order to serve the public interest". The digital era has put traditional media institutions in a situation where the production of quality journalism is no longer sufficient in order to ensure their survival. The "Innovation" report - considered by specialists as "one of the key documents of this media era" - which sets out the strategy of adapting The New York Times to the new global press market, concludes that the publication should "break the audience code in the digital era" in order to deliver the work of journalists to readers in an over-saturated ecosystem of content creators (Benton, 2014).

Do you adapt or do you disappear? - this is the question asked by journalists all over the world as technology increasingly changes the consumption habits of press readers. In this context the ideal adaptation consists of combining the professional skills that journalists have with this new wave of technologies, in order to create a new type of sustainable journalism for the digital age. Furthermore, the proliferation of social networks allows news articles to reach a very large number of people in a very short time, without a marketing budget, through a contagion process similar to the spreading mechanism of a virus. The phenomenon has been called "viralisation/going viral" and it has become one of the elements without which an audience growth strategy in the digital era cannot be conceived. The difficulty of getting the

content going viral into the "ocean" of information in which modern humans live has led some researchers consider that they are dealing with an art and not a science. The research of elements that determine the virality of content has become an important concern for the academic world as well as for the practitioners in the digital era.

The "formula" for obtaining viral content in the online print media is the main topic of this PhD thesis and is addressed in the context of the PhD candidate's experience of over 15 years of field activity.

In Chapter I - we set the context in which the research is carried out, focusing on how the digital era influences the press; we have established why the chosen topic is important and where it is positioned in the context of the specialized literature, and the novelty element that it adds respectively. We also set out the research hypotheses and the research methodology.

In Chapter II - the essential elements of the paper are theoretically outlined: the concepts that emerged in relation to the viral content; the precursors of viral content from the 19th century; the general model of virality applicable to products, services and messages; the media virality formula applied in the press with its two approaches: one based on emotionality, and the other on based the idea of news factors.

In Chapter III - we break down the mechanism of content viralisation to its "primordial element" - the decision of an internet user to share an article, which is dissected from a multidisciplinary perspective: anthropological, psychological, neurological and sociological.

In Chapter IV - we conduct several case studies that involve the analysis of the Romanian press, in order to discover to what extent are the previously obtained results of researchers from well-known Western universities valid and applicable to our country. In the end, I briefly point out what are the elements derived from the specialized literature and from the carried out case studies that can really be used by Romanian journalists.

In the theoretical chapters we presented the main scientific discoveries in the field, from the multiple hypotheses regarding the most complete definition of the concept, to the elements that determine the viralisation of the content and to the analysis of the anthropological, sociological, psychological and neurological mechanisms that make us distribute certain types of articles. .

Sharad Goel from Stanford University and his New York Microsoft Research Center colleagues analysed a billion Twitter posts in order to clearly and comprehensively define the

concept of "virality" (Goel, 2016). The researchers concluded that we cannot put the equal sign between popularity (number of hits/views) and virality of content (number of shares). Thus, we cannot say that the World Cup final is viral, even if it attracts a large number of views, because all these users have only one major source: the television station that broadcasts the game. Goel and his collaborators defined the concept of structural virality, which implies the existence of two elements: "size", i.e. a large number of people who accessed the material and "depth" - the content reached a large number of people vertically, due to a successive transmission mechanism from user to user, across multiple generations / branches (Goel, 2016).

Many researchers have defined virality as an electronic variant of mouth-to-mouth / human-to-human transmission of information (De Bruyn and Lilien, 2008; Eckler and Rodgers, 2014; Golan and Zaidner, 2008; Kaikati and Kaikati, 2004; Pastor, 2000; Phelps et al., 2004; Thomas, 2004; Welker, 2002; Wilson, 2000). This kind of transmitting information has been studied intensively, in areas such as marketing, business administration, commerce. With the development of social networks, the distribution of information "from man to man" has migrated online and it has become a research theme for researchers in the journalism field. This is why one of the most prolific authors of viral content is Jonah Berger, a professor at Wharton Business School at the University of Pennsylvania, one of the top American universities in the Ivy League. Berger has spent the last 15 years concentrating his academic research on topics such as social influence, contagion and social transmission, viral content (Berger and Heath, 2005; Berger and Fitzsimons, 2008; Berger, Sorensen and Rasmussen, 2010; Berger, 2011, 2013; Berger and Milkman, 2010, 2012). Summarizing the most important discoveries he made by studying how a product, service or message can go viral, the American researcher extracted six essential elements: social currency, triggering factors, emotion, practical value, audience and stories.

Jonah Berger and his colleague at the University of Pennsylvania, Katherine L. Milkman have furthered developed their studies on viral content by focusing, this time, on the viral dissemination of news articles. Published in the journal "Journal of Marketing Research" in 2012, the "What Makes Online Content Go Viral" article has become one of the most cited in the literature and it has obtained an award from the American Marketing Association in 2017 that "honours the article that made the most significant long-term contribution to marketing theory, methodology and / or practice" (Markelz, 2017). The researchers analyse about 7,000 articles published in The New York Times and they determine those particular characteristic elements of the most viral materials. Summing up, they prove that a news article will become

viral if it conveys emotions to the readers, the most effective in terms of viralisation being those of psychological activation such as anger, anxiety or veneration / amazement (Berger, 2012).

Researcher Jacopo Staiano of the Sorbonne University argues that it is not individual emotions that determine virality, but their location within the "Valence-Activation-Domination" model, a structure used by psychologists to characterize emotions (Staiano, 2015). Thus, each emotion is a combination of three characteristics: valence, activation, domination. The conclusion of this researcher is that sharing on social networks is determined by emotions with a high degree of domination, i.e. those over which the reader has more control, such as admiration or joy. Strong activating emotions rather force readers to post comments on the articles in question, and negative emotions are more viral than positive ones (Staiano, 2015).

The importance of emotions in journalistic content was emphasized by one of the first journalism theorists, American professor Walter Lippmann (1921) who argued that newspaper articles should provoke the reader to "feel an emotion", to make him "feel a a kind of personal identification with the stories he reads", "to involve him in news as he is involved in a play, through personal identification"- but also by nowadays press theorists. The head of the Communication Department at the University of Illinois, Chicago, Zizi Papacharissi (2012), speaks about the use of emotions within news articles in a Nieman Lab reference: "I do not mean sentimental news, but better written news, through the use of sentiment, which leads, directs, informs and pluralizes the processes and values of the news".

