

“BABEȘ-BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY
CLUJ-NAPOCA
FACULTY OF EUROPEAN STUDIES
EUROPEAN PARADIGM DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Doctoral Thesis Summary:
**The Role of Civil Society in the Political
Evolution of Liberia and Sierra Leone –
Insights from Discourse Theory**

PhD Coordinator:
Professor Sergiu Mișcoiu, PhD

PhD Candidate:
Lavinia-Ioana Opreș

Cluj-Napoca
2019

Contents of Thesis

Introduction	4
Reasons for choosing the topic.....	4
Research questions.....	6
Theoretical framework.....	7
Methodological aspects and case study selection.....	11
Structure of the thesis.....	15
Chapter I: Theoretical framework – Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe’s discourse theory	18
1.1 Introduction.....	18
1.2 Three generations of discourse theory.....	19
1.3 Laclau and Mouffe – brief overview.....	24
1.4 The undecidable terrain of empty signifiers.....	27
1.5 Hegemony, equivalence and difference.....	31
1.6 Power, exclusion, antagonism.....	36
1.7 The contentious subject.....	39
1.8 Instead of conclusions.....	42
Chapter II: Applications of the theoretical framework	44
2.1 Introduction.....	44
2.2 Discourse theory, democracy and democratization.....	45
2.3 Discourse theory and civil society.....	56
2.4 Discursive articulations of development.....	71
2.5 A discourse theory framework for civil society – Chantal Mouffe’s agonism and Ernesto Laclau’s cautionary note.....	82
Chapter III: Historical overview of Liberia and Sierra Leone	92
3.1 Introduction.....	92
3.2 The early years.....	94
3.3 British colonialism and Americo-Liberian colonisation – traces of constitutive exclusion.....	100
3.3.1 Sierra Leone.....	100
3.3.2 Liberia.....	105
3.4 Tubman, Tolbert, Doe and Stevens – perpetuation of exclusion and social antagonism.....	112
3.5 Liberia and Sierra Leone’s civil wars – a tenuous transformation for civil society activism.....	120
Chapter IV: Sierra Leone and Liberia’s civil society in recent historical stages– insights from discourse theory	130
4.1 Introduction.....	130
4.2 Early observations on civil society from an international perspective: development, democratization and structural adjustment.....	132

4.2.1 Case Study – the Berg Report	133
4.2.2 Further developments of the structural adjustment discourse in the late 1980s-early 1990s and their impact on African civil society	137
4.2.3 Criticism and deconstruction of the structural adjustment discourse	147
4.3 Liberia and Sierra Leone's civil wars: discursive interpretations, relevant actors and the space for civil society activism	152
4.3.1 Theoretical approaches regarding African civil wars	153
4.3.2 Discursive undertones of civil war in Liberia and Sierra Leone	159
4.3.3 Case-study – discursive articulations of youth in the Sierra Leonean Civil War	165
4.3.4 Civil society activism in times of war	169
Chapter V: Civil society in post-conflict Liberia and Sierra Leone – the dominant discursive articulation and attempts at deconstruction	179
5.1 Key concepts of post-conflict discourse: the link between development, liberal democracy and civil society	180
5.2 The shaping of civil society in the development-democracy field	189
5.2.1 The donor community	190
5.2.2 The governments of Liberia and Sierra Leone	203
5.2.3 Local CSOs	212
5.3 Attempts at deconstructing the dominant discursive articulation of Liberian and Sierra Leonean civil society	227
Chapter VI: A discursive model for approaching Liberian and Sierra Leonean civil society	246
Conclusion	257
Bibliography	265

Key Words: *discourse theory; civil society; democracy; development; Liberia, Sierra Leone*

The aim of our doctoral thesis is to provide a rigorous analysis of the way in which civil society evolved during the transition of the political regimes from Liberia and Sierra Leone in the 1980s-2010s time bracket, with special focus being afforded to the two countries' post-conflict periods. In order to achieve this, we chiefly employ the post-structuralist, third generation discourse analysis framework designed by Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe, with a view towards identifying and outlining the discursive trends describing the evolution of civil society – in connection with other key concepts such as development and democracy – in the targeted period.

The ultimate goal of our research is to combine the theoretical and empirical data regarding the two countries in order to construct a discursive model that should enable an innovative approach to local civil society and a dynamic engagement with the concept itself by all actors identified in this thesis as being involved. The model is envisioned to use the data regarding the discursive trends which best describe civil society in Liberia and Sierra Leone, subsequently putting their potential evolution through the filter of Chantal Mouffe's concept of agonism coupled with Ernesto Laclau's cautious observations that convey the dangers of overextending the agonistic approach. It is our belief that such a model, once developed, could have broader applications that would impact not only our two case-study countries of Liberia and Sierra Leone, but also other civil society sectors operating in a political transition environment.

Arguably, the novelty of our approach is embodied precisely in the brand of discursive analysis which enables us to translate events and key notions into the language of discourse theory in order to pinpoint major articulation trends regarding the constantly lively realm of civil society in the two selected countries. As is extensively explained throughout our thesis, the employment of this method has led us to hypothesise the existence of two main trends – the donor/Western/democratic/liberal/ civilized/professional etc. strand of civil society articulation *versus* a strand focused on the negation of the first trend coupled with an insistence on the diversity of civil society outside of the confines of the 'Western' view – with an antagonistic dynamic that tends to blur their nuances (or, in discursive terms, their 'logic of difference'). As a result, the innovative content of our thesis was constructed as an attempt to provide a model that would overcome such rigid dynamics.

Ultimately, the most important reason why we have remained focused on this realm of study was the gradual unveiling of its somewhat unexplored potential for the relevant insights concerning civil society which can be obtained by studying this concept's articulation in two African societies that are weighed down by a history of antagonistic exclusions, while also being entrenched in a period of democratic transition.

More concretely, the four sets of research questions which have guided the endeavour materialised in our thesis are listed below in a schematic manner so that we may ensure clarity and outline the steps of our thought process at the same time:

- I. How can the evolution of civil society in Liberia and Sierra Leone be understood discursively?
- II. Can a dominant discursive articulation of civil society in Liberia and Sierra Leone be identified? – Auxiliary questions: 1) What is/was the historical/ discursive context in which such an articulation has emerged? 2) What are the main coordinates of this articulation? 3) How stable is/was this temporary closure of the discursive system?
- III. Has the dominant discursive articulation been dislocated? – Auxiliary questions: 1) What is the historical/ discursive context in which such an articulation has emerged? 2) What are the main coordinates of the attempts at deconstruction which have already been made? 3) Are these attempts sufficiently strong to generate a dislocation and subsequent re-articulation of a partial fixation of the discursive field?
- IV. How can a framework for the understanding of Liberian and Sierra Leonean civil society through discourse theory be developed and implemented? – Auxiliary questions: 1) What are the discursive trends – regarding civil society – which render such a framework necessary? 2) Which points from Laclau and Mouffe’s discourse theory can be employed in developing this framework? 3) What are the main coordinates of the discursive closure offered by such analytical underpinnings? 4) Can such a framework be employed for African civil society as a general category? 5) Can it be adapted to other types of societies in a process of so-called transition?

In order to provide a reliable, well-informed answer to these dilemmas, discourse analysis has become the most important methodological procedure in our thesis, as it is the means through which all relevant hypotheses concerning Liberian and Sierra Leonean civil society are tested. A supplemental observation needs to be made: although the term ‘discourse’ may seem misleading by suggesting that our prerogative is to interpret documents and the language of official statements, we adopt the view of Laclau and Mouffe that practices themselves are also a discursive manifestation, and therefore need to be dissected as well. As a consequence, what donors, governments and CSOs *do* and how they do it is also a highly relevant aspect which is repeatedly engaged throughout the thesis. In order for such an undertaking to be logical several elements needed to be covered:

1. Literature review
 - Basic elements of the three generations of discourse theory
 - Laclau and Mouffe’s discourse theory and connected viewpoints

- Key concepts – civil society, democratic transition and development – discursive deconstruction
 - Aspects of Laclau and Mouffe’s theoretical considerations that can be used to construct a model for approaching civil society
 - Historical overview – Liberia and Sierra Leone (traces of exclusion)
 - Quantitative approach – reports and statistics concerning local civil society (traces of discursive articulation)
 - Qualitative approach – consulting studies concerning local civil society as secondary sources, when available
2. Relevant actors producing discursive articulations
- Civil Society Organisations – CSOs – multitude of perspectives (NGOs, advocacy groups, leisure associations, professional organisations, students’ groups, women’s groups, religious organisations, ethnic organisations, community-based organisations, secret societies etc.)
 - Local governments – how do they engage civil society?
 - Donor community:
 - International Financial Institutions (World Bank, International Monetary Fund etc.)
 - International/Regional Governmental Organisations (United Nations, European Union, African Union etc.)
 - Bilateral governmental actors (national governments, agencies – USAID, DfID etc.)
 - Non-governmental actors – INGOs
3. Sources for discourse analysis – documents, language, practice
- Donors:
 - Documents – mission statements, official documents taking position on certain issues, broad or targeted/country strategies, working papers, country papers, official correspondence, reports etc.
 - Practice – how do donors engage civil society in terms of financing, project-by-project funding, programmes, rigid/flexible agendas, conditionality, policy-making, strategies and profile?
 - Government:
 - Documents – laws, rules, regulations, registration procedures, programmes, projects, strategies
 - Practice – does the government adopt the language of donors?; is this a superficial or substantial effort?; how does government perceive the role of civil society?; how

permissive/restrictive is the legal framework for civil society?; how does government engage civil society? (arena of contestation, partner, subordinate?)

