BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY CLUJ NAPOCA FACULTY OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF PHILOSOPHY

The Problem of Phenomenal Consciousness and Intentionality

DOCTORAL THESIS

ABSTRACT

Scientific Coordonator:
Prof. univ. dr. Carol Veress

PhD Candidate: Levente Papp

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
1.1. The basic questions of the thesis	4
1.2. Short introduction to the problems and my hypotheses	5
1.3. The importance of the topic	10
1.4. Aims, methodology of my research and procedures	11
2. The context of the research: cognitive sciences, analytical philosophy of mind a	
phenomenology	
2.1. The encounter of the three fields of research	
2.2. Methodological and epistemological issues of the three fields of research	
2.2.1. Psychology and cognitive sciences	
2.2.2. Analytical philosophy of mind	
2.2.3. Phenomenology	
2.3. The relationship among the three fields of research along ontological questions	
2.3.1. The problem of the ontology of mind	
2.3.2. The program of naturalism	
2.4. Other points of connection among the three fields of research	29
3. The conscious side of the mental: phenomenality and intentionality	33
3.1. Introductory distinctions: the basic meaning of consciousness	33
3.2. General characteristics of consciousness	39
3.3. The structure of intentionality and its basic meaning	43
3.3.1. The structure of intentionality	43
3.3.2 Are all conscious mental states intentional?	45
3.4. Qualitativity, phenomenality	49
3.4.1. The essence of qualia	49
3.4.2. Consciousness and qualia	54
3.4.3. The question of generalisation concerning qualia	56
3.4.4. The description of three viewpoints	57
3.4.5. Arguments for the distinctive reality of cognitive phenomenology	58
3.5. Further critique of separatism	61
4. The mental world: consciousness, intentionality and the unconscious	68
4.1. The concept and meaning of the mental world	
4.1.1. Phenomenal consciousness as the criterion of the mental	
4.1.2. Intentionality as the criterion of the mental	
4.2. The concept of the unconscious mental	
4.2.1. The cognitive subconscious	
4.2.2. Dispositions and other unconscious mental states	
4.2.3. The Freudian subconscious	
4.2.4. Pathological and hypothetical cases (disorders and thought experiments)	85

5. The <i>hard</i> problem of consciousness and the <i>easy</i> problem of intentionality	87
5.1. The <i>hard</i> problem of consciousness: phenomenality	87
5.2. The <i>easy</i> problem of intentionality: the psychological and phenomenal mind	89
5.3. The other side of the <i>hard</i> problem and Chalmers' thought experiment	95
6. Three fundamental problems originating from Chalmers' philosophy	98
6.1. The interpretation of epiphenomenalism	98
6.1.1. About the essential relation of consciousness to intentionality and to the mental	99
6.1.2. Why is the <i>naive</i> view problematic?	.101
6.2. Conscious inessentialism and the evolutionary mystery of consciousness	. 103
6.3. The distinction between two fundamental strategies	. 105
7. Consciousness and intentionality from the perspective of functionalism	.109
7.1. The interpretation of functionalism	.109
7.2. Why is functionalism unsatisfactory?	.111
7.3. Why is functionalism not capable of naturalising intentionality?	.114
7.4. Cognitive sciences and the computational theory of mind	.115
7.5. Intentionality and pseudo-intentionality	.125
8. The dependence of intentionality on phenomenal consciousness	.128
8.1. Conscious intentionality and unconscious representation	.128
8.2. Phenomenal intentionality	.134
9. The question concerning the function of phenomenal consciousness	.142
9.1. Evolution and the mind; the function of consciousness	.143
9.2. The debate surrounding blindsight and the function of consciousness	. 147
9.3. The rejection of Chalmers' thought experiment	.156
10. Conclusions	.164
Bibliography	.170

Keywords

mental world, mind, intentionality, representation, phenomenal consciousness, qualia, experience, unconscious, epiphenomenalism, functionalism, naturalism, reduction, phenomenal intentionality

The Problem of Phenomenal Consciousness and Intentionality

Summary

My doctoral thesis is on the one hand about the relationship between intentionality and phenomenality and on the other hand about the function of phenomenal consciousness and its role in the mental world. The central issue of my thesis is concerned with the way the relationship between these two categories of mental life develops. I believe that if we establish some kind of theory about the relationship between intentionality and phenomenality this can affect the way we interpret the function of phenomenal consciousness. First I am analysing the relationship between representation and phenomenality and by using these results as starting points I am deducing the function of consciousness from them.

Phenomenal consciousness and intentionality refer to the two most general categories and the most significant aspects of mental life. While the first one refers to that qualitative experiential aspect of experience which is always *something it is like to have it* subjectively, the second one refers to those characteristics of mental acts that they are directed at something, they present or represent something and they aim at something.