One of the most important approaches of researchers in terms of obtaining viral content refers to the so-called "news factors" i.e. those elements that give an event a journalistic value. Damian Trilling and colleagues at the University of Amsterdam have published a study in the "Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly" journal, which introduces the concept of "distribution value", with the aim of trying to explain how the virality of an article can be predicted (Trilling, 2017). Dutch researchers claim that the most relevant articles in journalism, the ones that contain the most news items, are the ones most likely to go viral. Defining news factors is an approach that dates back to the beginning of the 20th century and continues to this day. The most cited list of news factors is that of the Norwegians Galtung and Ruge (1965), which was adapted to the 21st century by the British Harcup and O'Neill (2001). They summarize the following 10 news factors: power elite, celebrity, entertainment, surprise, negative news, positive news, magnitude, relevance, continuation of already addressed news (follow-ups), newspaper agenda.

The German researcher Christiane Eilders (2006) analyse the most important theorists who proposed lists of news factors, from Galtung and Ruge (1965) to Harcup and O'Neill (2001), and concludes: the elements that make a journalist choose certain events to turn them into news are, to a large extent, the very same ones with the relevant elements for every human being according to the general principles of human perception. Thus, there are three explanations for which an event is relevant to a person: evolutionary theory (which has taught us to be aware of the stimuli that could be threatening), the general psychological mechanisms according to which familiar objects, persons or events will arouse interest, because there already is a mental matrix regarding the relationship with them and, none the least, the social relevance (according to which we will pay attention not only to events that directly affect us, but also to those that can have consequences for our role within society or it can refer to the collective social norms and society as a whole).

Eilders (2006) shows that "surprise" and "relevance" are essential elements that influence the selection of news by both journalists and readers. Factors such as the presence of conflict, human interest and positive news are the most viral in the Dutch press (Trilling, 2017). In a 2014 study by Columbia University researchers analysed 800 news headlines published in eight major US newspapers, and they find that there is a strong relationship between the social deviance (the surprise factor) of the covered event or topic and the number of redistributions of articles on Twitter (Diakopoulos, 2014).

Online news readers prefer to read and share the positive news that produces a feeling of "veneration / amazement" and not the negative news that causes feelings of anger or anxiety. Also, items such as relevance and surprise / unexpected / unusual are most likely to viralise news on Twitter and YouTube networks, according to a study written by researchers at Concordia University in Montreal (Al-Rawi, 2017).

Researcher Soroush Vosoughi and colleagues at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) have published one of the largest articles on virality in 2018 in the Science journal. They study the spread of all verified, true and false news, distributed on Twitter from 2006 to 2017. The results are surprising: "fake news had 70% more chances to be redistributed on Twitter than true news" (Vosoughi, 2018). The explanation given by researchers, based on studies in neuroscience, anthropology and psychology, consists in the presence of the "novelty / surprise" element in the fake news to a greater extent than in the real news. "The sensory cortex may have evolved to adapt, anticipate and attenuate the expected normal statistical events of the surrounding world (Olshausen and Field, 1996; Müller, Metha, Krauskopf and Lennie,

1999; Dragoi, Sharma, Miller and Sur, 2002; David, Vinje and Gallant, 2004), focusing on events that are unpredictable or surprising instead (Fairhall, Lewen et al., 2001), shows researcher Laurent Itti (2009).

Being questioned "Why do we share", the consulted researchers show that the transmission of information to others is part of human nature (Dunbar, 1998; Adolphs, 2003) and it has even ensured the survival and development of the species through different historical periods (Boyd 2011, Hermida 2014), being so important that it was deeply engraved in the human brain, so that when we do hear new information, the neural networks that are in charge of sharing them with others are activated (Lieberman, 2013); opposed to these, the social interaction associated with information sharing activates pleasure centres in the brain, (Kelley and Berridge 2002; Tamir 2012; Rademacher et al. 2010; Izuma et al., 2008; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2009) which is why the technology that makes this interaction possible causes dependence in a very short time (Veissière, 2018; Krach et al. 2010); also, the distribution of new, surprising and useful information has the role of increasing the social, symbolic and cultural capital of people (Berger, 2013; Bourdieu, 1992; Putnam, 2000).

The practical part of the paper consists of applying the Western literature published findings regarding the becoming of viral content (the most relevant studies worked with samples from the US and UK press) for the case of the Romanian press in order to establish to what extent these may be used by local journalists.

In the first case study, we analysed 8,000 articles published on the online platform of the daily *Adevărul* (in October 2017, November 2017, December 2017, January 2018 and July 2018) to determine the relationship between emotionality and virality. The most relevant studies in the field have shown - using content from the American and British press - that the degree of emotionality is the essential element that, regardless of the subject of the article, can increase its chances of becoming viral. The degree of emotionality of an article is equal to the percentage of affective words - words that produce positive or negative emotions to the reader - of the total words of an article. Activation emotions, such as veneration, anxiety and anger are the ones that have the greatest positive impact on virality.

Given the large amount of data that had to be analysed, it was necessary to develop a special computer program for word counting in order to determine the emotionality of the articles. Another challenge in performing the analysis was that there did not exist a list of

affective words in the Romanian language, so it was necessary to adapt such a dictionary from English.

In contrast to the analysed works which study the relationship between emotionality and virality, this research goes one step further as we also consider the audience (number of views).

We determine the emotionality and virality for the Top 10 most read articles, Top 40 most read articles and the average values of these variables determined from the analysis of all the articles published on the home page during the analysed period respectively. Thus we are able to analyse the specific relationship between emotionality, virality and audience.

The conclusion from these case studies is that the degree of emotionality of the articles increases as we move towards the top of the mostly accessed materials. Emotionality is 4.3% if we refer to the mean of analysed articles on the adevarul.ro during the monitored months. It further increases to 6.8% in the case of the Top 40 mostly visited articles, reaching the maximum value in the Top 10 articles (9.3%). The same evolution is recorded in terms of virality, counted as the number of Facebook shares: the average number of shares held by an article on adevarul.ro during the monitored period is 408; an article from the Top 40 has about 2,836 shares while an article from Top 10 has about 3,821 shares.

Thus, the relationship between emotionality, virality and audience is of the following type: when the degree of emotionality increases, the virality and the audience increase as well. Nonetheless, the use of affective words is a way to get viral content both in English and Romanian media.

The second conducted case study focuses on the hypothesis that more journalistic articles get more attention from readers who share articles and are therefore more likely to go viral. We analyse the Top 10 articles regarding the number of views from the monitored period using the list of news factors of Harcup and O'Neill (2001): power elite, celebrity, entertainment, surprise, negative news, positive news, magnitude, relevance, follow-ups and the newspaper's agenda. For each article (50 articles in total) we manually code the presence / absence of the 10 previously mentioned news factors. In the end, we validate which are the predominant news factors for the mostly accessed articles on adevarul.ro, which have, in fact, the highest degree of emotionality and virality.