➤ Civil society:

- INGOs – public documentation similar to that of donors; visible practices
- Local CSOs – generally scarce documentation and less visible practices, biased position favouring those organisations which have established an on-line presence, limited social capital of author – research strategies:
 - Citing secondary sources
 - On-line search of CSOs (including unconventional sources such as news outlets, Facebook pages, websites and other means of reporting on their activity that can be accessed from a distance)
 - It might be difficult for these types of CSOs to enter the same discursive field as donors and thus their acquiescence to and/or challenges of the discursive frontier will take time to gain the same visibility as that enjoyed by donors, at least at a ‘macro’ level
 - Explaining the discursive impact of this lack of access - a certain distance from local actors and daily happenings offers us the possibility to survey our two case studies from a more rarely employed research perspective focused on general trends rather than the observation of particulars

4. Case study selection – characteristics of Liberia and Sierra Leone

➤ Rich discursive field for civil society

➤ Rich comparative potential:

- Traces of historical exclusion (Americo-Liberians; British colonialism)
- Repressive pre-war regimes
- Violent and protracted civil conflicts
- Post-conflict status, important aid recipients
- Ebola epidemic

An additional observation that shows the broadened scope of our thesis is that both countries represent societies entrenched in a lengthy process of (democratic) transition, which indicates that the insights they provide might be especially useful for other countries in similar situations, not just in Africa but also worldwide. As a consequence, it is to be expected that the model of engaging civil society constructed in this thesis may have a broader audience and more international implications, which becomes possible not only due to the discursive potential resulting from the employment of our chosen theoretical framework, but also due to the rich material provided by the two case studies we have selected.

In order to materialize the framework constructed through the research questions and methodological considerations explored in this summary, we provide an account of the somewhat conservative, yet efficient and edifying structure of our thesis. It is our belief that such an account best illustrates the steps taken in our research, as well as the logical connections between its different stages; the chosen outline for our thesis was necessary so as to implement a coherent discursive analysis geared towards supplying the scaffolding for the model presented in the sixth chapter of our thesis.

The first chapter is focused on the discourse theory of Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe, tracing its constellation of concepts and showing how these concepts are linked into a coherent theoretical construction that forms the basis for the analysis undertaken in chapters four and five. For the purposes of our current endeavour, we offer a very brief summary of how the key main points of interest pertaining to the theoretical section interact: while an empty signifier (best illustrated by overarching notions such as order, democracy or development) is devoid of meaning in itself (a signifier without a signified), it is generally the case that a particular, subjective content (such as liberal democracy or neo-liberal development) temporarily assumes the mission of representing something that is universal and objective (the empty signifier), termed by Laclau 'an absent fullness'. But, this absent fullness is actually impossible to reach, and is substituted by certain chains of equivalence which offer a partial fulfilment of an elusive plenitude through the creation of nodal points, wherein the particularity of the links in the chain becomes diluted in an abortive attempt to reduce them to the essence of the partial fixation created by the previously mentioned subjective and particular content.

This process is one of hegemonic articulation that occurs through the assertion of power and the exclusion of certain elements, thus leading to a scenario of social antagonism between the dominant moments and the excluded elements. Once this hegemonic fixation occurs, the meaning of floating signifiers aligns with the dominant articulation, thus completing the temporary suture of the discursive field. On the other hand, the undecidability of the discursive field can never be eliminated through such a process; the frontier of exclusion bears the possibility of being penetrated by elements that the dominant discourse will not be able to transform into moments (notions that are fully embedded in an articulation), thus leading to a situation where the identity of subjects becomes overdetermined. As a result of the illusion of final identification being shattered, a split subject emerges, which is the point where deconstruction and dislocation enter the stage and trigger a new hegemonic struggle, wherein particularities once again compete to express the inexpressible universal identity of the empty signs, while floating signifiers can be re-appropriated by emerging nodal points.

While the first chapter may seem a bit abstract at first, the second chapter comes to remedy this aspect by showing how Laclau and Mouffe's discourse theory will be used in order to approach the key notions of democracy, civil society and development. Additionally, the theoretical underpinnings of the aforementioned notions (and the evolution of said underpinnings) are also tackled, thus enriching our initial discourse theory layer. Through this process we become familiarised with some key dynamics, such as the evolution and interaction of multiple rival articulations of democracy, the interplay between governance and democracy, the articulation of civil society as a floating signifier and the evolution of the concept of development to the broad framework which serves as its current manifestation. The last section of the second chapter is dedicated to the design of the theoretical part of our anticipated model, focusing on Chantal Mouffe's concept of agonism – the idea that seemingly antagonistic articulations can move beyond the status of enemies to that of rivals, who are in turn capable of operating within the same discursive field on the basis of a minimal set of democratic rules – and on Ernesto Laclau's observations regarding empty signifiers, chains of equivalence and the logics of equivalence and difference

The third chapter of our thesis moves on to the empirical portion of constructing a solid foundation for the forthcoming discourse analysis (undertaken in the next two chapters) through providing historical details pertaining to the evolution of Liberia and Sierra Leone meant to complement the theoretical side developed in the first two chapters. Our account focuses on the two countries' historical traces of social exclusion, their evolution in the nineteenth and twentieth century, their authoritarian past and, last but not least, concludes with an account of the two civil wars which plagued both countries in the early 1990s – early 2000s period. We have chosen not to offer a similar account of the post-conflict period, given that the historical aspects relevant to our research are intrinsically linked with their corresponding discursive observations and are addressed closely in the fifth chapter of our thesis.

The fourth chapter represents the debut of our discourse analysis, with the first period viewed through this lens being that of the 1980s marked by SAPs. This undertaking offers valuable clues as to how one of the most important categories of donors, namely that of IFIs like the World Bank and the IMF constructed their development discourse wherein governance was perceived as a means to ensure the implementation of sound (liberal) economic policies. It also sheds light on how African civil society was mostly seen as a cog in the mechanism of structural adjustment whose input was sought after, but restricted to the discursive confines envisioned by the aforementioned donors. The second historical bracket tackled in this chapter is that of the two civil wars, with the focus being, as expected, on their challenging discursive dimensions. We become familiarized with their various interpretations (ranging from crisis of youth to cultural

atavisms), among which the most traction was gained by the ‘civil war as development in reverse’ articulation. On top of this, we analyse some examples of civil society activism (such as the international design of the Kimberley process or the role of women in Liberia’s peace activism) which provide some interesting discursive angles for consideration.

After all of the above is accomplished, we move on to the fifth chapter, which tackles the post-conflict articulations of civil society in Liberia and Sierra Leone and identifies the two main trends concerning civil society which we have traced and identified as creating a state of discursive stagnation in what regards Liberian and Sierra Leonean civil society. We start by tracing the discursive link between the key concepts of development, democracy and civil society, which enables us to move onto discovering how civil society was articulated by our three essential categories of actors (donors, governments and CSOs) within the hegemonic development-democracy discursive field. The last section of this chapter is dedicated to dissecting the attempts made at deconstructing the dominant discursive articulation of Liberian and Sierra Leonean civil society, with the conclusion being that these attempts are trapped in the ‘deconstruction through negation’ faze, thus creating a static antagonism between the two rival modes of articulation, illustrated briefly in the table below:

The dominant discursive articulation	Attempts at deconstruction
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Western’ origin. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criticism of ‘Western’ models.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development – good governance couple as a nodal point in this articulation; • Seemingly technocratic good governance, but with implied liberal-democratic characteristics; • Civil conflicts as a deviation from the path of development. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criticism of the exclusive liberal-democratic key of understanding local realities; • Criticism of neo-liberal standards for development and good governance. • Criticism of neo-liberal development as the preferred path for post-conflict reconstruction.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civil society as a floating signifier with its meaning coloured by the aforementioned nodal point. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criticism of civil society incorporation into the democracy – good-governance couple as restrictive and ignorant of several types of local civil society.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civil society as democratic, civilized; • Top-down approach – civil society as partner, implementer, beneficiary, trainee, but not as contesteer, policy-maker and agenda-setter; • Participation is strongly encouraged, but imagined almost exclusively in the context of development-democracy • Professionalization of CSOs and competition for funds, meaning that many CSOs will function based on 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criticism of ‘transplanted’ profiles of civil society such as advocacy NGOs or pro-democracy groups for having weak ties to the local culture and a limited capacity to respond adequately to local needs; • Emphasis on the ‘foreignness’ of ‘transplanted’ models even if some local CSOs function in accord with them; • Argument that local civil society is much more diverse than what donors perceive; • Criticism of donor dependency and its

<p>unpredictable grants;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CSOs that can ‘speak’ the language of donors and can carry out the project management cycle; • CSOs accountable to donors • Transparency and accountability of CSOs; • States and government ministries as partners (they attempt to appear discursively receptive to donor articulations) • => a profile for CSOs attempting to conform to these patterns. 	<p>consequences, (including that of CSO accountability skewed towards donors instead of beneficiaries)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criticism of donor ‘knowledge bias’ and top-down approach • Criticism of project-by-project financing • States criticised due to their actual legally restrictive, oppressive approach to civil society in general and NGOs in particular.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasingly inclusive approach to civil society organisations, provided they can adapt to the neo-liberal model => evolving articulation of civil society; • Capacity building to help CSOs adapt to the preferred profile (still dominated by the development-democracy couple). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calls for a more inclusive approach to civil society, which includes organisations that are not necessarily organized similar to ‘Western’ ones; • Frequent engagement with a donor articulation perceived as static instead of evolving.

The last chapter represents the innovative part of our thesis, given that it proposes a model for understanding civil society based on a combination of agonism and caution, which can move beyond the problematic dichotomy identified and explained in chapter five. Chapter six therefore acknowledges the stagnation of the discursive field and provides an antidote represented not only through explanations, but through visual representations meant to lead us to a more practical understanding of the proposed model.

To summarise, through the application of our model and per the rules of agonism, the various articulations of civil society that currently find themselves on opposite and seemingly static branches of the discursive divide no longer need to be inimical or even mutually exclusive, but can come together within the same side of the discursive frontier as adversaries. These emerging adversaries would have a recognised legitimate right to operate within the discursive field – provided that they follow a minimal set of rules – and to advance potentially arresting constructs which may redefine the flow of difference and equivalence.