In the first part of my thesis I delineate two different tendencies. On the one hand I argue against the so called *separatism* that basically refers to a methodological and tactical move according to which these two aspects of mental life are separable. Behind this disassociation there lies the assumption that between intentionality and phenomenal consciousness one can only imply a contingent relationship. This tendency relates in the same time to the hope that although phenomenal consciousness is truly a hard problem for reductive naturalism, if one separates intentionality from phenomenal consciousness, intentionality may not seem as hard as far as the explanation of the mental world is concerned. On the other hand I am also making an attempt at arguing against *reductive strategies* since they try to deduce or reduce and explain consciousness using intentionality as a frame.

Beyond the two theoretical options which constitute the object of my criticism I am committing myself on a third option according to which intentionality is rooted in phenomenality, or rather there is an *essential* relationship between the two. I argue for the idea that first of all, although in a different way, all conscious mental acts are intentional and secondly, that all conscious mental states are characterised by phenomenality and these two aspects do not only relate to each other in a contingent way. In virtue of this I am presenting my viewpoint in the second part of my thesis using as a starting point the strong dependence

between intentionality and phenomenality. In my opinion phenomenal experience cannot be epiphenomenal and in the same time we have strong reasons to consider it an adaptive ability thanks to the reasons mentioned above according to which it enables a distinctive intentionality.

In the introductory chapter of my thesis I am describing the fundamental questions of my thesis, I am giving an outline of the problems in question and of my hypotheses related to this, indicating my own viewpoint.

In my second chapter I am outlining the disciplinary context of my thesis and introduce some basic characteristics of those three trends and traditions which are relevant to my research. It is about the encounter of the *analytical philosophy of mind*, *phenomenology*, *psychology* and *cognitive sciences*. In this chapter I am not trying to plunge into detailed definitions and interpretations which are related to the issues and concepts introduced so far. Here I am strictly outlining a general frame where I am clarifying different ample epistemological, methodological and ontological issues connected to the three areas mentioned before. In my thesis I put emphasis especially on the literature of the last few decades and on contemporary analytical literature and I am drawing phenomenology into this in a rather restrained way. I would like to indicate that my thesis should not be considered an attempt at doing a monumental comparative work but it settles for building some descriptive characterisations from the field of phenomenology in my system of arguments.

In my third chapter I am specifying the terminological frame of my thesis and within this I am outlining, defining and interpreting the two basic categories of my thesis, namely phenomenal consciousness and intentionality. By leaning on the basic definitions of intentionality and phenomenality I argue for two general theses: a) though in a different sense, all conscious mental states have intentionality and b) all conscious states are qualitative.

Although the qualitative aspect is only one characteristic of consciousness (next to transcendence, unity, dynamical flow, minimal self-consciousness, etc.), it is a characteristic that is connected to experience in the following sense: we can talk about mental states that are not conscious but as long as there is consciousness this entails experientiality. When we talk about phenomenality we should not only think of sensations, feelings and emotions (for example the taste of dark coffee, the colour of bright green, the sensations of ice cold water or the surface of hard iron, the experience of stinging pain, the feeling of cheerfulness), but of higher cognitive processes as long as they are conscious. The experience of understanding can be considered an example of the latter. Misunderstanding something, understanding something well, vaguely understanding something or not understanding something at all is not

only a cognitive act that I am witnessing but also a qualitative-experiential experience. By virtue of this we can state that there is a specific *cognitive phenomenology* which would be wrong to think of as one that only refers to the mental images connected to thoughts, the phenomenality of imaginative or linguistic elements.

Furthermore, it is not enough to say that all conscious experience is qualitative, but also that in some way all conscious experience is intentional. Not only propositional attitudes, like beliefs and thoughts are aimed at something, but perception, sensation and the emotional world is also intentional. At this point we can argue in two ways. On the one hand, we could state that experiences such as pain are aimed at an object since in some way they represent the person's own body or are directed at some kind of abnormality in the body. On the other hand, we could also argue that those experiences or emotions that are not aimed at concrete objects (for example a depressive mood that does not have a specific object) do not enclose us within ourselves but influence the way we open up to the world. In both cases we are talking about some kind of intentionality. This thesis can be generalised in the following way: all conscious states, though in a different sense, are characterised by intentionality.

In the fourth chapter I am first dealing with the question of what the criterion of *mental* is, meaning what factors make it possible to talk about an entity or a system as a minded being. My answers reveal that here we are faced with many uncertainties. Following this I point out that the Cartesian viewpoint, according to which mental states are exclusively conscious states, is an untenable viewpoint. I am trying to separate the different meanings of the unconscious or not conscious mentality (cognitive subconscious, Freudian unconscious, etc.) with the aim of introducing the possible meanings of differentiating intentionality from phenomenal experience. By doing this I am trying to prove that it is too radical to argue for the idea that intentionality is exclusively possible with phenomenal experience using as a starting point the close relationship between phenomenality and intentionality. In other words: we can talk about unconscious representations in several different senses.