According to the obtained results, "surprise" is the most present news factor in the top read articles on adevarul.ro (86%), which are also the ones with the highest degree of

emotionality and virality. The factors "relevance" (58%) and "entertainment" (48%) have a major importance as well.

Consumers of online content who manage to break free for a while from the entertainment stream that floods them through a variety of channels, have a choice between a very attractive type of journalistic content, but which is poor in terms of the relevance of information for their lives on the one hand and a less attractive type of journalism, but which contains relevant information, on the other hand. In this context, the mission of journalists is to make the relevant news interesting, thus ensuring their viralisation and, finally, the audience needed for survival in the digital era.

The results obtained from the analysis of the specialized literature and from the analysed case studies for monitoring the Romanian press allow us to conclude that in order to create viral content, the journalists must consider the use of affective words that determine the increase of the degree of emotionality of the articles on the one hand, and the news factors that determine viralisation (surprise, relevance, entertainment) on the other hand.

REFERENCES

- Abernathy, Penelope Muse. 2019. „Raportul pe 2018 al Centrului pentru Inovație și Sustenabilitate în Presa Locală al Școlii de Media și Jurnalism din cadrul Universității North Carolina”, <https://www.usnewsdeserts.com/reports/expanding-news-desert/>. Accessed on May 21st 2019.
- Active Watch. 2015. „Libertatea Presei în România 2014 – 2015”, [https://www.activewatch.ro/Assets/Upload/files/raport%20freeex%202014%20-%202015\(1\).pdf](https://www.activewatch.ro/Assets/Upload/files/raport%20freeex%202014%20-%202015(1).pdf) accessed on May 21st 2019.
- Active Watch. 2019. „Libertatea Presei în România 2018 – 2019”, <https://activewatch.ro/ro/freeex/publicatii/lansarea-raportul-freeex-2018-2019-libertatea-presei-in-romania/> accessed on May 21st 2019.
- Adolphs, Ralph. 2003. „Cognitive neuroscience of human social behaviour.” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, volume 4, pag. 165–178.
- Aharon, I., Etcoff, N., Ariely, D., Chabris, C. F., O’Connor, E., and Breiter, H. C. 2001. „Beautiful faces have variable reward value: fMRI and behavioral evidence”. *Neuron* 32, 537–551.
- Al-Rawi, Ahmed. 2017. „Viral News on Social Media”. *Digital Journalism*,7:1, 63-79, DOI: 10.1080/21670811.2017.1387062.
- Alexa. 2018. Global Rank. https://try.alexa.com/home-search-d-062419?utm_expid=.gLtugKkDRLeP84LhGhmilQ.2&utm_referrer=https%3A%2F%2Ftry.alexa.com%2Fhome-search-d-062419
- Alexander, J. C. 1981. „The mass news media in systemic, historical, and comparative perspective”, in E. Katz and T. Szecsko (Eds.), „Mass media and social change” (pp. 17-49). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Alhabash, Saleem și R McAlister, Anna. 2015. „Redefining virality in less broad strokes: Predicting viral behavioural intentions from motivations and uses of Facebook and Twitter”. *New media & society*. Volume: 17 issue: 8, page(s): 1317-1339 Article first published online: February 24, 2014; Issue published: September 1, 2015.
- Allen, Mike. Nov 9, 2017. Sean Parker unloads on Facebook: “God only knows what it's doing to our children's brains”. *Axios.com*. <https://www.axios.com/sean-parker-unloads-on-facebook-god-only-knows-what-its-doing-to-our-childrens-brains-1513306792-f855e7b4-4e99-4d60-8d51-2775559c2671.html>.
- Allsop, Dee T., Bryce R. Bassett și James A. Hoskins. 2007. “Word-of-Mouth Research: Principles and Applications,” *Journal of Advertising Research*, 47 (4), 388–411.
- Anderson, J. R. 1989. „Kognitive Psychologie” [Cognitive psychology]. Heidelberg: Spektrum der Wissenschaft.
- Anderson, L. R., & Holt, C. A. 1997. „Information cascades in the laboratory”. *The American Economic Review*, 847–862.
- Aristotel. 1924. „Politica”, Cultura Națională Publishing house, translation by El. Bezdechi.
- Asch, S. E. 1956. „Studies of independence and conformity: A minority of one against a unanimous majority.” *Psychological Monographs*, 70 (Whole No. 416).
- Baumeister, R. F., Zhang, L., și Vohs, K. D. 2004. „Gossip as cultural learning.” *Review of General Psychology*, 8(2), 111–12.
- Becker, H. S. 1963. „Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance”. New York: Free Press.
- Belin, D., Jonkman, S., Dickinson, A., Robbins, T. W., and Everitt, B. J.. 2009. „Parallel and interactive learning processes within the basal ganglia: relevance for the understanding of addiction”. *Behavioural Brain Research* 199, 89–102. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2008.09.027.
- Benton, Joshua. 2014. „The leaked New York Times Innovation report is one of the key documents of this media age”, May 15th, 2014, <http://www.niemanlab.org/>.
- Benton, Joshua. 2019. „The New York Times is getting close to becoming a majority-digital company”. Niemanlab. February 6th 2019, 12:34 p.m. <https://www.niemanlab.org/2019/02/the-new-york-times-is-getting-close-to-becoming-a-majority-digital-company/>.
- Berger, J. A., & Iyengar, R. 2012. „How interest shapes word-of-mouth over different channels”. SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2013141>.
- Berger, J. și Fitzsimons, G. 2008. „Dogs on the street, pumas on your feet: How cues in the environment influence product evaluation and choice.” *Journal of Marketing Research*, 45(1), 1–14.