However, as stated at the end of chapter two, this type of dynamic’s very existence presupposes the presence of some kind of antagonism, given Mouffe’s own position on the indissolubility of antagonism and Laclau’s necessary observation that extending a chain of equivalence indefinitely dilutes a concept (in our case civil society) to the point where it becomes irrelevant. Laclau basically explains that an overextended chain of equivalence comes to progressively obscure the differential character of its links, while also constantly risking a collapse into full identity which constitutes the ideal space for the re-emergence of empty

signifiers and the resumption of the discursive struggle cycle. For added clarity, we have included below the schematic representation of the thought process involved in the development of our model.



Our conclusion focuses chiefly on the way in which the initial research questions have been answered throughout our thesis and on the impact that our model may have in further research and practical engagement efforts, not only regarding civil society in Liberia and Sierra Leone, but also in what concerns African civil society, civil society operating in conditions of transition, lessons to be learned by major donors, and even in what concerns the use of discourse theory premises to understand civil society.

Taking everything into consideration, the aspect which is worth remembering is that, as a result of our commitment to the type of ambitious research design proposed in our thesis, we have been able to construct a model for understanding civil society with a very solid grounding in the empirical – which has offered us the possibility to trace the trends we respond to in our model – but a very rich potential for influencing current ways of understanding civil society in countries that share commonalities with Liberia and Sierra Leone.

In what follows, we explore the aforementioned directions for further research which help to strengthen the novelty and current relevance of our model, while also demonstrating that it can be translated and applied to different circumstances at different levels. It is our belief that, given the current worldwide proliferation of political and social upheaval, civil society is an underexplored field with an unexploited potential to offer solutions and to channel legitimate debate between discursive positions which might otherwise end up manifesting violently. On top of this, ‘Africa’ has become a ‘hot topic’ on the European and global agenda, given the very real issue of a dearth in the expertise necessary to adequately tackle the consistent waves of migration coming from the continent. An understanding of Liberia and Sierra Leone specifically can offer an edge in what concerns this realm, given that precarious economic conditions, civil conflicts, or the questionable management of their aftermaths (including their effects on the articulation of civil society) are understood to represent one of the leading causes for migrants’ decisions to leave their countries.

One potentially fruitful avenue for further research would be to look at Liberia and Sierra Leone as countries that find themselves in a process of transition after a paradigm-altering event or series of events. By generalising in this manner, we gain the ability to penetrate the more deeply entrenched layers of discourse which reveal that the logic of equivalence can be applied so as to highlight the similarities between a broad range of social and political actors. It is usually already acknowledged that there are certain similarities between African countries, and many of them share a history plagued by social exclusion and violent civil conflicts. But, by going one step further, we discover that, although it may not have been reached in the same manner, the status of ‘country in transition’ is rather widespread across the whole world, with civil society potentially subject to the same challenges and opportunities encountered in Liberia and Sierra

Leone. Consequently, it would be interesting to research ways in which our model could be further adapted so as to provide solutions which would generate a productive engagement of civil society that goes beyond basic antagonisms such as foreign/national, formalised/informal, Western/local etc.

Another thought-provoking path for taking our research further has emerged in the last few years, taking the shape of the aforementioned migration phenomenon. Many of those resorting to this option are believed to be coming from African countries (plagued with problems ranging from economic difficulties to pervasive societal violence) and are seen as trying to reach Western European countries. This has prompted the European Union to put significant effort into trying to regulate the phenomenon, which has in turn led to the realisation that addressing the causes of migration needs to be prioritised. In this context, developing our model further can prove to be extremely helpful in guiding the European Union's interventions within migrants' countries, specifically in what concerns the engagement of and dialogue with civil society.

First and foremost, it is important for the European Union to acknowledge that civil society represents a valuable social resource which can be mobilised into tackling pressing national issues, building unconventional solutions and fostering the formation of social capital to counteract antagonistic tensions. The advantage provided by our model is that it would force the Union to reconsider its current pattern of engagement and to approach civil society from different angles, so that it may cooperate with its CSO partners in rearticulating the discursive scaffolding used when interpreting and altering social and political realities or their connections. In other words, through understanding civil society in the way intended by our model, the European Union can more easily attempt to reach out to this sector without pre-conceived expectations pertaining to the organisations it can work with, thus accessing new ways of thinking with regard to partner political entities which have shaped, and been shaped in turn by, their civil society environment.

Another potential field of research turns inward by proposing a more particularised approach to civil society actors from Liberia and Sierra Leone, which at the beginning would probably involve a series of qualitative studies undertaken with the cooperation of various CSOs. The organisations would need to be willing to be introduced to this model and to apply it in what concerns not only their perception of themselves as civil society actors, but also the way in which they relate to different kinds of partners. Throughout a period of observation focused on these CSOs, several interviews and focus groups could be carried out in order to provide input with regard to the impact of the model, the lessons learned through its implementation or the components and connections of the model which need to be reconsidered.

Last but most certainly not least, the study and application of discourse theory can also gain certain benefits from our type of research, given that our endeavour has proved that, although seemingly abstract and concerned with ‘politics and the political’, discourse theory can become a valuable tool in studying and understanding various components of our social, political, economic, cultural etc. landscape. In the future, students of discourse theory could harness the significant value of practical examples when making theoretical points, which would facilitate a more constructivist type of relation between discourse theory and the realities that it aims to analyse and deconstruct.

To sum everything up, the research effort involved in the conception and execution of our thesis has yielded the added value of a potentially transformative model tackling one of the most important sectors of our ‘global’ society. It is our belief that deepening our study into the multiple fresh facets of our design can generate thought provoking results and branch out into new research areas, which is why we end this summary by expressing our continued interest in and potential future commitment to this research area.

Bibliography of the thesis

- Abrahamsen, Rita (2000), *Disciplining Democracy: Development Discourse and Good Governance in Africa*, London, New York: Zed Books Ltd.
- Acemoglu, Daron, Reed, Tristan, Robinson, James A. (2013), *Chiefs: Elite Control of Civil Society and Economic Development in Sierra Leone*, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper, available online at [<https://www.nber.org/papers/w18691>], last accessed on 14 November 2019.
- ActionAid USA/ActionAid Uganda (2004), *Rethinking Participation: Questions for Civil Society about the Limits of Participation in PRSPs*, Washington D.C., available online at [<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Rethinking-Participation-Questions-for-Civil-Society-about-the-Limitations-of-Participation-in-PRSPs.pdf>], accessed on 4 December 2017.
- Adekson, Adedayo Oluwakayode (2004), *The 'Civil Society' Problematique: Deconstructing Civility and Southern Nigeria's Ethnic Radicalization*, London, New York: Routledge.
- Adetula, Victor AO (2011), "Measuring democracy and 'good governance' in Africa: A critique of assumptions and methods", in Kondlo, Kwandiwe, Ejiogu, Chinenyengozi (eds.) *Governance in the 21st Century: Africa in Focus*, Human Sciences Research Council, pp. 10-25.
- Africa Region External Affairs Unit (2007), *The Civil Society Landscape in Sierra Leone: Understanding Context, Motives and Challenges*, World Bank, available online at [[http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSIERRALEONE/Data%20and%20Reference/22088623/SL\(CSO\)Study.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSIERRALEONE/Data%20and%20Reference/22088623/SL(CSO)Study.pdf)], accessed on 11 May 2015.
- Africa-EU Strategic Partnership (2007), *A Joint Africa-EU Strategy*, Lisbon, available online at [https://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms_data/docs/pressdata/en/er/97496.pdf], accessed on 3 April 2019.
- Aiyede, Emmanuel Remi (2017), "Civil Society Efficacy, Citizenship and Empowerment in Africa", *Voluntas*, no. 28, pp. 1326-1345.
- Alao, Abiodun, Mackinlay, John, Olonisakin, Funmi (2000), *Peacekeepers, Politicians, and Warlords: The Liberian Peace Process*, United Nations University Press.
- Alexander, Jeffrey C. (2006), "Tocqueville's Two Forms of Association: Interpreting Tocqueville and Debates over Civil Society Today", *The Tocqueville Review/La Revue Tocqueville*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 175-190.
- Alie, Joe A.D (2009), "Conflicts and Interventions in Liberia", in Kenneth Omeje (ed.), *War to peace transition: conflict intervention and peacebuilding in Liberia*, Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America.
- Allen, Chris (1997), "Who needs civil society?", *Review of African Political Economy*, no. 73, vol. 24, pp. 329-337.

- Anjum, Tanvir (2010), "Historical Trajectory of the Development of the Concept of Civil Society in Europe: From Aristotle to Gramsci", *Journal of Political Studies*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 147-160.
- Arnold, Guy (2008), *Historical Dictionary of Civil Wars in Africa*, Lanham, Toronto, Plymouth: The Scarecrow Press, Inc.
- Atibil, Christiana (2012), "Democratic Governance and Actors' Conceptualization of 'Civil Society' in Africa: State-Civil Society Relations in Ghana from 1982-2000", *International Society for Third-Sector Research*, vol. 23, pp. 43-62.
- Atuobi, Samuel (2010), "State-Civil Society Interface in Liberia's Post-Conflict Peacebuilding", KAIPTC Occasional Paper No. 30.
- Bastow, Steven, Martin, James (2005), "Third Way Politics Today", in David Howarth, Jacob Torfing (eds.), *Discourse Theory in European Politics. Identity, Policy and Governance*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 211-230.
- Berg, Elliot (coord.) et. al. (1981), *Accelerated Development in Sub-Saharan Africa: An Agenda for Action*, Washington, D.C.: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank.
- Bernstein, Henry (2007) "Structural Adjustment and African Agriculture: A Retrospect", in David Moore (ed.) *The World Bank: Development, Poverty, Hegemony*, Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
- Bratton, Michael (1989), "Beyond the State: Civil Society and Associational Life in Africa", *World Politics*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 407-430.
- Brinkerhoff, Derick W. (2005). "Rebuilding Governance in Failed States and Post-Conflict Societies: Core Concepts and Cross-Cutting Themes", *Public Administration and Development*, no. 25, pp. 3-14.
- Burki, Sahid, Perry, William (1998), *Beyond the Washington Consensus: Institutions Matter*, Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Casaburi, Gabriel et. al. (2000), "Multilateral Development Banks, Governments and Civil Society: Chiaroscuros in a Triangular Relationship", *Global Governance*, vol. 6, no. 4, 2000, pp. 493-517.
- Chaplowe, Scott G., Engo-Tjéga, Ruth Bamela (2007), "Civil Society Organizations and Evaluation: Lessons from Africa", *Evaluation*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 257-274.
- Cheru, Fantu (2012), "Democracy and People Power in Africa: still searching for the 'political kingdom'", *Third World Quarterly*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 265-291.
- CIVICUS (2006), CIVICUS Civil Society Index Report for the Republic of Sierra Leone: A Critical Time for Civil Society in Sierra Leone.
- CIVICUS (2010), Beyond Numbers: An Assessment of the Liberian Civil Society, a Report on the Civil Society Index 2010.