In my fifth chapter I am trying to sum up David Chalmers' viewpoint on the relationship between consciousness and intentionality based on his book *The Conscious Mind*. For the time being I disregard the question in what way the author's point of view has changed over the years. I am not giving a full and concise summary of his book, but I am putting emphasis on ideas which are relevant to my thesis and which provide the basis for the system of arguments of the following chapters. Chalmers' philosophy constitutes in this work a specific form of separatism regarding the relationship between intentionality and phenomenality and this is what I question throughout my thesis.

In my sixth chapter I am reflecting on one possible important consequence of Chalmers' train of thought, namely the doctrine of *epiphenomenalism*. In connection with this I am analysing two related ideas, according to which consciousness is inessential in the mental life, being a side product of evolution. In this chapter I am only defining these similar thoughts and analysing the relationships between them. The ninth chapter can be seen as a continuation of this part and deals with the counterarguments and the dissolving of these issues.

My aim in the seventh chapter is to interpret functionalism and the computational theory of mind. This is a rather important step in my critique aimed at Chalmers' train of thought, since the assumption of Chalmers' separatism is his belief that intentionality is not only independent of phenomenality but the former can be merely explained by referring to the causal mechanism of a system. Chalmers is of the opinion that cognitive sciences and functionalism are capable of explaining the intentional processes of the mind and so intentionality can be regarded as a relatively easy problem. Although Chalmers agrees with those who criticise functionalism because these views forget about the subjectivity of experience, he believes that this barrier refers only to the phenomenality of experience but not to the representational ability of the mind. We have to be careful though not to fall into the trap of Chalmers' train of thought which can easily lead in the direction of the reductionist approach of cognition. Even if we separated phenomenal consciousness from intentionality and cognition – although this would be an elementary mistake- it would be impossible to give a suitable reductive naturalistic explanation of the two latter by referring to the functional neurological and computational processes. To demonstrate the latter idea is the aim of my seventh chapter which can be considered an important stage of my whole system of arguments.

In my eighth chapter I argue for the idea that the *hard* problem implies not only phenomenal consciousness but intentionality as well, since intentionality is in some way dependent on consciousness. The experiential characteristic of our conscious mental life cannot be separated from the intentional character of mental acts. In my subjective perception the characteristic ways through which my environment or my body etc. seems to me the way it is can be considered intentional phases. Consequently, in conscious experience qualia and representation do not link in a merely contingent way and that is why they cannot be treated separately from each other. There are two sides of a coin. What experience *is about* and what experience *is like* are inseparably connected. According to one radical strategy it is all the same whether intentionality is present in a conscious or an unconscious medium. One counter argument of this idea leads to another extreme, according to which it makes no sense to talk

about intentionality outside consciousness. Between these two extreme viewpoints I would place the middle ground I will then unfold and accept in this chapter. I believe we cannot get rid of the widespread viewpoint according to which many of our mental-intentional states do not exist in the light of experience, but this does not mean that representation that has its roots and is well founded in phenomenality does not have its own distinctive characteristics. Since we can talk about nonconscious mental processes in many senses, in some respect we have to allow the existence of nonconscious intentionality. This latter idea requires further clarification and the thesis which states that there is such a thing as nonconscious form of intentionality has its limits.

In my opinion it would be wrong to complete the idea of the close connection between the two highlighted phenomena of my thesis with the following strategy: since phenomenal consciousness is intentional and intentionality can be dealt with in a reductive way within some kind of causal or functional frame, a *reductive* explanation of consciousness is also possible. From the close relationship between phenomenality and intentionality follows the *irreducible* character of both in the sense that both of them refer to the hard problem which also resists the explanations based on natural mechanisms.

As I have already pointed out in my sixth chapter the question of epihenomenalism, that of the function of consciousness and of conscious inessentialism refer to one another in a circular way and are related to the same topic, though with certain distinctions. My last chapter is the direct continuation and critique of the train of thought defined in the sixth chapter. Using as a starting point the idea of the close relationship between phenomenality and intentionality my aim here is to argue for the idea that phenomenal consciousness has an essential role in mental life and in representation and although we do not yet scientifically understand how this can be causally effective, we have good reasons to presume that phenomenal consciousness has a rather important role in shaping one's mental world and behaviour.

My thesis ends with the *conclusion* according to which we do not value our experiences just for the reason that they enable us certain abilities. Even if we managed to accomplish the same behavioural patterns without having phenomenal consciousness, we would still miss something: we would miss the wonder through which it is possible to have a rich and diverse subjective world of experiences. In my point of view it is clear that this qualitative dimension has a value that goes beyond the importance of phenomenal consciousness in the explanation of behaviour, the realization of representations or the importance of experiences in the question of mental causality, mind-body problem or the technical puzzles of epistemology etc.