- Berger, J. și Heath, C. 2005. „Idea habitats: How the prevalence of environmental cues influences the success of ideas.” *Cognitive Science*, 29(2), 195–221.
- Berger, J., & Milkman, K. L. 2012. „What makes online content viral?” *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49, 192-205. doi:10.1509/jmr.10.0353.
- Berger, J., Milkman, K. L. 2010. „Social transmission and viral culture.” *Operations and Information Management*.
- Berger, J., Sorensen, A. T., and Rasmussen, S. J. 2010. „Positive effects of negative publicity: When negative reviews increase sales.” *MarketingScience*, 29(5), 815–827.
- Berger, Jonah, & Milkman, Katherine L. 2012. „What Makes Online Content Viral?” *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49(2), 192–205. doi:10.1509/jmr.10.0353.
- Berger, Jonah. 2011. „Arousal Increases Social Transmission of Information.” *Psychological Science* 22(7):891-3.
- Berger, Jonah. 2013. „Contagious: Why things catch on.” London: Simon & Schuster.
- Biesecker, Barbara A. 2018. „Post-Truth”. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*. Vol. 51, No. 4, SPECIAL ISSUE: (2018), pp. 329-341. Penn State University Press. DOI: 10.5325/philrhet.51.4.0329
- Black, D. 1976. „The behavior of law”. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Bobkowski, P. S. 2015. „Sharing the news: Effects of informational utility and opinion leadership on online news sharing.” *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 92, 320-345. doi:10.1177/1077699015573194.
- Bonchi F, Castillo C and Ienco D. 2011. „Meme ranking to maximize posts virality in microblogging platforms”. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems* 40:211–239. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011, DOI 10.1007/s10844-011-0181-4.
- Bone, Paula Fitzgerald. 1992. „Determinants of Word-Of-Mouth Communications During Product Consumption”, in NA - *Advances in Consumer Research* Volume 19, eds. John F. Sherry, Jr. and Brian Sternthal, Provo, UT : Association for Consumer Research, Pages: 579-583, <http://acrwebsite.org/volumes/7359/volumes/v19/NA-19>.
- BoundlessMind. 2018. BoundlessMind case studies. <https://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/boundless-documents-public/CaseStudies/BoundlessMindCaseStudies.pdf>.
- Bourdieu, Pierre and Loic J. D. Wacquant. 1992. *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*, University of Chicago Press.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1998. „On Television and Journalism”, London: Pluto Press.
- Bowles, Nellie. 2018. Early Facebook and Google Employees Form Coalition to Fight What They Built. The New York Times. February 4th. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/02/04/technology/early-facebook-google-employees-fight-tech.html>.
- Boyd, Robert, Peter Richerson and Joseph Henrich. 2011. „The Cultural Niche: Why Social Learning Is Essential for Human Adaptation.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, no. S2 (June 28, 2011): 10918–10925, http://www.pnas.org/content/108/Supplement_2/10918.
- Brants, Kees and Van Praag, Philip. 2006. „Signs of media logic: Half a century of political communication in the Netherlands.” *Journal of the European Institute for Communication and Culture*, 13(1), 25-40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13183222.2006.11008905>.
- Brooks, Alison Wood, Maurice E. Schweitzer. 2011. “Can Nervous Nelly Negotiate? How Anxiety Causes Negotiators to Make Low First Offers, Exit Early, and Earn Less Profit,” *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 115 (1), 43–54.
- Brooks, David. 2017. „How Evil Is Tech?”, The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/opinion/how-evil-is-tech.html>.
- Cacioppo JT and Berntson GG. 1994. Relationship between attitudes and evaluative space: a critical review, with emphasis on the separability of positive and negative substrates. *Psychological Bulletin* 115(3): 401–423.
- Cadwalladr, Carole. 2017. „Daniel Dennett: <<I begrudge every hour I have to spend worrying about politics>>”. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/science/2017/feb/12/daniel-dennett-politics-bacteria-bach-back-dawkins-trump-interview>
- Cai, H., Chen, Y. and Fang, H. 2009. „Observational learning: Evidence from a randomized natural field experiment.” *The American Economic Review*, 99(3), 864–882.
- Castells, M. 1999. *The Information Age*, Volumes 1-3: Economy, Society and Culture. Cambridge (Mass.); Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Center, A. and Walsh, F. 1985. *Public relations practices: Managerial casestudies and problems* (3rd ed.). Pearson/Prentice Hall.

Ciufescu, Elvira Sînziana. 2003. "Virusologie medicală", Editura Medicală Națională Publishing house.

Cloninger, C.R., D.M. Svrakic. T.R. Przybeck. 1993. „A psychobiological model of temperament and character”. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 50 (12): 975–90.

Cobb, R. W., & Elder, C. D. 1972. „Participation in American politics: The dynamics of agenda-building”. Boston, MA: Allyn & Beacon.

Coghan, Andy. 2017. Brain’s reward system earns researchers €1 million prize. *New Scientist*. <https://www.newscientist.com/article/2123578-brains-reward-system-earns-researchers-e1-million-prize/>.

Colton T. 1974. *Statistics in Medicine*. Little Brown and Company, New York, NY 1974.

Cordell, Ryan. 2015. „Reprinting, Circulation, and the Network Author in Antebellum Newspapers.” *American Literary History*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/alh/ajz014>.

Cordell, Ryan. 2017. „Fugitive Verses: The Circulation of Poems in Nineteenth-Century American Newspapers”, *American Periodicals* 27, vol. 1, Ohio University Press.

Dailyinfographics. 2016. „Most Popular News Website in Each Country”. <http://dailyinfographics.eu/most-popular-news-website-in-each-country/>

David, Daniel. 2015. *Psihologia poporului român. Profilul psihologic al românilor într-o monografie cognitiv-experimentală*. Publishing house Polirom, ISBN: 978-973-46-5478-9.

David, SV, W.E. Vinje and J.L. Gallant. 2004. „Natural stimulus statistics alter the receptive field structure of v1 neurons”. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 24 (31): 6991-7006.

De Bruyn, Arnaud and Gary Lilien. 2008. „A Multi-Stage Model of Word of Mouth through Electronic Referrals. University Park”. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 25(3), 151-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2008.03.004>.

Dennis, E. E., & Inmach, A. H. 1981. „Reporting processes and practices”. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.

Diakopoulos, Nicholas and Zubiaga, Arkaitz. 2014. „Newsworthiness and Network Gatekeeping on Twitter: The Role of Social Deviance.” *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*.

Dichter, Ernst. 1966. "How Word-of-Mouth Marketing Works," *Harvard Business Review*, 44 (6), 148, citată în De Bruyn A and Lilien GL. 2004. „A Multi-Stage Model of Word of Mouth through Electronic Referrals”. University Park, PA: eBusiness Research Cente.

Dick, Alan S. and Kunal Basu. 1994. "Customer Loyalty: Toward an Integrated Conceptual Framework," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22 (Spring), 99-113.

Dictionarul Englez Oxford (OED). 2019. Oxford University Press. www.oed.com

Dicționarul Oxford. 2016. Cuvântul anului 2016. <https://languages.oup.com/word-of-the-year/word-of-the-year-2016>

Diorio, Stephen. 2001. "How to Catch on to Viral Marketing", ClickZ.com, *Online Marketing Strategies Series*, <https://bit.ly/2T4igrB>.

Domjan, Michael. 2015. *The Principles of Learning and Behavior*. Cenage Learning, Stanford.

Dragoi, V., J. Sharma, E.K. Miller, M. Sur. 2002. „Dynamics of neuronal sensitivity in visual cortex and local feature discrimination”. *Nature Neuroscience*, 5 (9): 883-891.

Drèze X and Zufryden F. 1998. „Is Internet advertising ready for prime time?” *Journal of Advertising Research* 38(3): 7–18.