CIVICUS (2014), CIVICUS Civil Society Index – Rapid Assessment: West Africa Regional Report, available online at [http://civicus.org/images/stories/CSI_RA_West%20Africa%20Regional%20report_Final.pdf], accessed on 7 January 2015.

Clapham, Christopher (1976) *Liberia and Sierra Leone: An Essay in Comparative Politics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Collier, Paul (2004), *Development and Conflict*, pp. 1-4, available online at [<http://www.un.org/esa/documents/Development.and.Conflict2.pdf>], accessed on 3 June 2015.

Collier, Paul et. al. (2003), *Breaking the Conflict Trap: Civil War and Development Policy, A World Bank Policy Research Report*, Washington: The World Bank and Oxford University Press, available online at [<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/13938/567930PUB0brea10Box353739B01PUBLIC1.pdf?sequence=1>], accessed on 9 May 2015.

Collier, Paul, Hoeffler, Anke (2004), “Greed and grievance in civil war”, *Oxford Economic Papers*, no. 56, pp. 563-595.

Cooper, Adam (2009), *Recommendation for strengthening democratic governance in Liberia, Sierra Leone, Mali, and Benin: Options for the UNDP West Africa Regional Service Centre*, available online at [<http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/pnorris/DPI403%20Fall09/Adam%20Cooper%20Report%20Recommendations%20to%20UNDP%20for%20strengthening%20democratic%20governance%20in%20West%20Africa.pdf>], accessed on 9 February 2014.

Crain, Jon, Stivachtis, Yannis A. (2009), “The ‘State-Society’ Myth in Civil Society Theory: Reconceptualizations of Sovereignty and Power in the Context of Minimal State Capacity”, *Journal of Political and Military Sociology*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 227-250.

Dahl, Robert (1991), *Modern political Analysis*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Davies, Victor A. B., “Sierra Leone: Ironic Tragedy”, *Journal of African Economies*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 349-369.

De Vos, Patrick (2005), “Right-wing Populism and the Radical Centre: Explaining the Electoral Growth of the Vlaams Blok in Belgium”, in David Howarth, Jacob Torfing (eds.), *Discourse Theory in European Politics. Identity, Policy and Governance*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 190-210.

Deibel, Tobias, Terlinden, Ulf (2005), *Promoting Good Governance in Post-Conflict Societies: Discussion Paper*, Eschborn: Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development, available online at [<http://www2.gtz.de/dokumente/bib/05-0032.pdf>], accessed on 5 May 2015.

Denov, Myriam (2010), *Child Soldiers: Sierra Leone’s Revolutionary United Front*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

DfID (2011), Sierra Leone, *Operational Plan 2011-2015*, available online at [<https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/sierra-leone-2011.pdf>], accessed on 4 April 2019.

Doe Nah, Thomas, *Issues and Challenges facing the Civil Society Sector in Liberia (working paper)*, CENTAL, [<http://www.cental.org/CSO%20Issues%20and%20Challenge%20-%20Liberia.pdf>], 25 February 2015.

Durst, David C. (2005), "Hegel's Conception of the Ethical and Gramsci's Notion of Hegemony", *Comparative Political Theory*, vol. 4, pp. 175-191.

ECOWAS Commission (2010), *ECOWAS Vision 2020: Towards a Democratic and Prosperous Community*, Abuja.

Ehrenberg, John (2011), "The History of Civil Society Ideas", in Michael Edwards (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Civil Society*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 15-25.

Ekiyor Thelma (2008), "The role of civil society in conflict prevention: West African experiences", in Vignard Kerstin (ed.), *The Complex Dynamics of Small Arms in West Africa*, Geneva: United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research, available online at [<http://www.isn.ethz.ch/Digital-Library/Publications/Detail/?lang=en&id=106268>], accessed on 4 May 2015.

Ellis, George W. (1915), "Political and Economic Factors in Liberian Development", *The Journal of Race Development*, vol. 6, no. 1, 1915, pp. 65-90.

Ellis, Stephen (1999), *The Mask of Anarchy: The destruction of Liberia and the Religious Dimension of an African Civil War*, London: Hurst & Company.

Esteva, Gustavo (2010), "Development", in Wolfgang Sachs (ed.), *The Development Dictionary: a guide to knowledge as power*, London, New York: Zed Books, pp. 1-23.

European Commission (2014), *The European Union explained: International cooperation and development – Fighting poverty in a changing world*, Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

European Union (2017), *EU Roadmap for Engaging with civil society in Liberia (2017-2020)*, available online at [<https://europa.eu/capacity4dev/public-governance-civilsociety/documents/liberia-roadmap-eu-engagement-civil-society-0>], accessed on 5 July 2019.

Fairclough, Norman (1989), *Language and Power*, New York: Longman Inc.

Falkner, Roland P. (2010), "The United States and Liberia", *The American Journal of International Law*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 529-545.

Fanthorpe, Richard (2005), "On the Limits of Liberal Peace: Chiefs and Democratic Decentralization in Post-War Sierra Leone", *African Affairs*, vol. 105, no. 418, pp. 27-49.

Fanthorpe, Richard, Maconachie, Roy (2010), "Beyond the 'Crisis of Youth'? Mining, Farming and Civil Society in Post-War Sierra Leone", *African Affairs*, vol. 109, no. 435, pp. 251-272.

Foster, Reiner, Mattner, Mark et. al. (2006), *World Bank Report No. 36445-GLB-Civil Society and Peacebuilding: Potential, Limitations and Critical Factors*, World Bank.

Foucault, Michel, Rabinow, Paul (ed.) (1984), *The Foucault Reader*, New York: Pantheon Books.

Francis, David J. (2011), "Africa at War against Itself: Civil Wars and New Security Threats", in Erin McCandless, Tony Karbo (eds.), *Peace, Conflict and Development in Africa: A Reader*, University for Peace, 2011, pp. 53-66.

Freedom House , *Populists and Autocrats: The Dual Threat to Global Democracy*, Freedom in the World 2017, available online at [https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/FH_FIW_2017_Report_Final.pdf], accessed on 12 April 2017.

Fridy, Kevin S., M'Cormack-Hale, Fredline A. O. (2011), "Sierra Leone's 2007 Elections: Monumental and More of the Same", *African Studies Quarterly*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 39-57.

Frost, Michael, *Discourse Stu Likes Discourse Theory. An analysis of meaning in The Simpsons as it relates to democracy*, available online at [<http://www.snpp.com/other/papers/mf2.paper.html>], accessed on 10 October 2013.

Fuest, Veronika (2009), "Liberia's women acting for peace: Collective action in a war-affected country", in Stephen Ellis, Ineke van Kessel (eds.), *Movers and Shakers: Social Movements in Africa*, Leiden, Boston: Brill, pp. 114-137.

Fyle, C. Magbaily (2006), *Historical Dictionary of Sierra Leone*, Lanham, Toronto, Oxford: The Scarecrow Press.

Gariba, Edward Banka (2011), "Post-conflict development in Liberia: Governance, security, capacity building and a developmental approach", *African Journal on Conflict Resolution*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 105-132.

Gberie, Lansana (2009), "African civil society, 'blood diamonds' and the Kimberley process", in Stephen Ellis, Ineke van Kessel (eds.), *Movers and Shakers: Social Movements in Africa*, Leiden, Boston: Brill, pp. 63-86.

Global Witness (1998), *A Rough Trade: The role of companies and governments in the Angolan conflict*, available online at [<https://www.globalwitness.org/en/archive/rough-trade/>], accessed on 1 October 2018.

Go, Delfin S., Page, John (2008), "Introduction" in Delfin S. Go, John Page (eds.), *Africa at a Turning Point?: Growth, Aid and External Shocks*, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Government of Sierra Leone (1999), *Letter of intention to the IMF*, available online at [<https://www.imf.org/external/country/sle/index.htm?type=23>], accessed on 13 May 2015.

Gramsci, Antonio, Hoare, Quintin, Smith, Geoffrey Nowell (1971), *Selections from the Prison Notebooks of Antonio Gramsci*, New York: International Publishers.