The reality of the subjective world of experiences points beyond these issues and I think it has a basic importance not just in ethics, aesthetics or axiology, but firstly in the existential questions of our human life.

Bibliography

- BAARS, Bernard J.: In the Theater of Consciousness. Global Workspace Theory, A Rigorous Scientific Theory of Consciousness. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 4, No. 4. 1997. 292–309.
- 2. BAYNE, Tim-MONTAGUE, Michelle (ed.): *Cognitive Phenomenology*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2011.
- 3. BLOCK, Ned: *Consciousness, Function and Representation*. Collected Papers, Volume I, A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 2007.
- 4. BLOCK, Ned (ed.): *Readings in Philosophy of Psychology*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- 5. BLOCK, Ned- FLANAGAN, Owen-GÜZELDERE, Güven (ed.): The Nature of Consciousness. Philosophical Debates. A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge-Massachusetts, London-England, 1997.
- 6. BRENTANO, Franz: *Psychology from an Empirical Standpoint*. Routledge, London, 2009.
- 7. CARRUTHERS, Peter: Language, Thought and Consciousness. An Essay in Philosophical Psychology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- 8. CARRUTHERS, Peter: *Phenomenal Consciousness. A Naturalistic Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003 (2000).
- 9. CARRUTHERS, Peter: *The Nature of the Mind. An Introduction*. Routledge. New York and London, 2004.
- 10. CHALMERS, David J.: A tudatos tapasztalat rejtélye. In: *Kellék* 27-28, Telegdi-Csetri Áron (ford.), Kolozsvár-Nagyvárad-Szeged, 2005. 7–18.
- 11. CHALMERS, David J.: Facing Up to the Problem of Consciousness. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 2 (3), 1995. 200–219.
- 12. CHALMERS, David J.: Moving Forward on the Problem of Consciousness. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 4 (1). 1997. 3–46.

- 13. CHALMERS, David J.: Subsymbolic Computation and the Chinese Room. In Dinsmore, J. (ed.): Erlbaum, Lawrence *The Symbolic and Connectionist Paradigms:* Closing the Gap, 1992.
- 14. CHALMERS, David J.: *The Character of Consciousness*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2010.
- 15. CHALMERS, David J.: *The Conscious Mind. In Search of a Theory of Conscious Experience*. University of California, Santa Cruz, 1995.
- 16. CHURCHLAND, Patricia Smith: *Neurophilosophy. Toward a Unified Science of the Mind/Brain.* MIT Press, Cambridge-Massachusetts, 1989 (1986).
- 17. CHURCHLAND, Paul M.: Eliminative Materialism and the Propositional Attitudes. In: *Journal of Philosophy* 78, 1981. 67-90.
- 18. CLARK, Andy: *Being There. Putting Brain, Body, and World Together again.* A Bradford Book. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1998
- 19. CLARK, Andy: *Mindware. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Cognitive Science.*New York-Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2001.
- 20. CLAYTON, Philip–DAVIES, Paul (ed.): *The Re-Emergence of the Emergence. The Emergentist Hypothesis from Science to Religion.* Oxford University Press, 2006.
- 21. CRANE, Tim: *Elements of Mind. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Mind.* Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.
- 22. CRANE, Tim: Intentionality as the Mark of the Mental. In O'Hear, Anthony (ed.): Contemporary Issues in the Philosophy of Mind. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- 23. CRANE, Tim (ed.): *The Contents of Experience. Essays on Perception*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- 24. CRANE, Tim: The Intentional Structure of Consciousness. In Jokic, A. and Smith, Q. (ed.) Consciousness: New Philosophical Perspectives, Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York, 2003.
- 25. CRICK, Francis–KOCH, Cristof: Consciousness and Neuroscience. In: *Cerebral Cortex*, Vol. 8, No. 2. 1998. 97–107.
- 26. DAMASIO, Antonio R.: Descartes' Error. Emotion, Reason and the Human Brain. Avon Books, New York, 1994.
- 27. DAMASIO, Antonio: *Self Comes to Mind. Constructing the Conscious Brain.* Pantheon Books, New York. 2010.
- 28. DAMASIO, Antonio R.: *The Feeling of What Happens. Body and Emotion in the Making of Consciousness.* Harcourt Brace §Company, New York, 1999.