Duignan, Brian. 2019. „Postmodernism”. Encyclopedia Britannica. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/postmodernism-philosophy>

Dunbar, Robin I. M. 1998. „The social brain hypothesis”. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, volumul 6.

Ebstein, Richard P., Olga, Novick, Roberto, Umansky, Beatrice, Priel, Yamima, Osher, Darren Blaine, Estelle, R. Bennett, Lubov, Nemanov, Miri, Katz & Robert H. Belmaker. 1996. „Dopamine D4 receptor (D4DR) exon III polymorphism associated with the human personality trait of Novelty Seeking”, *Nature Genetics* volume 12, pages 78–80.

Eckler P and Rodgers S. 2014. „Viral advertising: a conceptualization.” *The Handbook of International Advertising Research*. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, pp.139–160.

Eilders, C. and Wirth, W. 1999. „Die Nachrichtenwertforschung auf dem Weg zum Publikum: Eine experimentelle Überprüfung des Einflusses von Nachrichtenfaktoren bei der Rezeption” [News value research meets the audience: Investigating the impact of news factors on reception processes in an experimental setting]. *Publizistik*, 44(1), 3557.

Eilders, Christiane. 2006. „News factors and news decisions. Theoretical and methodological advances in Germany.” *Communications*, 31, 5-24. doi:10.1515/COMMUN.2006.002

Fairhall, AL, G.D. Lewen W., Bialek, R.R. de Ruyter Van Steveninck. 2001. „Efficiency and ambiguity in an adaptive neural code”. *Nature*, 412 (6849) (2001): 787-792.

Gabielkov, Maksym and collaborators. 2016. „Social Clicks: What and Who Gets Read on Twitter?” *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGMETRICS International Conference on Measurement and Modeling of Computer Science*. DOI: 10.1145/2964791.2901462.

Gaertner, Samuel L. and John F. Dovidio. 1977. „The Subtlety of White Racism, Arousal, and Helping Behavior”. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35 (10), 691–707.

Galtung, Johan and Ruge, Mari Holmboe. 1965. „The structure of foreign news.” *Journal of Peace Research*, 2, 64-91. http://www.archivio.formazione.unimib.it/DATA/Insegnamenti/11_2423/materiale/aa_2015-2016_galtung-ruge-newsvalues.pdf

Goel S, Anderson A, Hofman J et al. 2016. „The Structural Virality of Online Diffusion,” *Management Science* 62(1), pp. 180–196.

Golan G and Zaidner Z. 2008. „Creative strategies in viral advertising: an application of Taylor’s six-segment message strategy wheel.” *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 13: 959–972.

Google Ads. 2019. <https://support.google.com/google-ads/answer/2615875?hl=ro>

Granovetter, Mark S. 1973. „The Strength of Weak Ties”. *American Journal of Sociology*, University of Chicago Press, 78, 1360-80.

Gray, Peter. 2010. *Psychology*. Worth Publishers. Editia a sasea. ISBN-13: 978-1429219471

Guerini M, Pepe A and Lepri B. 2012. „Do linguistic style and readability of scientific abstracts affect their virality?” in *Proceedings of the sixth international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media (ICWSM 2012)*. Dublin, Ireland, 4–7 June 2012. <https://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM12/paper/viewFile/4618/5035>.

Haile, Tony. 2014. „What You Think You Know About the Web Is Wrong”, *Time*, <http://time.com/12933/what-you-think-you-know-about-the-web-is-wrong/>.

Harcup, T., & O’Neill, D. 2001. „What Is News? Galtung and Ruge revisited”. *Journalism Studies*, 2(2), 261–280. doi:10.1080/14616700120042114.

Harcup, Tony, and Deirdre O’Neill. 2016. “What is News? News Values Revisited (Again).” *Journalism Studies*: 1–19. doi:10.1080/1461670X.2016.1150193.

Heath, Chip; Bell, Chris; Sternberg, Emily, „Emotional Selection in Memes: The Case of Urban Legends”, www.synapse.princeton.edu, 2001, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 81(6):1028-1041.

Hermida, Alfred. 2014. „Tell Everyone: Why We Share and Why It Matters”, Doubleday Canada Publishing house

Hills, S. L. 1980. „Demystifying social deviance”. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hong J and Leckenby JD. 1996. „Audience measurement and media reach/frequency issues” in Internet advertising. In: Proceedings of the 1996 conference of the American Academy of Advertising. Vancouver, BC, Canada: American Academy of Advertising.

Hopson, John. 2001. *Behavioral Game Design*. Gamasutra.com. http://www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/131494/behavioral_game_design.php

Hopson, John. 2002. *The Psychology of Choice*. Gamasutra. http://www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/131420/the_psychology_of_choice.php

Humanetech, 2018, <http://humanetech.com/problem>

Illing, Sean. 2018. „A philosopher explains America's <<post-truth>> problem.” Vox. <https://www.vox.com/2018/8/14/17661430/trump-post-truth-politics-philosophy-simon-blackburn>

Inglehart, Ronald and Welzel, Christian. 2010. „Changing Mass Priorities: The Link Between Modernization and Democracy.” *Perspectives on Politics* June, vol 8, no. 2, page 554.

Institutul American de Presă. „How Americans get their news”. 17 martie, 2014. <https://www.americanpressinstitute.org/publications/reports/survey-research/how-americans-get-news/>

Institutul American de Presă. 2019. Journalism Essentials. <https://www.americanpressinstitute.org/journalism-essentials/what-is-journalism/purpose-journalism/>

Institutul Reuters pentru Studiarea Jurnalismului din cadrul Universității Oxford. 2018. „Digital News Report” <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/> accessed on May 21st 2019.