- Grugel, Jean (2002), *Democratization: A Critical Introduction*, Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Guannu, Joseph Saye (2009), "The Political History of Liberia and the Civil War", in Omeje, Kenneth (ed.), *War to peace transition: conflict intervention and peacebuilding in Liberia*, Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America, pp. 19-39.
- Gunitsky, Seva (2015) "Lost in the gray zone: Competing measures of democracy in the former Soviet republics", in Alexander Cooley, Jack Snyder (eds.), *Ranking the World: Grading States as a Tool of Global Governance*, New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 112-150.
- Habermas, Jürgen, "Three Normative Models of Democracy", *Constellations*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1-10.
- Hall, Stuart (1992), "The West and the Rest: Discourse and Power", in Stuart Hall, Bram Gieben (eds.), *Formations of Modernity*, Oxford: The Open University.
- Harris, David (2006), "Liberia 2005: an unusual African post-conflict election", *Journal of Modern African Studies*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 375-395.
- Harrison, Graham (2007) "The World Bank and the Construction of Governance States in Africa", in David Moore (ed.), *The World Bank: Development, Poverty, Hegemony*, Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press, pp. 369-386.
- Hegel, G.W.F., *Hegel's Philosophy of Right*, translated by T.M. Knox, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1969.
- Henderson, Errol A. (2000), "When States Implode: The Correlates of Africa's Civil Wars, 1950-92", *Studies in Comparative International Development*, pp. 28-47.
- Henon, Sarah, Randel, Judith, Stirk, Chloe (2014), "The changing role of NGOs and civil society in financing sustainable development", *Development Co-operation Report 2014: Mobilising Resources for Sustainable Development*, OECD, available online at [http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/development/development-co-operation-report-2014/the-changing-role-of-ngos-and-civil-society-in-financing-sustainable-development_dcr-2014-13-en], accessed on 3 May 2015.
- Hobden, Stephen, Jones, Richard Wyn (1999), "Marxist theories of International Relations", in John Baylis, Steve Smith (eds.), *The Globalization of World Politics. An introduction to international relations*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 200-221.
- Hoffman, Danny (2006), "Disagreement: Dissent Politics and the War in Sierra Leone", *Africa Today*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 2-23.
- Howarth, Kirsten (2014), "Connecting the dots: Liberal peace and post-conflict violence and crime", *Progress in Development Studies*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 261-273.
- Human Rights Watch (2003), *We'll Kill You if You Cry: Sexual Violence in the Sierra Leone Conflict*, Human Rights Watch, vol. 15, no. 1, available online at [<https://www.hrw.org/reports/2003/sierraleone/sierleon0103.pdf>], accessed on 6 August 2017.

Humphreys, Macartan, Weinstein, Jeremy M. (2004), *What the fighters say: A Survey of Ex-Combatants in Sierra Leone June-August 2003 (Interim Report)*, in collaboration with PRIDE.

Husain, Ishrat (1994), *Why do some economies adjust more successfully than others? Lessons from Seven African Countries*, Africa Regional Office, The World Bank.

Hyden, Goran (1997), “Civil society, social capital, and development: Dissection of a complex discourse”, *Studies in Comparative International Development*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 3-30.

Ibrahim, Jibrim (2003), *Democratic Transition in Anglophone West Africa*, Dakar: Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa.

IMF Country Report No. 05/191(2005), *Sierra Leone: Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper*, Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

IMF Country Report No. 07/60 (2007), *Liberia: Interim Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper*, Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

IMF Country Report No. 08/219 (2008), *Liberia: Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper*, Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

International Crisis Group (2004), *Liberia and Sierra Leone: Rebuilding Failed States* (Crisis Group Africa Report No. 87), Dakar/Brussels.

International Monetary Fund (1991), *Annual Report of the Executive Board for the Financial Year Ended April 30, 1991*, Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

International Monetary Fund and The International Development Association (2002), *Enhanced Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative Decision Point Document*, available online at [<https://www.imf.org/external/np/hipc/2002/sle/sledp.pdf>], accessed on 4 December 2017.

Ismail, Olawale (2008), *The Dynamics of Post-Conflict Reconstruction and Peace Building in West Africa Between Change and Stability*, Uppsala: Nordiska Afrikainstitutet.

Jankauskas, Algimantas, Gudžinskas, Liutauras (2008), “Reconceptualizing Transitology: Lessons from Post-Communism”, *Lithuanian Annual Strategic Review 2007*, pp. 181-199 [<http://www.isn.ethz.ch/Digital-Library/Publications/Detail/?ots591=0c54e3b3-1e9c-be1e-2c24-a6a8c7060233&lng=en&id=120815>], 17 July 2013.

Joint NGO statement, delivered by Sanjayan Srikanthan, International Rescue Committee, on behalf of 34 NGOs at the *International Conference: Effective International Response to Defeat Ebola in Sierra Leone*, October 2014, available online at [<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/joint-ngo-statement-at-international-conference-to-defeat-ebola-in-sierra-leone>], accessed on 10 October 2019.

Jones, Briony (2014), “Ensuring a political space for conflict by applying Chantal Mouffe to postwar reconstruction and development”, *Progress in Development Studies*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 249-259.

Jones-Demen, Annie (2009), “Dynamics of Gender Relations in War-Time and Post-War Liberia: Implications for public policy”, in Kenneth Omeje (ed.), *War to peace transition:*

conflict intervention and peacebuilding in Liberia, Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America, 2009, pp. 99-119.

Joon-Chang, Ha (2002) *Kicking Away the Ladder: Development Strategy in Historical Perspective*, London: Anthem Press.

Jørgensen, Marianne (2002), Louise Phillips, *Discourse Analysis as Theory and Method*, London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi: SAGE Publications.

Kaldor, Mary (2003) "Civil Society and Accountability", *Journal of Human Development*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 5-27.

Kaldor, Mary (2013), "In Defence of New Wars", *Stability*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1-16.

Kaldor, Mary, Vincent, James (2006), *Evaluation of UNDP Assistance to Conflict-Affected Countries – Case Study: Sierra Leone*, United Nations Development Programme Evaluation Office, available online at [<http://web.undp.org/evaluation/documents/thematic/conflict/SierraLeone.pdf>], accessed on 31 July 2017.

Kanyako, Vandy (2011), "The Check Is Not in the Mail: How Local Civil-Society Organizations Cope with Funding Volatility in Postconflict Sierra Leone", *Africa Today*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 3-16.

Kaplan, Robert D. (2000), *The Coming Anarchy: Shattering the Dreams of the Post Cold War*, New York: Random House.

Kaufmann, Daniel, Kraay, Aart, *Governance indicators: where are we, where should we be going?*, Policy Research working paper no. WPS 4370, Washington, DC: World Bank, available online at [<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/898661468338348410/Governance-indicators-where-are-we-where-should-we-be-going>], accessed on 25 February 2018.

Keih, Jr., George Klay (2008), *The First Liberian Civil War: The Crises of Underdevelopment*, New York: Peter Lang Publishing.

Klem, Bart (2004), , *Working Paper 25: A Commentary on the World Bank report 'Breaking the Conflict Trap'*, The Hague: Netherlands Institute of International Relations Clingendael.

Kode, David E. (2012), "Civil Society, Conflict Resolution and Post-conflict Reconstruction in Kosovo and Liberia", in Regina List, Wolfgang Dorner (eds.), *Civil Society, Conflict and Violence: Insights from the CIVICUS Civil Society Index Project*, available online at [<http://www.bloomsburyacademic.com/view/Civil-Society-Conflict-Violence/chapter-ba-9781780931036-chapter-005.xml>], accessed on 17 November 2013.

Krawczyk, Kelly (2018), "The Relationship between Liberian CSOs and International Donor Funding: Boon or Bane?", *Voluntas*, no. 29, pp. 296-309.

Laclau, Ernesto (1990), *New reflections on the revolution of our times*, London, New York: Verso.

- Laclau, Ernesto (1996), "Deconstruction, Pragmatism, Hegemony", in Chantal Mouffe (ed.) *Deconstruction and Pragmatism: Simon Critchley, Jacques Derrida, Ernesto Laclau and Richard Rorty*, London, New York: Routledge, pp. 49-70.
- Laclau, Ernesto (1997), *Politics and Ideology in Marxist Theory: Capitalism, Fascism, Populism*, London: NLB.
- Laclau, Ernesto (2000), "Identity and Hegemony: The Role of Universality in the Constitution of Political Logics", in Butler, Judith, Laclau, Ernesto and Žižek, Slavoj (eds.), *Contingency, Hegemony, Universality: Contemporary Dialogues on the Left*, London, New York: Verso, pp. 44-89.
- Laclau, Ernesto (2005), *On Populist Reason*, London, New York: Verso.
- Laclau, Ernesto (2007), *Emancipation* (Second edition), London, New York: Verso.
- Laclau, Ernesto (2014), *The Rhetorical Foundations of Society*, London, New York: Verso.
- Laclau, Ernesto, Mouffe, Chantal (2001), *Hegemony and Socialist Strategy: Towards a Radical Democratic Politics* (Second edition), London, New York: Verso.
- Laclau, Ernesto, Zac, Lilian (1994), "Minding the Gap: The Subject of Politics", in Laclau, Ernesto (ed.), *The Making of Political Identities*, London, New York: Verso, pp. 11-39.
- Lavalette, Michael, Ferguson, Iain (2007), "Democratic language and neo-liberal practice: The problem with civil society", *International Social Work*, vol. 50, no. 4, 2007, pp. 447-459.
- Lewis, Peter M. (1992), "Political transition and the dilemma of civil society in Africa", *Journal of International Affairs*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 31-54.
- Lievens, Matthias (2015) "From Government to Governance: A Symbolic Mutation and Its Repercussions for Democracy", *Political Studies*, vol. 63, pp. 2-17.
- Lipton, Michael (1991), "The State-Market Dilemma, Civil Society, and Structural Adjustment: any Cross-Commonwealth Lessons?", *The Round Table*, no. 317, pp. 21-31.
- Lyons, Terrence (2008), "Post-cold war conflict in West Africa: a subordinate state system in collapse?", in Terrence Lyons, Gilbert M. Khadiagala (eds.), *Conflict Management and African Politics: Ripeness, bargaining, and mediation*, London and New York: Routledge, pp. 83-94.
- Maglaras, Vasilis (2013), "Consent and Submission: Aspects of Gramsci's Theory of the Political and Civil Society", *SAGE Open*, pp. 1-8, available online at [<http://sgo.sagepub.com/content/3/1/2158244012472347>], accessed on 29 October 2013.
- Marks, Zoe (2013), "Sexual Violence in Sierra Leone's Civil War: 'Virgination', Rape and Marriage", *African Affairs*, vol. 113, no. 450, pp. 67-87.
- Martin, James (2009), "Post-structuralism, Civil Society and Radical Democracy", in Little, Adrian, Lloyd, Mona (eds.), *The Politics of Radical Democracy*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, pp. 92-111.

