"BABEŞ-BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA THE FACULTY FOR HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY

The Departament of Ancient History and Archaeology

PHD THESIS

The castra of Dacia subsequent their abandonment by the Roman Army – abstract –

Scientific coordinator:

Prof. univ. dr., correspondent member of the Romanian Academy, Mihai Bărbulescu Phd.-student:

Dan Matei

Cluj-Napoca Septembrie 2016 Key-words: the province of *Dacia*, abandoned *castra*, the province's evacutaion, reuse, inhabitance, The Migration Period, Middle Ages.

We believe that a complete research on the *castra* must regard not only the period in which they proper functioned as garissons of the Roman troops, but also those times subsequent their abandonment by the soldiers. With their grandious look, with the constructions and utilities they have, they could draw attention after their abandonment, attracting for a shorther or longer settling within. No matter who were the new inhabitants or individuals with a short presence, they must have left some traces of material culture.

Then, we could detect which was the destiny of a typical element of Roman civilisation the *castrum* was in the centuries after the ceasing of its originary purpose and how the passing of these centuries left its mark on its existence. Partial reuse of the curtain (together with the bastions, gates and adjacent towers) or of the inner constructions, or various alterations more or less modest with the purpose of a longer or shorter inhabitance along The Migration and Medieval Times, cannot be of interest just for those intersted of Roman Antiquity, as they are a proof of a special inhabitance within those mentioned periods of time. Even the simple recovery of the lithic material from the structures of the castra in Medieval Time' constructions, it's of special interest in this context.

The issue of *castra*'s reuse was followed for the both chronological sequences: the reuse still in the Roman Epoch, as also that one in the "Postroman" times.

The superior chronological limit of the Postroman times is given by the prague till the posibility of reusing for inhabitance of the construction within the *castra* and of the curtains with their elements (bastions, towers, gates), exists – that is to say the state of preservation for those structures. But it is palpable given by the prague till which are clear attested the reuses themself. The latest reuses for inhabitance of a structures belonging to the *castra* are touching the 11th century (being documented in the ex-fortress from Alba Iulia). This is the superior temporal limit, of course relatively, till which we are following the Postroman life in the castra. It was not arbitrary established, but fixed by the very reality offered by the archaeological researches.

A civilian reuse of the *castra* abandoned within the Roman Epoch remains a issue to follow – wishing that to be with more interest – in the years to come, as in this matter our knowledge are all but satisfactory and uncertain. Civilian reuses of some surfaces of abandoned

castra by the military are attested for the Dacian provincial space (or, at least under close control). Still, reuses for the civil inhabitance of some abandoned *castra*'s structures are not clear documented. This kind of cases from other provinces are bringing testimony for the phenomenon and we don't see why in *Dacia* situations like this not to be put into light in the future.

But their putting into light demands delicate archaeological researches and foresight coming from the archaeologist that these kind of situations can occur, so that they will not represent "surprises" (that might be overlooked during the impetuosity of the excavation).

The reuse of the former *castra*'s structures subsequent the province's evacuation and inhabitance within the *castra*, were attested in a number of cases whic were not few. Those reuses, relatively apreciated, are no more and no less than in other provinces of the Empire. It cannot be affirmed in this moment that the *castra* beared a special interest for reuse in the period closely next after the province's evacuation, The Migration Epoch and The Early Medieval one face to the former civilian settlements (with the remark that these are much more less archaeologically known).

The "safety" given by the curtain walls of the former *castra* in the mentioned periods appear to be rather a cliche. The Romanic individuals were probably aware that this "safety" was an unrealistic one, the barbarians from around the former province were not or were not anymore familiarized with a "safety" like this and the migratory groups didn't need it.

În the "fully" and Late Middle Age inhabitances of large intensity on the surface of former Dacian *castra* are few. The cases of Alba Iulia, Odorheiul Secuiesc and maybe Drobeta-Turnu Severin are rather exceptions. The difference face to the German space, better known to the author of the thesis, is obvious in this matter. Of course, the fact that in the 15th century the whole curtain wall of the Roman legionary fortress from Alba Iulia represent the curtain wall of the medieval fortification can be seen as unusual not just for the territory of the former province of *Dacia*. But it remains singular, as it is not clear the situation of that quadrangle "Saxonivara" from Turda, suspected to be the very former Roman legionary fortress from here. If the "Banat of Severin" had its centre in the former Late Roman/Byzantine fortification from Drobeta-Turnu Severin (this one's curtain wall representing to a large extent the curtain of the province's time fort), remains to be confirmed.

TALBLE OF CONTENS

The beginning word/p. 5.

Cap. I. Preliminaries/p. 9.

I.1. Why a work dedicated to *The* castra *of* Dacia *subsequent their abandonment by the Roman Army*? On the issue/p. 9.

I.2. The establishing of the methodological principles/p. 12.

I.3. The *limes*-ul and the *castra* of *Dacia*. The *status* for the research of the *castra*/p. 18.

I.4. Special cases – theoretical approches/p. 27.

I.4.1. *Castra* in the territory of the future province of *Dacia* prior to Trajanic wars/p. 28.