- Itti, L., & Baldi, P. 2009. „Bayesian surprise attracts human attention”. *Vision research*, 49(10): 1295-1306. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0042698908004380>
- Izard, R. S., Culbertson, H.M. and Lambert, D.A. 1973. „Fundamentals of news reporting”. Dubuque, Iowa: Kendall/Hunt.
- Izuma K1, Saito DN, Sadato N. 2008. „Processing of social and monetary rewards in the human striatum”. *Neuron*. 2008 Apr 24;58(2):284-94.
- Jameson, Frederic. 1982. Postmodernism and Consumer Society. Art Department of University of California - Santa Cruz. http://art.ucsc.edu/sites/default/files/Jameson_Postmodernism_and_Consumer_Society.pdf
- Jenkins, Henry, Sam Ford, and Joshua Green. 2013. *Spreadable Media: Creating Value and Meaning in a Networked Culture*. New York: NYU press.
- Johnston, W. J. and Dark, V. J. 1986. „Selective attention”. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 37, 43-75.
- Kaikati JG and Kaikati AM. 2004. „Stealth marketing: how to reach consumers surreptitiously.” *California Management Review* 46(4): 6–22.
- Kashima, Y. 2008. „A Social Psychology of Cultural Dynamics: Examining How Cultures Are Formed, Maintained, and Transformed. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 2(1):107– 120.
- Keir, G., McCombs, M. and Shaw, D. L. 1986. „Advanced reporting: Beyond news events.” New York: Longman.
- Kelley, Ann E. and Berridge, Kent C. 2002. „The Neuroscience of Natural Rewards: Relevance to Addictive Drugs”. *Journal of Neuroscience* 1 May 2002, 22 (9) 3306-3311.
- Keltner, Dacher and Jon Haidt. 2003. „Approaching Awe: A Moral, Spiritual, and Aesthetic Emotion,” *Cognition and Emotion*, 17 (2), 297–314.
- Knight, Robert T.. 1996. „Contribution of human hippocampal region to novelty detection”, *Nature* vol. 383: 256–259 (19 September 1996), doi:10.1038/383256a0, <https://www.nature.com/articles/383256a0>.
- Knutson, B., Adams, C. M., Fong, G. W., and Hommer, D. 2001. „Anticipation of increasing monetary reward selectively recruits nucleus accumbens”. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 21, RC159.
- Koenig, F. 1985. *Rumor in the marketplace: The social psychology of commercial hearsay*. Dover, Mass: Auburn House Pub. Co
- Koskei, Meshak. 2015. „Post Modernism And Hyperreality And The Media”. *Academia.edu*.https://www.academia.edu/10747720/POST_MODERNISM_AND_HYPERREALITY_AND_THE_MEDIA
- Krach, S., Paulus, F. M., Bodden, M., and Kircher, T. 2010. „The rewarding nature of social interactions”. *Frontiers in Behavioural Neuroscience* 4:22.
- Lieberman, Matthew D; Falk, Emily B; Morelli, Sylvia A; Welborn, B. Locke; Dambacher, Karl. 2013. „Creating Buzz, The Neural Correlates of Effective Message Propagation” *Journal of Psychological Science*.
- Linnet, J. 2014. „Neurobiological underpinnings of reward anticipation and outcome evaluation in gambling disorder”. *Frontiers in Behavioural Neuroscience* 8:100.
- Lippmann, Walter. 1921. „Public opinion”. Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick (USA) and London (UK). <https://wps.pearsoncustom.com/wps/media/objects/2429/2487430/pdfs/lippmann.pdf>.
- Liu 1, Chao, White R., Dumais S., 2010. „Understanding web browsing behaviors through Weibull analysis of dwell time”, Proceedings of the 33rd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval (SIGIR '10), <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1835449.1835513>.
- Liu, Bing. 2010. „Sentiment Analysis and Subjectivity”, Handbook of Natural Language Processing, Second Edition, (editors: N. Indurkha and F. J. Damerau).
- Loranger, Armand Walter. 1997. *Assessment and diagnosis of personality disorders*. Cambridge University Press. p. 14. ISBN 978-0-521-58043-4.
- Macrea, D. 1955. *Dicționarul limbii române literare contemporane*. Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne Publishing house.
- Madrigal, Alexis. 2015. „The appeal of facts that blow your mind, from the 19th century to BuzzFeed” *Splinternews.com*, <http://splinternews.com/the-appeal-of-facts-that-blow-your-mind-from-the-19th-1793844744>.
- Markelz, Michelle. 2017. „What Makes Online Content Viral? Research Shows It's Anger, Shock and Awe.” American Marketing Association - ama.org, <https://auth.ama.org/academics/Pages/odell-award-winner-jonah-berger-katherine-milkman-what-makes-online-content-viral.aspx>.
- Maybin, Simon. 2017. „Busting the attention span myth”. BBC World Service, More or Less March 10th 2017. <https://www.bbc.com/news/health-38896790>.

McClure, S. M., Ericson, K. M., Laibson, D. I., Loewenstein, G., and Cohen, J. D. 2007. „Time discounting for primary rewards”. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 27, 5796–5804.

McKirnan, D. 1980. „The identification of deviance: A conceptualization and initial test of a model of social norms”. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 10(1), 75–93.

Microsoft Canada Consumer Insights. 2015. „Attention spans”. <https://www.scribd.com/document/265348695/Microsoft-Attention-Spans-Research-Report>.

Mills, Adam. 2012. “Virality in Social Media: The SPIN Framework.” *Journal of Public Affairs* 12 (2): 162–169.

Müller, J.R., A.B. Metha, J. Krauskopf, P. Lennie. 1999, „Rapid adaptation in visual cortex to the structure of images”. *Science*, 285 (5432): 1405-1408.

Nahon, Karine, and Jeff Hemsley. 2013. „Going Viral”. Oxford: Polity.

New York Times Consumer Insight Group (CIG). 2015. „The Psychology of Sharing- Why do people share online”. <https://www.bostonwebdesigners.net/news/why-people-share-online/>.

Newman, Nic.. 2019. „Journalism, Media and Technology Trends and Predictions 2019”. Institutul Reuters pentru Studiarea Jurnalismului. <http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/publications/2019/journalism-media-technology-trends-predictions-2019/#2-2-the-business-of-journalism>.

Nielsen, J. 1994. „Report from a 1994 Web usability study”. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/1994-web-usability-report/>.

Nielsen, J. 1997. „Be succinct!” (Writing for the Web). <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/be-succinct-writing-for-the-web/>.

Nielsen, J., and Sano, D. 1994. „SunWeb: User interface design for Sun Microsystem's internal Web”, <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/1994-design-sunweb-sun-microsystems-intranet/>.

Nielsen, Jakob. 2006. „F-Shaped Pattern For Reading Web Content”. April 17th 2006. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/f-shaped-pattern-reading-web-content-discovered/>.

Nielsen, Jakob. 2008. „How Little Do Users Read?”. 6 mai, 2008. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/how-little-do-users-read/>.

Nielsen, Jakob. 2011. „How Long Do Users Stay on Web Pages?”. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/how-long-do-users-stay-on-web-pages/>.

Norman, D. A. 1973. „Aufmerksamkeit und Gedächtnis: Eine Einführung in die menschliche Informationsverarbeitung” [Attention and memory. An introduction to human information processing]. Weinheim: Beltz.

Obae, Petrișor. 2018. „Ce site-uri de știri citesc românii? Adevărul, primul după numărul de unici. GSP, primul după numărul de afișări.” <https://www.paginademediei.ro/2018/02/ce-site-uri-de-stiri-citesc-romanii-adevarul-primul-dupa-numarul-de-unici-gsp-prima-dupa-numarul-de-afisari>.

Olshausen, B.A. and D.J. Field. 1996. „Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a sparse code for natural images”. *Nature*, 381 (6583): 607-609.