- McDuie-Ra, Duncan, Rees, John A. (2010), "Religious Actors, Civil Society and the Development Agenda: The Dynamics of Inclusion and Exclusion", *Journal of International Development*, no. 22, pp. 20-36.
- McSweeney, John (2014), "The absence of class: Critical development, NGOs and the misuse of Gramsci's concept of counter-hegemony", *Progress in Development Studies*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 275-285.
- Mercer, Claire (2002) "NGOs, civil society and democratization: a critical review of the literature", *Progress in Development Studies*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 5-22.
- Mercy, Odeh Adiza (2012), "Civil Society and Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria", *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 61-67.
- Ministry of Finance and Economic Development (MoFED-2009), Sierra Leone, *Draft Revised Non-Government Organisations Policy Regulations*, provided by International Center for Not-for-Profit Law (ICNL), available online at [<http://www.icnl.org/research/library/files/Sierra%20Leone/NGOREgulations.pdf>], accessed on 4 June 2019.
- Mișcoiu, Sergiu (2008), "Discourse Theory and Political Contestation. An Inquiry Based on an International Research Project", in Sergiu Mișcoiu, Oana-Raluca Crăciun, Nicoleta Colopelnic, *Radicalism, Populism, Interventionism. Three Approaches Based on Discourse Theory*, Cluj-Napoca: EFES, pp. 14-30.
- Miscoiu, Sergiu, Merabti, Sarah (2015), "Post-conflict state (re)construction: the involvement of civil society in the Burundian democratic processes", *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai: Europaea*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 19-38.
- Mohammed, Nadir Abdel Latif (1999) "Social Dimensions of Structural Adjustment and Stabilisation Programmes in OIC Member Countries", *Journal of Economic Cooperation*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 53-87.
- Moore, David (2000), "Levelling the playing fields & embedding illusions: 'Post-conflict' Discourse & Neo-liberal 'Development' in War-torn Africa", *Review of African Political Economy*, vol. 27, no. 83, pp. 11-28.
- Moore, David (2007), "Levelling the playing fields & embedding illusions: 'Post-conflict' Discourse & Neo-liberal 'Development' in War-torn Africa", in David Moore (ed.) *The World Bank: Development, Poverty, Hegemony*, Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
- Moore, David (2007), "Sail on, Ship of State: Neoliberalism, Globalisation and the Governance of Africa", in David Moore (ed.) *The World Bank: Development, Poverty, Hegemony*, Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
- Morrison, Christian (1996), *The Political Feasibility of Adjustment (Policy Brief No. 13)*, OECD, available online at [<http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/112047535116.pdf?expires=1515672759&id=id&acname=guest&checksum=7A1ECE3E346989EB5A96FE3428586B31>], accessed on 9 December 2017.
- Mouffe, Chantal (1993), *The Return of the Political*, London, New York: Verso.

- Mouffe, Chantal (1996), “Deconstruction, Pragmatism and the Politics of Democracy” in Chantal Mouffe (ed.) *Deconstruction and Pragmatism: Simon Critchley, Jacques Derrida, Ernesto Laclau and Richard Rorty*, London, New York: Routledge, pp. 1-12.
- Mouffe, Chantal (1999), “Deliberative Democracy or Agonistic Pluralism?”, *Social Research*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 745-758.
- Mouffe, Chantal (2000), *The Democratic Paradox*, London, New York: Verso.
- Mouffe, Chantal (2005), *On the Political*, London, New York: Routledge.
- Mouffe, Chantal (2013) *Agonistics: Thinking the World Politically*, London, New York: Verso.
- Mukendi, Bruno (2010), *Leadership and Change in Post-Conflict States: A Case Study of Liberia*, New York, United Nations Development Programme.
- Mukonoweshuro, Eliphaz G. (1993), *Colonialism, Class Formation and Underdevelopment in Sierra Leone*, Lanham: University Press of America.
- Musah, Abdel-Fatau (2009), *West Africa: Governance and Security in a Changing Region*, Africa Program Working Paper Series, International Peace Institute.
- Musila, Godfrey M. (May 2019), *Freedoms Under Threat: The Spread of Anti-NGO Measures in Africa*, Freedom House, available online at [https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/05132019_UPDATED_FINAL_Africa_Special_Brief_Freedoms_Under_Threat.pdf], accessed on 23 July 2019.
- Naiman, Robert, Watkins, Neil (1999), ***A Survey of the Impacts of IMF Structural Adjustment in Africa: Growth, Social Spending, and Debt Relief***, Washington, D.C.: Center for Economic and Policy Research, available online at [http://cepr.net/documents/publications/debt_1999_04.htm#N_1_], accessed on 9 January 2018.
- Nasong'o, Shadrack Wanjala (2007), “Political Transition without Transformation: The Dialectic of Liberalization without Democratization in Kenya and Zambia”, *African Studies Review*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 83-107.
- National Democratic Institute for International Affairs (NDI), *Liberia: Civil society's role in the political transition*, 2004, available online at [http://www.ndi.org/files/1710_lr_civilsociety_010104.pdf], accessed on 10 November 2013.
- Ndulu, B. J (2007), “The evolution of global development paradigms and their influence on African economic growth” in *The Political Economy of Economic Growth in Africa, 1960-2000* vol. 1, pp. 315-347, doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511492648.010.
- Neem, Johann N. (2006), Squaring the circle: “The multiple purposes of civil society in Tocqueville’s Democracy in America”, *The Tocqueville Review/La Revue Tocqueville*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 99-121.
- Nelson Jr. Julius Sarwolo (2009), “Peacebuilding and Inter-Religious Dialogue in Liberia: Reflections on the Role of the Inter-Religious Council of Liberia”, in Kenneth Omeje (ed.), *War*

to peace transition: conflict intervention and peacebuilding in Liberia, Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America.

NEPAD (2005), *African Post-Conflict Reconstruction Policy Framework*, NEPAD Secretariat, available online at [<http://www.chs.ubc.ca/archives/files/African%20Post-Conflict%20Recontrustion%20Policy%20Framework.pdf>], last accessed on 14 November 2019.

Neumann Hannah, Winckler, Joel Gwyn (2013), “When Critique is Framed as Resistance: How the International Intervention in Liberia Fails to Integrate Alternative Concepts and Constructive Criticism”, *International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 1-18.

Newman, Edward, Paris, Roland, Richmond, Oliver P. (2009) “Introduction” in Edward Newman, Roland Paris, Oliver P. Richmond (eds.), *New perspectives on liberal peacebuilding*, Tokyo, New York, Paris: United Nations University Press, pp. 1-26.

Obadare, Ebenezer (2005), “Second Thoughts on Civil Society: The State, Civic Associations and the Antinomies of the Public Sphere in Africa”, *Journal of Civil Society*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 267-281.

Obadare, Ebenezer (2011), “Revalorizing the Political: Towards a New Intellectual Agenda for African Civil Society Discourse”, *Journal of Civil Society*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 427-442.

Obeng-Odoom, Franklin (2013), “Africa’s Failed Economic Development Trajectory: A Critique”, *African Review of Economics and Finance*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 151-175.

OECD (2009), *Conflict and Fragility: Armed Violence Reduction – Enabling development*, available online at [<https://www.oecd.org/dac/conflict-fragility-resilience/docs/armed%20violence%20reduction.pdf>], accessed on 15 June 2018.

Okolie, Andrew C. (2003), “Development Hegemony and the Development Crisis in Africa: The Importance of Indigenous Knowledges and Practices in the Making of Food Policy”, *The Journal of African American History*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 429-448.

Omeje, Kenneth (2009), “Introduction: Discourses of the Liberian Civil War and the Imperatives of Peacebuilding”, in Omeje, Kenneth (ed.), *War to peace transition: conflict intervention and peacebuilding in Liberia*, Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America, pp. 3-18.

Opriş, Lavinia-Ioana (2015), “Civil Society and Post-Conflict Liberia and Sierra Leone – Insights from Discourse Theory”, *Studia Europaea*, no. 3, pp. 163-190.

Orji, Nkwachukwu (2009), “Civil society, democracy and good governance in Africa”, *CEU Political Science Journal*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 76-101.

Otoo, Samuel, Agapitova, Natalia, Behrens, Joy (2009), *The Capacity Development Results Framework: A strategic and results-oriented approach to learning for capacity-development*, World Bank.

Paracka Jr. Daniel J. (2003), *The Athens of West Africa: A History of International Education at Fourah Bay College, Freetown, Sierra Leone*, New York, London: Routledge.

Paris, Roland (2004), *At War's End: Building Peace after Civil Conflict*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Peeters, Pia et. al. (2009), *Youth Employment in Sierra Leone: Sustainable Livelihood Opportunities in a Post-Conflict Setting*, Washington, DC: The World Bank.

Peltzman, Sam (2007), "Regulation and the Wealth of Nations: The Connection between Government Regulation and Economic Progress", *New Perspectives on Political Economy*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 185-204.

Peters, Krijin (2011) *War and the Crisis of Youth in Sierra Leone*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Peters, Krijin, Richards, Paul (1998), "Why We Fight': Voices of Youth Combatants in Sierra Leone", *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 183-210.

Pham, J. Peter (2004), "Lazarus Rising: Civil Society and Sierra Leone's Return from the Grave", *The International Journal of Not-for-Profit Law*, vol. 7, no. 1, available online at [http://www.icnl.org/research/journal/vol7iss1/art_2.htm], accessed on 8 September 2014.

Pieterse, Jan Nederveen (2010), *Development Theory: Deconstructions/Reconstructions* (Second Edition), London: Sage Publications.

Posner, Daniel (2004), "Civil Society and the Reconstruction of Failed States", in Robert I. Rotberg (ed.), *When States Fail: Causes and Consequences*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, pp. 237-255.

Putnam, Robert, *Social Capital: Measurement and Consequences*, available online at [<https://search.oecd.org/edu/innovation-education/1825848.pdf>], accessed on 25 April 2017.

Rapley, John (2002), *Understanding Development: Theory and Practice in the Third World* Boulder, London: Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Republic of Liberia (2007), *National Policy on Non-Governmental Organizations in Liberia*, available online at [<https://www.emansion.gov.lr/doc/NGOPolicguidelines.pdf>], accessed on 4 June 2019.

Republic of Liberia (2018), *National Policy on Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)*, available online at [[https://nabc.nl/uploads/content/files/Zero Draft-National NGO POLICY 2018.doc](https://nabc.nl/uploads/content/files/Zero%20Draft-National%20NGO%20POLICY%202018.doc)], accessed on 6 June 2019.