- 29. DENNETT, Daniel C.: *Consciousness Explained*. Back Bay Books/Little, Brown and Company, New York, 1991.
- 30. DENNETT, Daniel C.: Content and Consciousness. Routledge, London, 1996.
- 31. DENNETT, Daniel C.: *The Intentional Stance*. A Bradford Book. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1998 (1989).
- 32. DENNETT, Daniel C.: Quining Qualia.In Lycan, W. (ed.) *Mind and Cognition: A Reader*, MIT Press, 1990.
- 33. DENNETT, Daniel C: True Believers: The Intentional Strategy and Why it Works. . In Haugeland, John (ed.): *Mind Design II*, The MIT Press, 1997.
- 34. DENNETT, Daniel C.: Who's On First? Heterophenomenology Explained. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, Vol. 10 (9-10), 2003. 19–30.
- 35. DESCARTES, René: Értekezés a módszerről. Szemere Samu (transl.) (1637), Ikon Kiadó, Budapest. 1993.
- 36. DRETSKE, Fred: Experience as Representation. In: *Philosophical Issues* 13, Philosophy of Mind, 2003. 67-82.
- 37. DRETSKE, Fred: *Explaining Behavior. Reasons in a World of Causes.* The MIT Press, 1998.
- 38. DRETSKE, Fred: Naturalizing the Mind. MIT Press, 1995.
- 39. DRETSKE, Fred: Phenomenal Externalism or If Meanings Ain't in the Head, Where Are Qualia? In: *Philosophical Issues* 7, Perception. 1996. 143–158.
- 40. DRETSKE, Fred: Reasons and Causes. In: *Philosophical Perspectives 3, Philosophy of Mind and Action Theory. Ridgeview Publishing Company,* 1989. 1–15.
- 41. DRETSKE, Fred: What Good is Consciousness? In: *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 27 (1). 1997. 1–15.
 - [http://users.ecs.soton.ac.uk/harnad/Papers/Py104/dretske.good.html]
- 42. DREYFUS, Hubert L.: *What Computers Can't Do Of Artificial Reason*. Harper§Row Publishers, New York, 1972.
- 43. FLANAGAN, Owen: *Consciousness Reconsidered*. A Bradford Book. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1992.
- 44. FLANAGAN, Owen–POLGER, Thomas W.: Zombies and the Function of Consciousness. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 2 (4), 1995. 313–321.
- 45. FODOR, Jerry A.: *Concepts. Where Cognitive Science Went Wrong.* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.

- 46. FODOR, Jerry A.: Fodor's Guide to Mental Representation: The Intelligent Auntie's Vade Mecum. In: *Mind* 94 (373), 1985. 76–100.
- 47. FODOR, Jerry A.: *Psychosemantics. The Problem of Meaning in the Philosophy of Mind.* A Bradford Book. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1987.
- 48. FODOR, Jerry A.: Representations. Philosophical Essays on the Foundations of Cognitive Science. The Harvester Press, Brighton, 1981.
- 49. FODOR, Jerry A.: *The Language of Thought.* Thomas Y. Crowell Company, New York, 1975.
- 50. FODOR, Jerry A.: The Mind-Body Problem. In: *Scientific American*244, 1981. 114–125.
- 51. FODOR, Jerry A.: The Mind Doesn't Work That Way. The Scope and Limits of Computational Psychology. A Bradford Book. The MIT Press, Cambridge— Massachusetts, London–England, 2000.
- 52. FODOR, Jerry A.: Troubles with Functionalism. In Savage, Wade C. (ed.): *Perception and Cognition: Issues in the Foundations of Psychology (Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science)*. University of Minnesota Press, 1978
- 53. GALLAGHER, Shaun: Where's the action? Epiphenomenalism and the problem of free will. In: Banks, W., Pockett, S., and Gallagher, S. *Does Consciousness Cause Behavior? An Investigation of the Nature of Volition*. MIT Press, Cambridge, 2006. 109–124.
- 54. GALLAGHER, Shaun–ZAHAVI, Dan: *A fenomenológiai elme. Bevezetés az elmefilozófiába és a kognitív tudományba.* Váradi Péter (transl.). Lélekben Otthon Kiadó, Budapest, 2008.
- 55. HAGGARD, Patrick-LIBET, Benjamin: Conscious Intention and Brain Activity. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies*8, No. 11, 2001. 47–63.
- 56. HARNAD, Stevan: Why and How We Are Not Zombies. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 1, 1995, 164–167.
- 57. HORGAN, Terence–TIENSON, John: The Intentionality of Phenomenology and the Phenomenology of Intentionality. In: Chalmers, David J. (ed.): *Philosophy of Mind: Classical and Contemporary Readings*. Oxford University Press, 2002, 520–533.
- 58. HORST, W. Stewen: *Symbols, Computation and Intentionality. A Critique of the Computational Theory of Mind.* Berkeley –Los Angeles–London, University of California Press, 1996.