Organizația Mondială a Sănătății. 2018. Gaming disorder. <http://www.who.int/features/qa/gaming-disorder/en/>

Palau Marc, Marron Elena M., Viejo-Sobera Raquel, Redolar-Ripoll Diego. 2017. „Neural Basis of Video Gaming: A Systematic Review”. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, volume 11, <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00248>

Papacharissi, Zizi. 2012. „The return of sentiment.” *Predictions for journalism 2013: a Nieman Lab series*. Nieman Lab. <https://www.niemanlab.org/2012/12/the-return-of-sentiment/>.

Pastore M. 2000. „The value of word of mouth”. <http://www.clickz.com/clickz/news/1703408/the-value-word-mouth>.

Pennebaker, James W., Roger J. Booth, and Martha E. Francis. 2007. LIWC2007: „Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count”, <http://www.liwc.net/>.

Pernice, Kara. 2017. „F-Shaped Pattern of Reading on the Web: Misunderstood, But Still Relevant (Even on Mobile)”. 12 Noiembrie, 2017. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/f-shaped-pattern-reading-web-content/>.

Peterson, Britt. 2015. „There Were Listicles That Went Viral Long Before There Was an Internet”, *Smithsonian Magazine*, 2015. <http://www.smithsonianmag.com/innovation/listicles-went-viral-long-before-internet-180955742/#OpfM1d6e8OxYb1Uw.99>.

Phelps J, Lewis R, Mobilio L, et al. 2004. „Viral marketing or electronic word-of-mouth advertising: examining consumer responses and motivations to pass along email”. *Journal of Advertising Research* 44(4): 333–348.

Popa, Marcel D. and collaborators, 1993. *Dicționar enciclopedic*, Enciclopedică Publishing house.

- Price, John A. 1975. „Sharing: The Integration of Intimate Economies.” *Anthropologica* (1975): 3–27, 17, no. 1. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25604933>.
- Pritchard, David & Hughes, KD. 2006. „Patterns of Deviance in Crime News”. *Journal of Communication*. 47. 49 - 67. 10.1111/j.1460-2466.1997.tb02716.x.
- Provia, Letiția. 2016. „Românul din spatele Ending the Fed, site-ul străin de știri false de impact care au contribuit la victoria lui Trump: Nu am niciun fel de relație cu Rusia sau cu WikiLeaks”. Mediafax. 22 Nov 2016. <https://www.mediafax.ro/cultura-media/romanul-din-spatele-ending-the-fed-site-ul-strain-de-stiri-false-de-impact-care-au-contribuit-la-victoria-lui-trump-nu-am-niciun-fel-de-relatie-cu-rusia-sau-cu-wikileaks-15991891>.
- Putnam, Robert. 2000. „Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community”, New York, Simon & Schuster.
- Rademacher LI, Krach S, Kohls G, Irmak A, Gründer G, Spreckelmeyer KN. 2010. „Dissociation of neural networks for anticipation and consumption of monetary and social rewards”. *Neuroimage*. 2010 Feb 15;49(4):3276-85.
- Ranganath, C. and G. Rainer. 2003. „Neural mechanisms for detecting and remembering novel events”. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 4 (3).
- Rao, R.P. and D.H. Ballard. 1999. „Predictive coding in the visual cortex: A functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects” *Nature Neuroscience*, 2 (1): 79-87.
- Restak, Richard. 2004. *The New Brain: How the Modern Age Is Rewiring Your Mind*. Rodale Books. ISBN-10: 1594860548.
- Ruhrmann, G. 2003. „Zusammenfassung und Ausblick” [Conclusion]. în G. Ruhrmann, J. Woelke, M. Maier, and N. Diehlmann (Eds.), *Der Wert von Nachrichten im deutschen Fernsehen: Ein Modell zur Validierung von Nachrichtenfaktoren* (pp. 229-238). Opladen: Leske Budrich.
- Schmidt, Eric, Cohen, Jared. 2013. „The New Digital Age. Reshaping the Future of People, Nations and Business”. Random House, Inc., New York. eBook ISBN: 9780307961105. Hardcover ISBN: 9780307957139.
- Schull, N. D. 2005. „Digital Gambling: The Coincidence of Desire and Design”. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 597(1), 65–81.
- Schüll, Natasha Dow. 2012. *Addiction by Design: Machine Gambling in Las Vegas*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Schultz, Wolfram and Dickinson, Anthony. 2000. „Neuronal Coding of Prediction Errors.” *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 23:1, 473-500.
- Science Post Team. 2018. „Study: 70% of Facebook users only read the headline of science stories before commenting”. *The Science Post*. <http://thesciencepost.com/study-70-of-facebook-commenters-only-read-the-headline>.
- Seche, Luiza, Seche, Mircea. 1982. *Dicționarul de sinonime al limbii române*. Academiei Publishing house.
- Sernovitz, A., Godin, S. and Kawasaki, G. 2016. „Word of mouth marketing: How smart companies get people talking”. Kaplan Pub.
- Shiffrin, R. M. and Schneider, W. 1979. „Toward a unitary model for selective attention, memory, and visual search”. in L. S. Cermak and F. I. M. Craik (Eds.), *Levels of processing in human memory* (pp. 413-439). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Shoemaker, P. J., Chang, T. and Brendlinger, N. 1987. „Deviance as a predictor of newsworthiness: Coverage of international events in U.S. media”. *Communication Yearbook*, 10, 348–365.
- Shoemaker, P. J., Danielian, L. H., & Brendlinger, N. 1991. „Deviant acts, risky business and U.S. interests: The newsworthiness of world events”. *Journalism Quarterly*, 68, 781–795.
- Shoemaker, Pamela and Akiba Cohen. 2012. „News around the World: Content, Practitioners, and the Public”. London: Routledge.
- Sifuentes L., Koenig D, Phillips R et al. 2014. „Use of hygiene protocols to control the spread of viruses in a hotel”. *Food and environmental virology*, 6(3), 175-81.
- Silverman, Craig. 2016. „This analysis shows how fake election news stories outperformed real news on Facebook”, Buzz Feed, <https://www.buzzfeed.com/craigsilverman/viral-fake-election-news-outperformed-real-news-on-facebook./05/26/news-use-across-social-media-platforms-2016>.
- Similarweb, Top sites ranking for News And Media in Romania, <https://www.similarweb.com/top-websites/romania>, accessed on October 1st 2018.
- Skinner, B. F. 1953. *Science and human behavior*. SimonandSchuster.com.