Richards, Paul (2003), *Working Paper 21: The Political Economy of Internal Conflict in Sierra Leone*, Clingendael: Netherlands Institute of International Relations Clingendael, available online at [http://www.clingendael.nl/sites/default/files/20030800_cru_working_paper_21.pdf], accessed on 3 August 2017.

Riddell, J. Barry (1992), "Things Fall Apart Again: Structural Adjustment Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa", *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 53-68.

Rodekamp, Meike (2014) *Their Members' Voice: Civil Society Organisations in the European Union*, Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

- Rotberg, Robert I. (2003), *State Failure and State Weakness in a Time of Terror*, World Peace Foundation, 2003.
- Rotberg, Robert I. (2004), “The Failure and Collapse of Nation-States: Breakdown, Prevention and Repair”, in Robert I. Rotberg, *When States Fail: Causes and Consequences*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, pp. 1-49.
- Sachs, Wolfgang (2010), “Introduction”, in Wolfgang Sachs (ed.), *The Development Dictionary: a guide to knowledge as power*, London, New York: Zed Books, pp. XV-XX.
- Sachs, Wolfgang (ed.), *The Development Dictionary: a guide to knowledge as power*, London, New York: Zed Books, 2010.
- Sawyer, Edward (2008), “Remove or Reform? A Case for (Restructuring) Chiefdom Governance in Post-Conflict Sierra Leone”, *African Affairs*, vol. 107, no. 428, pp. 387-403.
- Sayndee, T. Debey (2009), “The Role of Civil Society in Post-Conflict Peacebuilding in Liberia: A Policy Approach”, in Omeje, Kenneth (ed.), *War to peace transition: conflict intervention and peacebuilding in Liberia*, Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America, pp. 171-192.
- Scanlan, Padraic Xavier (2014), “The Rewards of their Exertions: Prize Money and British Abolitionism in Sierra Leone, 1808–1823”, *Past and Present*, no. 225.
- Scholte, Ian Aart (2012), “A More Inclusive Global Governance? The IMF and Civil Society in Africa”, *Global Governance*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 185-206.
- Seddon, David, Seddon-Daines, Daniel (2005), *A Political and Economic Dictionary of Africa*, London, New York: Routledge.
- Sesay, Amadu, Ukeje, Charles, Gbla, Osman, Ismail, Olawale (2009), *Post-War Regimes and State Reconstruction in Liberia and Sierra Leone*, Dakar: Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA).
- Smillie, Ian, Gberie, Lansana, Hazleton, Ralph (2000), *The Heart of the Matter: Sierra Leone, diamonds and human security*, Ottawa: Partnership Africa-Canada, available online at [<https://cryptome.org/kimberly/kimberly-016.pdf>], accessed on 1 October 2018.
- Smith, Adam, *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, The Electronic Classics Series, Jim Manis (ed.), 2005, available online at [<http://eet.pixel-online.org/files/etranslation/original/The%20Wealth%20of%20Nations.pdf>], accessed on 24 April 2017.
- Socaciu, Emanuel-Mihail (1999), “Thomas Hobbes: Introducere” in Adrian-Paul Iliescu, Emanuel-Mihail Socaciu (eds.), *Fundamentele gândirii politice moderne. Antologie comentată*, Iași: Polirom.
- Southall, Roger (2003) *Democracy in Africa: Moving Beyond a Difficult Legacy*, Cape Town: HSRC Publishers.
- Stanley, William R. (2004), “Background to the Liberia and Sierra Leone implosions”, *GeoJournal*, no. 61, pp. 69-78.

Structural Adjustment Participatory Review International Network (SAPRI-2004), *Structural Adjustment: The SAPRI Report - The Policy Roots of Economic Crisis, Poverty and Inequality*, London and New York: Zed Books.

Tar, Usman A. (2009), *The Politics of Neoliberal Democracy in Africa: State and Civil Society in Nigeria*, New York, London: Tauris Academic Studies.

Tarp, Finn (2001), *Stabilization and Structural Adjustment: Macroeconomic frameworks for analyzing the crisis in sub-Saharan Africa*, Taylor & Francis e-Library.

Teixeira, Nuno Severiano (ed.) (2008), *The International Politics of Democratization Comparative perspectives*, Oxon, New York: Routledge.

The Economist Intelligence Unit (2016), *Democracy Index 2016: Revenge of the 'deplorables'*, available online at [http://pages.eiu.com/rs/783-XMC-194/images/Democracy_Index_2016.pdf], accessed on 2 April 2017.

The Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights-UN Human Rights (OHCHR), Mandates of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression; and the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders (February 2018), *letter to the Government of Sierra Leone*, Geneva, available online at [https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Opinion/Legislation/OL_SLE_22.02.2018.pdf], accessed on 5 June 2019.

Thompson, Bankole, Potter, Gary (1997), "Governmental corruption in Africa: Sierra Leone as a case study", *Crime, Law & Soicial Change*, no. 28, pp. 137-154.

Torring, Jacob (2005), "Discourse Theory: Achievements, Arguments, and Challenges" in David Howarth, Jacob Torring (eds.), *Discourse Theory in European Politics. Identity, Policy and Governance*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1-32.

Toure, Augustine (2002), "The Role of Civil Society in National Reconciliation and Peacebuilding in Liberia", *International Peace Academy*, available online at [<http://peacekeepingbestpractices.unlb.org/PBPS/Library/The%20Role%20of%20Civil%20Society%20in%20Liberia.pdf>], accessed on 25 March 2015.

Ul Haq, Mahbub (1995), *Reflections on Human Development: How the focus of development economics shifted from national income accounting to people-centred policies, told by one of the chief architects of the new paradigm*, New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (2000), *The Least Developed Countries 2000 Report – Aid, Private Capital Flows and External Debt: The Challenge of Financing Development in the LDCs*, New York, Geneva: United Nations.

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs and United Nations Development Programme (2007), *The Challenges of Restoring Governance in Crisis and Post-Conflict Countries*, New York: United Nations, available online at [<http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/un/unpan025512.pdf>] accessed on 30 April 2015).

United Nations General Assembly (2015), *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, no. 70/1.

Uvin, Peter (2006) “Fostering Citizens Collective Actions in Post-Conflict Societies” in *Building Civil Society in Post-Conflict Environment: From the Micro to the Macro*, Woodrow Wilson International Centre for Scholars, Occasional Paper Series, no.1, 2006, pp. 6-10.

Van Dijk, Teun A. (1995), “Aims of Critical Discourse Analysis”, *Japanese Discourse*, vol. 1, pp. 17-27.

Walzer, Michael (1992), “The Civil Society Argument, in Mouffe, Chantal (ed.), *Dimensions of Radical Democracy: Pluralism, Citizenship, Community*, London, New York: Verso, pp. 89-107.

White, Gordon (2004) “Civil Society, Democratization and Development: Clearing the Analytical ground”, in Peter J. Burnell, Peter Calvert (eds.) *Civil Society in Democratization*, London, Portland: Frank Cass, pp. 375-390.

Williams, David, Young, Tom (2007), “The World Bank and the Liberal Project”, in David Moore (ed.) *The World Bank: Development, Poverty, Hegemony*, Scottsville: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.

Williams, David, Young, Tom (2012), “Civil Society and the Liberal Project in Ghana and Sierra Leone”, paper prepared for the *Building States and Civil Societies in Africa: Liberal Interventions and Global Governmentality* workshop available online at [<http://www.open.ac.uk/socialsciences/bisa-africa/files/building-states-williams-and-young.pdf>], accessed on 16 November 2013.

Wiseman, John A. (1995), “Introduction: the movement towards democracy: Global, continental and state perspectives”, in John A. Wiseman (ed.), *Democracy and Political Change in Sub-Saharan Africa*, London and New-York: Routledge, pp. 1-10.

Wodak, Ruth (2001), “What CDA is about - a summary of its history, important concepts and its developments”, in Wodak, Ruth, Meyer, Michael (eds.), *Methods of Critical Discourse Analysis*, London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi: SAGE Publications, pp. 1-13.

World Bank (1989), *Sub-Saharan Africa: From crisis to sustainable growth: A long term perspective study*, Washington, D.C.: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, available online at [<http://www.isn.ethz.ch/Digital-Library/Publications/Detail/?lang=en&id=106268>], accessed on 21 June 2015.

World Bank (1992), *Governance and Development*, Washington, D. C.: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, available online at [<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/1992/04/440582/governance-development>], accessed on 8 May 2015.

World Bank (1994), *Adjustment in Africa: Reforms, Results, and the Road Ahead*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

World Bank (1998), *Post-Conflict Reconstruction: The Role of the World Bank*, Washington, D.C.: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, available

online at [<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/1998/04/693637/post-conflict-reconstruction-role-world-bank>], accessed on 23 April 2015.

World Bank (1999), *Entering the 21st Century: World Development Report 1999/2000*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

World Bank (2015), *Country data report for Liberia, 1996-2014*, World Governance Indicators (WGI), Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group, available online at [<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/816881468197103793/Country-data-report-for-Liberia-1996-2014>], accessed on 26 February 2019.

World Bank (2015), *Country data report for Sierra Leone, 1996-2014*, World Governance Indicators (WGI), Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group, available online at [<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/779451467996699335/Country-data-report-for-Sierra-Leone-1996-2014>], accessed on 26 February 2019.

World Bank, *Report and Recommendation of the President of the International Development Association to the Executive Directors on a Proposed Credit of SDR 35.9 Million to the Republic of Sierra Leone for a Structural Adjustment Credit, 1993*.

Worsham, Lynn, Olson, Gary A. (1999), "Hegemony and the Future of Democracy: Ernesto Laclau's Political Philosophy", *JAC*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1-34.

Yoder, John Charles (2003), *Popular political culture, civil society and state crisis in Liberia*, Lewinston: The Edwin Mellen Press.

Zack-Williams, Alfred B. (1999), "Sierra Leone: the political economy of civil war, 1991-98", *Third World Quarterly*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 143-162.