- 59. HUSSERL, Edmund: A *filozófia mint szigorú tudomány*. Baránszky Jób László (transl.). Kossuth Könyvkiadó, 1993.
- 60. HUSSERL, Edmund: *Karteziánus elmélkedések. Bevezetés a fenomenológiába.* Mezei Balázs (transl.). Atlantisz, Budapest, 2000.
- 61. HUXLEY, Thomas. H.: On the Hypothesis that Animals are Automata, and its History. In: *The Fortnightly Review* 16 (New Series).1874. 555–580.Reprinted in Method and Results: Essays by Thomas H. Huxley, New York: D. Appleton and Company, 1898.
- 62. JACKENDOFF, Ray: *Consciousness and the Computational Mind.* A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1994 (1990).
- 63. JACKSON, Frank: Epiphenomenal Qualia. In: *The Philosophical Quarterly* Vol. 32, No. 127, Blackwell Publishing for The Philosophical Quarterly, 1982, 127–136.
- 64. JAMES, William: Does 'Consciousness' Exist? In: *The Journal of Philosophy, Psychology and Scientific Methods*. Vol.1, No. 18, Journal of Philosophy Inc., 1904. 477–491.
- 65. JAMES, William: *The Principles of Psychology*. Dover Publications, New York, 1950.
- 66. KIM, Jaegwon: Making Sense of Emergence. In: *Philosophical Studies* 95 (1-2), 1999. 3–36
- 67. KOLAK, Daniel [et al.]: Cognitive Science. An introduction to Mind and Brain. Routledge, 2006.
- 68. KRIEGEL, Uriah: Is Intentionality Dependent Upon Consciousness? In: *Philosophical Studies* 116, Kluwer Academic Publishers, the Netherlands, 2003.271–307.
- 69. KRIEGEL, Uriah: Naturalizing Subjective Character. In: *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* Vol. 71, No. 1, 2005. 23–57.
- 70. KRIEGEL, Uriah (ed.): Phenomenal Intentionality. Oxford University Press, New York, 2013.
- 71. KRIEGEL, Uriah: Phenomenal Intentionality Past and Present: Introductory. In: *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences* 12 (3), 2013. 437–444.
- 72. KRIEGEL, Uriah: Subjective Consciousness. A Self-Representational Theory. Oxford University Press, New York, 2009.
- 73. KRIEGEL, Uriah: The Functional Role of Consciousness: A Phenomenological Approach. In: *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences* 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004. 171–193.

- 74. KRIEGEL, Uriah: The Phenomenal Intentionality Research Program. In Uriah Kriegel (ed.): *Phenomenal Intentionality*, Oxford University Press, 2013.
- 75. KRIEGEL, Uriah: *The Sources of Intentionality*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2011.
- 76. LEHMANN Miklós: A dinamikus elme. Trezor kiadó, Budapest, 2008.
- 77. LEVIN, Janet: Functionalism. In: Zalta, Edward N. (ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2013.
 - [http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/functionalism/]
- 78. LEVINE, Joseph: Materialism and Qualia: The Explanatory Gap. In: *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 64, University of Southern California, 1983. 354–361.
- 79. LEVINE, Joseph: *Purple Haze. The Puzzle of Consciousness.* Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.
- 80. LIBET, Benjamin: Can Conscious Experience Affect Brain Activity? In: *Journal of Conscious Studies* 10 (12), 2003. 24–28.
- 81. LIBET, Benjamin: Do We Have Free Will? In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies*6, No. 8-9, 1999. 47–57.
- 82. LOAR, Brian: Phenomenal Intentionality as the Basis of Mental Content. In Hahn, Martin &Ramberg, B. (ed.): *Reflections and Replies: Essays on the Philosophy of Tyler Burge*. Mit Press. 2003. 229–258
- 83. LOWE, E. J.: *An Introduction to the Philosophy of Mind*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004 (2000).
- 84. LOWE, E. J.: There are no Easy Problems of Consciousness. In: *Journal of Conscious Studies* 2 (3), 1995. 266–271.
- 85. LYCAN, William G.: *Consciousness*. A Bradford Book, MIT Press, Cambridge-Massachusetts, 1987.
- 86. LYONS, William: *Approaches to Intentionality*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2002 (1995).
- 87. MARR, David: Vision. A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. MIT Press. 2010.(1982).
- 88. McDOWELL, John: *Mind and World. With a New Introduction*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge-Massachusetts, London-England, 2000 (1994).
- 89. McDOWELL, John: The Content of Perceptual Experience. In: *The Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 44, No. 175, 1994. 190–205.