- Skinner, B. F. 1983. *A Matter of Consequences*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.
- Smith D., Cordell R. and Dillon E.M.. 2013. „Infectious Texts: Modeling Text Reuse in Nineteenth-Century Newspapers”, Proceedings of the Workshop on Big Humanities, IEEE Computer Society Press.
- Spool, J. M., Scanlon, T., Schroeder, W., Snyder, C., & DeAngelo, T. 1997. *Web Site Usability: A Designer's Guide*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Spreckelmeyer, Katja N. Sören Krach Gregor Kohls Lena Rademacher Arda Irmak Kerstin Konrad Tilo Kircher Gerhard Gründer. 2009. „Anticipation of monetary and social reward differently activates mesolimbic brain structures in men and women”. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, Volume 4, Issue 2, June 2009, Pages 158–165.
- Staiano, Jacopo and Marco, Guerini. 2015. „Deep Feelings: A Massive Cross-Lingual Study on the Relation between Emotions and Virality”. 299-305. International World Wide Web Conference Committee (IW3C2). DOI: 10.1145/2740908.2743058.
- Tamir, Diana I. and Jason P. Mitchell. 2012. „Disclosing information about the self is intrinsically rewarding”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 109 no. 21.
- The Economics Daily. Biroul de Statistică a Muncii, Departamentul Muncii al SUA, „Newspaper publishers lose over half their employment from January 2001 to September 2016” April 3rd 2017. https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2017/newspaper-publishers-lose-over-half-their-employment-from-january-2001-to-september-2016.htm?mc_cid=e73bf40429&mc_eid=00dcad6706.
- Thiel, Shayla. 1998. *The Online Newspaper: A Postmodern Medium*. Current Thinking on the Economics of Electronic Publishing, Volume 4, Issue 1. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/3336451.0004.110>
- Thomas GM Jr. 2004. „Building the buzz in the hive mind”. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour* 4(1):64–72.
- Thompson, Andrew. 2015. "Slot machines perfected addictive gaming. Now, tech wants their tricks". *The Verge*, May 6th.
- Timberg, Scott. 2015. *Prăbuandrea culturii. Distrugerea clasei creatoare*. Yale University Press. ISBN-10: 9780300195880
- Tolontan, Cătălin. 2018. „Superlativele presei românești: ziarul armatei americane, cel al bisericii ortodoxe și revista kaufland!” [tolo.ro. https://www.tolo.ro/2018/09/25/superlativele-presei-romanesti-ziarul-armatei-americane-cel-al-bisericii-ortodoxe-si-revista-kaufland/](https://www.tolo.ro/2018/09/25/superlativele-presei-romanesti-ziarul-armatei-americane-cel-al-bisericii-ortodoxe-si-revista-kaufland/).
- Trilling, D., Tolochko, P and Burscher, B. 2017. „From Newsworthiness to Shareworthiness: How to Predict News Sharing Based on Article Characteristics.” *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, Volume: 94 issue: 1, page(s): 38-60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699016654682>.
- Tucker, C. 2011. „Virality, Network Effects and Advertising”. Working Papers 11-06, NET Institute. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/net/wpaper/1106.html>.
- Twenge, J. M. 2017. „iGen: Why Today’s Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebellious, More Tolerant, Less Happy—and Completely Unprepared for Adulthood—and What That Means for the Rest of Us”. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster.
- van Holst, R. J., Veltman, D. J., Büchel, C., van den Brink, W., and Goudriaan, A. E. 2012. „Distorted expectancy coding in problem gambling: is the addictive in the anticipation?” *Biological Psychiatry* 71, 741–748.
- van Koningsbruggen Guido M., Hartmann Tilo, Eden Allison, and Veling, Harm. 2017. „Spontaneous Hedonic Reactions to Social Media Cues.” *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* Vol. 20, No. 5, <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2016.0530>
- Veissière SPL and Stendel M. 2018. „Hypernatural Monitoring: A Social Rehearsal Account of Smartphone Addiction”. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 9:141. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00141
- Voinea, Mihai and Delcea, Cristian. 2015. VIDEO INTERVIU. Cătălin Tolontan: „Pericolul e să dizolvăm regulile presei, iar ceea ce rămâne să numim tot presă”. *Adevărul*. September 23rd 2015. [adev.ro/pbkn06](http://adevarul.ro/pbkn06).
- Vosoughi, Soroush and collaborators. 2018. „The spread of true and false news online”. *Science*. DOI: 10.1126/science.aap9559 (6380), 1146-1151.359.
- Watson, J. B. 1930. *Behaviorism* (Revised edition). University of Chicago Press.
- Weber, P. 2014. „Discussions in the comments section: Factors influencing participation and interactivity in online newspapers’ reader comments.” *New Media & Society*, 16, 941-957. doi:10.1177/1461444813495165.
- Wegner, D. M., & Giuliano, T. 1980. „Arousal-induced attention to self.” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 38(5), 719-726. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.38.5.719>

- Weinreich, Harald et al. 2008. „Not Quite the Average: An Empirical Study of Web Use”, *ACM Transactions on the Web*, vol. 2, no. 1 (February 2008), article #5.
- Welker CB. 2002. „The paradigm of viral communication”. *Information Services and Use* 22(1):3–8.
- Wells, L. E. 1978. „Theories of deviance and the self-concept”. *Social Psychology* 41,189-204.
- West, G. L., Drisdelle, B. L., Konishi, K., Jackson, J., Jolicoeur, P., and Bohbot, V. D.. 2015. „Habitual action video game playing is associated with caudate nucleus-dependent navigational strategies”. *Proceedings Biological Sciences* 282 (1808):20142952.
- Wilson RF. 2000. „The six simple principles of viral marketing”. *Web Marketing Today*, 1 February, Available at: <http://www.wilsonweb.com/wmt5/viral-principles.htm> accessed on February 3rd 2013.
- Wojnicki, Andrea C. and Dave Godes. 2008. “Word-of-Mouth as Self-Enhancement,” working paper, University of Toronto.
- Yale, Laura J. 1987. "An Empirical Study of Word of Mouth Behaviors: Antecedents, Processes and Outcomes," in Working paper: Graduate School of Management University of California-Irvine, citată în De Bruyn A and Lilien GL (2004) A Multi-Stage Model of Word of Mouth through Electronic Referrals. University Park, PA: eBusiness Research Cente.
- Zaffarano, Francesco. 2018. „Towards a rethinking of journalism on social media” *Niemanlab.org*, Predictions for Journalism 2019. <https://www.niemanlab.org/2018/12/towards-a-rethinking-of-journalism-on-social-media/>.
- Ziegele, M., Breiner, T. and Quiring, O. 2014. „What creates interactivity in online news discussions? An exploratory analysis of discussion factors in user comments on news items.” *Journal of Communication*, 64, 1111-1138. doi:10.1111/jcom.12123.
- Zuckerman, Marvin. 2007. *Sensation Seeking and Risky Behavior*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.