Zack-Williams, Tunde (2010) "Sierra Leone beyond Lomé: Challenges and Failures", in Marda Mustapha and Joseph J. Bangura, *Sierra Leone beyond the Lomé Peace Accord*, Basingstoke, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 17-34.

News Articles

***, "Liberia: A Word of Caution to the Council of Patriots", *Daily Observer*, June 2019, available online at [<https://allafrica.com/stories/201906060349.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

***, "Liberia: Govt Will Receive Petition", *Daily Observer*, June 2019, available online at [<https://allafrica.com/stories/201906050414.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

***, "Protests in Liberia over George Weah's failure to tackle corruption", *The Guardian*, June 2019, available online at [<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jun/07/thousands-protest-in-liberia-against-failure-to-tackle-corruption>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

AFP, "Hundreds protest in Liberia over price hike, corruption", *New Straits Times*, August 2019, available online at [<https://www.nst.com.my/world/2019/08/508846/hundreds-protest-liberia-over-price-hikes-corruption>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

AFP, “Liberia protesters clash with police at rally over economy, graft”, *The East African*, August 2019, available online at [<https://www.theeastafrican.co.ke/news/africa/Liberia-protesters-clash-with-police/4552902-5218678-3702c8z/index.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Alaskai, Moore Johnson , “Liberia: COP Shifts Protest Date to 31st July ‘Out of Respect for US Gov’t’”, *Front Page Africa*, July 2019, available online at [<https://frontpageafricaonline.com/politics/liberia-cop-shifts-protest-date-to-31st-july-out-of-respect-for-us-govt/>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Alaskai, Moore Johnson , “Liberia: Council of Patriots Recommends Alternatives to GOL’s ‘Fake Harmonization Scheme’”, *Front Page Africa*, June 2019, available online at [<https://frontpageafricaonline.com/business/liberia-council-of-patriots-recommends-alternatives-to-gols-fake-harmonization-scheme/>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Alaskai, Moore Johnson, “Liberia: The Council of Patriots Organizing Another Round of #SavetheState Protest”, *Front Page Africa*, July 2019, available online at [<https://frontpageafricaonline.com/politics/liberia-the-council-of-patriots-organizing-another-round-of-savethestate-protest/>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Brooks, Michael “The great Ebola scare”, *New Statesman*, October 2014, available online at [<https://www.newstatesman.com/world-affairs/2014/10/great-ebola-scare/>], accessed in November 2014.

Concord Times Sierra Leone, *CSOs frown at reviewed NGO policy*, January 2019, available online at [<http://slconcordtimes.com/csos-frown-at-reviewed-ngo-policy/>], accessed on 22 July 2019.

Dahn, Kadiker Rex, “Liberia: Chie and Chambers Are Wrong Referees to Call Citizens to Abandon Protest”, *Daily Observer*, June 2019, available online at [<https://allafrica.com/stories/201906060356.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Daily Observer, *NGOs: Why Now?*, 2014, available online at [<https://www.liberianobserver.com/opinion/editorials/ngos-why-now/>], accessed on 21 June 2019.

De Bruijne, Kars, Sierra Leone: “The new government’s tense struggle for control a year on”, *African Arguments*, April 2019, available online at [<https://africanarguments.org/2019/04/18/protests-walk-outs-inquiries-sierra-leone-politics-simmers/>], accessed on 6 August 2019.

Feldmann, Linda, “Ebola and the politics of fear”, *Christian Science Monitor*, October 2014, accessed online at [<http://web.bcueluj.ro:2048/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=url,ip,cookie,uid&db=a9h&AN=99026517&site=eds-live>] in November 2014.

Garda World, *Protesters clash with police in Monrovia 31 July*, available online at [<https://www.garda.com/crisis24/news-alerts/254221/liberia-protesters-clash-with-police-in-monrovia-july-31-update-1>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Hooton, Christopher, “The Isis of biological agents?: CNN is asking the stupid Ebola questions”, *Independent*, October 2014, available online at [<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/the-isis-of-biological-agents-cnn-is-asking-the-stupid-ebola-questions-9779584.html>], accessed in November 2014.

Inveen, Cooper, “Thousands protest in Liberia against corruption, economic decline”, *Reuters*, June 2019, available online at [<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-liberia-protest/thousands-protest-in-liberia-against-corruption-economic-decline-idUSKCN1T82ER>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Joaque, Zainab Iyamide, *Sierra Leone News: NGOs consult for a review of policy*, Awoko Newspaper, November 2018, available online at [<https://awoko.org/2018/11/05/sierra-leone-news-ngos-consult-for-a-review-of-policy/>], accessed on 22 July 2019.

Kpadeh, Evelyn, Mugabi, Isaac, “Liberia's frustration with President Weah spills onto the streets”, *DW*, June 2019, available online at [<https://www.dw.com/en/liberias-frustration-with-president-weah-spills-onto-the-streets/a-4910659>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Nyanfore II, Dagbayonoh Kiah, “Liberia: Analysis of the Planned June 7 Protest in Liberia - a Look At Power Struggle in Liberia and the Elements of Fear”, *New Dawn*, June 2019, available online at [<https://allafrica.com/stories/201906100315.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Paye-Layleh, Jonathan, “Anti-George Weah protesters arrested in Liberia”, *BBC Africa*, July 2019, available online at [<https://www.bbc.com/news/topics/c77jz3md70rt/liberia>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Thomas, Abdul Rashid, Rioting at Sierra Leone’s opposition APC office as party loses election petitions, *Sierra Leone Telegraph*, May 2019, available online at [<https://www.thesierraleonetelegraph.com/rioting-at-sierra-leones-opposition-apc-office-as-party-loses-election-petitions/>], accessed on 6 August 2019.

Torchia, Christopher, “Ebola perceptions: Disease ravages small part of Africa, but whole continent seen as affected”, *The Canadian Press*, October 2014, accessed online at [<http://web.bcueluj.ro:2048/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=url,ip,cookie,uid&db=bwh&AN=MYO123318198914&site=eds-live>] in November 2014;

Torchia, Christopher, “Ebola: Africa's image takes a hit”, *AP Top News Package*, October 2014, accessed online at [<http://web.bcueluj.ro:2048/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=url,ip,cookie,uid&db=bwh&AN=AP21bd433b7c0f4202b0ffeafc79619212&site=eds-live>] in November 2014.

Worzi, Alvin, “Liberia: CoP Releases Final Petition, Gives Govt One Month to Respond”, *Daily Observer*, available online at [<https://allafrica.com/stories/201906100219.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Yates, David A. “Liberia: Three CSOs Want Liberia's Peace Sustained”, *Daily Observer*, June 2019, available online at [<https://allafrica.com/stories/201906060353.html>], last accessed on 13 August 2019.

Websites/Facebook pages

***, *The Sierra Leone Civic Space Manifesto*, p. 2, available online at [<https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/afr51/7593/2017/en/>], accessed on 30 July 2019.

[<http://www5.worldbank.org/mdgs/>], accessed on 28 November 2018.

[<https://datacatalog.worldbank.org/dataset/africa-development-indicators>], accessed on 3 December 2018.

[<https://www.eiu.com/home.aspx>], accessed on 2 April 2017.

Center for Transparency and Accountability in Liberia (CENTAL) Facebook Page, available online at [https://www.facebook.com/pg/tiliberia/about/?ref=page_internal], last accessed on 15 August 2019.

Central Intelligence Agency, *The World Factbook*, available online at [<https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/sl.html>], accessed on 28 July 2017.

CGG website, available online at [<http://cggsi.org/about-us/>], accessed on 30 July 2019.

CIVICUS Monitor, Sierra Leone Country Page, *7 March 2018 Elections: CSOs Urge Political Parties to Sign Civic Space Manifesto*, March 2018, available online at [<https://monitor.civicus.org/newsfeed/2018/03/07/coalition-csos-urges-political-parties-sign-sierra-leone-civic-space-manifesto/>], accessed on 22 July 2019.

Fund for Peace (2006), *Fragile States Index*, available online at [<http://fundforpeace.org/fsi/data/>], accessed on 25 February 2019.

Global Democracy Ranking, available online at [<http://democracyranking.org/wordpress/>], accessed on 11 April 2017.

Institute for Research and Democratic Development (IREDD) webpage, available online at [<http://iredd-lr.org/who-we-are/>], last accessed on 15 August 2015.

MFDPA, NGO unit, available online at [<https://www.mfdp.gov.lr/index.php/budget-planning/assistant-min-regional-sectoral/ngo-unit>], accessed on 21 June 2019.

NAYMOTE Partners for Democratic Development webpage, available online at [<http://naymote.com/legislative-engagement/>], last accessed on 15 August 2019.

OSIWA website, available online at [<http://www.osiwa.org/about-us/what-we-do/>], accessed on 30 July 2019.

SDI Facebook Page, available online at [https://www.facebook.com/pg/sfdisl/about/?ref=page_internal], accessed on 6 August 2019.

The International Center for Not-for-Profit Law, *Civic Freedom Monitor: Sierra Leone*, available online at [<http://www.icnl.org/research/monitor/sierraleone.html>], accessed on 28 May 2019.

The Polity Project, available online at [<http://www.systemicpeace.org/polityproject.html>], accessed on 10 April 2017.

UNDP, [<http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-development-index-hdi>], accessed on 25 November 2018.

United Nations, *Sustainable Development Goals: 17 Goals to Transform our World*, available online at [<https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/>], accessed on 22 November 2018.

USAID Sierra Leone, available online at [<http://www.usaid.gov/sierra-leone>], accessed on 18 June 2015 and 25 March 2019.

World Bank, Africa Development Report series, available online at [<https://datacatalog.worldbank.org/dataset/africa-development-indicators>], accessed on 3 December 2018.

World Bank, The Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) project, 1996-2015, available online at [<http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/index.aspx#home>], accessed on 20 March 2017.

World Movement for Democracy, *Sierra Leone*, available online at [<https://www.movedemocracy.org/case-studies/sierra-leone>], accessed on 19 July 2019.