- 90. McGINN, Colin: *The Character of Mind. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Mind.*Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- 91. McINTYRE, Ronald–SMITH, David Woodruff: Theory of Intentionality. In *Mohanty*, *J. N.* –McKenna, William R. (ed.): *Husserl's Phenomenology: A Textbook*. Washington, D. C.: Center for Advanced Research in Phenomenology and University Press of America, 1989. 147-79.
- 92. MILLIKAN, Ruth Garrett: Biosemantics. In: *The Journal of Philosophy* Volume 86, No. 6, Journal of Philosophy Inc., 1989. 281–297.
- 93. MILLIKAN, Ruth Garrett: Language, Thought and Other Bilogical Categories. New Foundations for Realism. The MIT Press, 1984.
- 94. MURPHY, Nancey-ELLIS, George F.R.-O'CONNOR, Timothy (ed.): *Downward Causation and the Neurobiology of Free Will*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009.
- 95. NAGEL, Thomas: *The View from Nowhere*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1989 (1986).
- 96. NAGEL, Thomas: What is it Like to Be a Bat? In: *Philosophical Review* 83 (4), 1974. 435–450.
- 97. NÁNAY Bence: Elme és evolúció. Az elmefilozófia és a kognitív tudomány evolúciós megközelítése, Kávé Kiadó, 2000.
- 98. NEWELL, Allen–SIMON, Herbert A.: Computer Science as Empirical Inquiry: Symbols and Search. In: *Communications of the Association for Computing Machinery* 19, 1981. 113–126.
- 99. PAPINEAU, David: Philosophical Naturalism. Blackwell Publisher, Oxford, 1993.
- 100. PAPINEAU, David: *Thinking about Consciousness*. Oxford University Press, 2004 (2002).
- 101. PARVIZI, Josef–DAMASIO, Antonio: Consciousness and the Brainstem. In: *Cognition* 79, Elsevier, 2001. 135–159.
- 102. PEACOCKE, Christopher: Does Perception Have a Nonconceptual Content? In: *The Journal of Philosophy* Vol. 98, No. 5. Journal of Philosophy Inc. 2001.239–264.
- 103. PEACOCKE, Christopher: Phenomenology and Nonconceptual Content. In: *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* Vol. 62, No. 3. International Phenomenological Society. 2001.609–615.
- 104. PINKER, Steven: *Hogyan működik az elme?* Csibra Gergely (transl.) Osiris Kiadó, Budapest, 2002.

- 105. PINKER, Steven: So How Does the Mind Work? In: *Mind & Language* Vol. 20 No.1, Blackwell Publishing, 2005. 1–24.
- 106. POCKETT, Susan–BANKS, William P.–GALLAGHER, Shaun (ed.): *Does Consciousness Cause Behavior?* The MIT Press, Cambridge-Massachusetts, London-England, 2006.
- 107. PUTNAM, Hilary: *Representation and Reality*, A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 2001 (1991).
- 108. PUTNAM, Hilary: The Nature of Mental States. In Putnam, Hilary: *Art, Mind and Religion*. University of Pittsburgh Press, 1967.
- 109. PYLYSYN, Zenon W.: *Things and Places. How The Mind Connects with the World.*A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge-Massachusetts, London-England, 2007.
- 110. ROBINSON, William S.: Epiphenomenalism. In: *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, 2011 (1999).
 - [http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/epiphenomenalism/]
- 111. ROSENTHAL, David M.: Consciousness and its Function. In: *Neuropsychologia*46, Elsevier, 2008. 829–840.
- 112. ROSENTHAL, David M.: *Consciousness and Mind*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2005.
- 113. RYLE, Gilbert: The Concept of Mind. Peregrine Books, 1963 (1949).
- 114. SEARLE, John R.: Consciousness. Posted on KurzweilAI.net August 13, 2001, originally published October 8, 1999 as an academic paper, University of California at Berkeley.
 - [http://www.kurzweilai.net/consciousness]
- 115. SEARLE, John R.: Consciousness, Unconsciousness and Intentionality. In: *Philosophical Issues* Vol. I, *Consciousness*, Ridgeview Publishing Company, 1991. 44–66.
- 116. SEARLE, John R.: Freedom and Neurobiology. Reflections on Free Will, Language, and Political Power. Columbia University Press, New York, 1983.
- 117. SEARLE, John R.: Intentionality and Method. In: *The Journal of Philosophy* Vol.78, No.11, Seventy-Eighth Annual Meeting of the American Philosophical Association Eastern Division. Journal of Philosophy Inc., Nov., 1981. 720–733.
- 118. SEARLE, John R.: *Intentionality. An Essay in the Philosophy of Mind.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.