I.4.2. Marching camps from the time of the Dacian-Roman Wars/p. 29.

I.5. On the abandonment of the castra from *Dacia*. Temporal details and the postabandonment state of preservation/p. 30.

I.5.1. Temporal details/p. 30.

I.5.2. The post-abandonment state of preservation/p. 41.

I.6. On the comparison and analogies/p. 46.

Cap. II. The phenomenon of reusing the former *castra* in the large context of Postroman reusing of Roman material culture elements/p. 52.

Cap. III. Abandoned *castra* and their civilian reuse in The Roman Epoch/p. 57.

III.1. For the beginning/p. 57.

III.2. Historiographical issues/p. 59.

III.3. Antecedents in the Republic's time. Cases in the Principate epoch outside *Dacia*/p.60.

III.4. On the juridical status of the military abandoned *castra* in *Dacia*/p. 66.

III.5. Civilian reuses of the abandoned *castra* in *Dacia*/p. 82.

III.5.1. Sighişoara/p. 82.

III.5.2. Colonia Ulpia Traiana Sarmizegetusa – Sarmizegetusa/p. 84.

III.5.3. Vărădia/p. 86.

III.5.4. Bersobis - Berzovia/p. 87.

III.5.5. Schela Cladovei/p. 88.

III.5.6. Vicus Apulum – Alba Iulia/p. 89.

III.V.7. Samum - Cășeiu/p. 90.

III.V.8. Arutela – Bivolari/p. 91.

III.6. A perspective on the issue/p. 92.

Cap. IV. Viața în fostele castre ale *Daciei* după retragerea aureliană/p. 95.

IV.I. Suum quique dare – predecessors in tackling some aspects of the thematic/p. 95.

IV.2. The Postroman evolution of the *castra* in the foreign historiography, with special regard to the German one/p. 106.

IV.3. Postroman habitation and burial in the former *castra* from the territories of *Germania Superior* and *Raetia* across the Rhine and Danube/p. 111.

IV.4. The life in the former *castra* of *Dacia* subsequent the Aurelianic's evacuation and aspects of the last 3rd century quarter-11th century period's research/p. 116.

IV.5. Postroman discoveries within the former castra of Dacia – the cases/p. 118.

IV.5.1. Alba-Iulia – Apulum/p. 118.

IV.5.2. Bologa-Rucconium?/p. 127.

IV.5.3. Brâncovenești - Patridava/p. 128.

IV.5.4. Comalău/Comolău - Cumidava/Comidava/p. 129.

IV.5.5. Feldioara/p. 133.

IV.5.6. Gherla/p. 134.

IV.5.7. Gilău-Ulpianum?/p. 137.

IV.5.8. Răcarii de Jos (fost Răcari) - Amutrium/Amutria?/p. 140.

IV.5.9. Râşnov-Cumidava/p. 151.

IV.5.10. Românași-Largiana/p. 152.

IV.5.11. Romita/Brusturi-Certiae/p. 152.

IV.5.12. Sărățeni-Carsidava?/p. 153.

IV.5.13. Turda-Potaissa/p. 156.

IV.5.14. Veţel-Micia/p. 172.

IV.6. Postroman discoveries within the former castra of *Dacia* – uncertain cases/p. 178.

IV.6.1. Câmpulung-Muscel – Pescăreasa/p. 178.

IV.6.2. Ibănești/p. 179.

IV.6.3. Ilişua-*Arcobara/Arcobadara/p. 180.

IV.6. 4. Olteni/p. 183.

IV. 7. Postroman reuse or Late Roman fortification? – the case from Jupa-*Tibiscum*/p. 184.

IV.8. A study case – Slăveni/p. 187.

IV.9. The life in the former *castra* of *Dacia* subsequent the Aurelianic's evacuation – a variant of conclusions/p. 189.

Cap. V. The former castra of Dacia in "fully" and Late Middle Ages/p. 208.

V.1. On the issue and the historical writing dedicated to it/p. 208.

V.2. The cases/p. 211.

V.2.1. Alba Iulia/p. 211.

V.2.2. Câmpulung-Muscel – Pescăreasa/p. 212.

V.2.3. Copăceni/p. 212.

V.2.4. Drobeta-Turnu Severin/p. 213.

V.2.5. Romita/Brusturi/p. 214.

V.2.6. Turda/p. 214.

V.2.7. Olteni/p. 215.

V.2.8. Răcarii de Jos/p. 216.

V.2.9. Râşnov/p. 216.

V.3. Digression: The Medieval reuse of the of the former Late Roman/Byzantine fortification's structures on the Danube line – the sector corresponding to the former province of *Dacia*/p. 216.

V.3.1. Ostrovul (Insula) Banului/Golu/p. 216.

V.3.2. Gornea/p. 217.

V.3.3. Celei/p. 217.

Cap. VI. Archaeological presmises – historical conclusions/p. 219.

Cap. VII. Bibliographical abbreviations/p. 221.

VI.1. Abbreviations of periodicals, *corpora* and volumes series/p. 221.

VI.2. Abbreviations of the works-fontes/p. 232.

VII.3. Abbreviations if the author works and of miscellaneous volumes/p. 233. Illustration.