- 119. SEARLE, John R.: *Mind. A Brief Introduction*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2004.
- 120. SEARLE, John R.: *Minds, Brains and Science*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2003 (1984)
- 121. SEARLE, John R.: Ratonality in Action. MIT Press. 2001.
- 122. SEARLE, John R.: *The Mystery of Consciousness*. The New York Review of Books, New York, 1997.
- 123. SEARLE, John R.: *The Rediscovery of the Mind*. A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1992.
- 124. SEARLE, John R.: What is an Intentional State? In: Mind. *New Series* Vol. 88, No. 349. Jan., Oxford University Press, 1979. 74–92.
- 125. SEARLE, John R: Why I Am Not a Property Dualist. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 9 (12), 2002. 57–64.
- 126. SHEAR, Jonathan (ed.): *Explaining Consciousness- The 'Hard Problem'*. A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1997.
- 127. SHOEMAKER, Sydney: Functionalism and Qualia. In: *Philosophical Studies* 27,D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht-Holland,1975. 291–315.
- 128. SHOEMAKER, Sydney: Qualia and Consciousness. In: *Mind* Vol. C. 4, Oxford University Press, 1991.
- 129. SIEWERT, Charles P.: Consciousness and Intentionality. In Zalta, Edward N. (ed.): The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2011. [http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/consciousness-intentionality/]
- 130. SIEWERT, Charles P.: *The Significance of Consciousness*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1998.
- 131. SMITH, Barry–SMITH, David Woodruff (ed.): *The Cambridge Companion to Husserl*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995.
- 132. SMITH, David Woodruff: *Mind World. Essays in Phenomenology and Ontology*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2004.
- 133. SMITH, David Woodruff–THOMASSON, Amie L.: *Phenomenology and Philosophy of Mind.* Oxford University Press, New York, 2005.
- 134. SOKOLOWSKI, Robert: *Introduction to Phenomenology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

- 135. STRAWSON, Galen: Intentionality and Experience. Terminological Preliminaries. In Woodruff Smith, David & Thomasson, Amie L. (ed.): *Phenomenology and Philosophy of Mind*. Oxford University Press, 2005.
- 136. STRAWSON, Galen: *Mental Reality*. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 2010 (1994).
- 137. STRAWSON, Galen: Real Intentionality 3: Why Intentionality Entails Consciousness. In: *Real Materialism and Other Essays*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2008. 281–301.
- 138. SZIGETI Attila: *A testet öltött másik*: Kortárs fenomenológiai tanulmányok, Pro Philosophia Kiadó, Kolozsvár- Szeged, 2010
- 139. SZIGETI Attila: A tudatosság: rejtély vagy képesség? In: *Kellék* 25. Kolozsvár–Szeged, 2004.
- 140. THOMPSON, Evan: *Mind in Life. Biology, Phenomenology, and the Sciences of Mind.* The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 2007.
- 141. TURING, A. M.: On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*. 2-42 (1): 1937, 230–265.
- 142. TYE, Michael: A Representational Theory of Pains and their Phenomenal Character.
 In: *Philosophical Perspectives*, Vol. 9, AI, Connectionism and Philosophical Psychology, Ridgeview Publishing Company, 1995, 223–239.
- 143. TYE, Michael: Consciousness, Color and Content. MIT Press, 2002 (2000).
- 144. TYE, Michael: Consciousness Revisited. Materialism without Phenomenal Concepts.
 A Bradford Book, The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 2009.
- 145. TYE, Michael: *Ten Problems of Consciousness: A Representational Theory of the Phenomenal Mind Representation and Mind.* The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1995.
- 146. TYE, Michael: The Function of Consciousness. In: *Noûs*30 (3), Blackwell Publishing, 1996. 287–305.
- 147. TYE, Michael: Qualia. In Zalta, Edward N. (ed.): *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, 2013.
 - [http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2013/entries/qualia/]

- 148. VAN GULICK, Robert: Consciousness. In: *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, 2014 (2004).
 - [http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/consciousness/]
- 149. VARELA, Francisco J.–THOMPSON, Evan–ROSCH, Eleanor: *The Embodied Mind. Cognitive Science and Human Experience*. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 1993.
- 150. WEBER, Bruce: Life. In: *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, 2011 (2003). [http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/life/]
- 151. WEGNER, Daniel M.: *The Illusion of Conscious Will.* Bradford Books, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England, 2002 (1948).
- 152. WEISKRANTZ, Lawrence: *Blindsight. A Case Study and Implications*. Clarendon Press, 1986.
- 153. ZAHAVI, Dan: Intentionality and Experience. In: *Synthesis Philosophica* 40 (2), 2005. 299–318.
- 154. ZAHAVI, D.: Intentionality and Phenomenality: A Phenomenological Take on the Hard Problem. The Problem of Consciousness: New Essays in Phenomenological Philosophy of Mind. In: *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, Supplementary Volume, 2004.
- 155. ZAHAVI, D.: Phenomenal Consciousness And Self-Awareness: A Phenomenological Critique Of Representational Theory. In: *Journal of Consciousness Studies*5, No. 5–6, 1998. 687–705
- 156. ZAHAVI, D: Subjectivity and Selfhood. Investigating the First-Person Perspective.

 A Bradford Book. The MIT Press, Cambridge–Massachusetts, London–England, 2005.