

Power, Influence and Control through Language.
An Analysis of Romanian Political Discourse

Teză de doctorat
Abstract

Coordonator științific:
Prof. Univ. Dr. Elena DRAGOȘ

Doctorand:
Ioana-Silvia Tărău (căs. Sonea)

Key words: cognitive linguistics, pragmatics, political discourse, metaphor

The main purpose of this paper is to identify the mechanisms that allow us to influence, persuade and even manipulate the others through language. We started off with some basic questions concerning meaning construction and processing, both at an individual level and at a social and cultural level, as a consequence of cooperation among individuals. We are particularly interested in the role played by language in human reason and experience, as part of the individual's interaction with the outside world. It is important to mention that by language we understand the general human capacity and we are not concerned with how speakers manipulate signs in their interactions, but rather with how the meaning is created, processed and interpreted.

The first chapter includes a comparison between two sub-disciplines of linguistics – Pragmatics and Cognitive Linguistics and their respective positions regarding the above mentioned issues. Pragmatics brought a radical change of perspective with the distinction brought by J. Austin in *How to Do Things With Words* (1975) between constative and performative utterances, between truth-conditions sentences and speech acts, while Searle, Grice, Sperber and Wilson provide explanations for phenomena such as intentionality, natural and nonnatural meaning, inferences, literal meaning vs nonliteral meaning, thus recognizing various means through which the context contributes to the processing and exchange of meaning among discourse participants.

Lakoff and Johnson, on the other hand, two of the founders of Cognitive Linguistics, are interested in how meaning is actually produced, as a result of the individual's interaction with the outside world. Maybe their most valuable contribution, the theory of conceptual metaphor states that metaphors are not useless ornaments, but means through which we structure our experience and understand reality. In their view, we build abstract concepts on basic concepts, that, in turn, are a result of body's interaction with the world. Although we agree that metaphor is essential not only to the understanding of language, but also to the understanding of reason, we still think that in the quest for universal concepts, the cultural dimension is somehow lost. We found their theory of the systematic character of metaphoric concepts extremely useful when applying the analysis to real language phenomena. We illustrated this trait of metaphorical concepts with the help of a few examples we identified in Romanian newspapers: „România e un stat femeie”

(“Romania is a female state”), „Cafteala politică” (“Political battle”), „Țara ca un pacient” (“The country as a sick patient”).

The second chapter offers a detailed presentation of Conceptual Integration Theory that promises to explain a wide variety of linguistic phenomena. The theoretical model regards meaning construction as a dynamic process. Conceptual Integration is an elementary mental operation, deeply imaginative, but central to even the most basic thinking processes (Fauconnier & Turner 2002: 20). It refers to the human capacity to activate domains and mental spaces in order to establish connections among them, it plays a profound role in all areas of thought and action, including deciding, judging, reasoning, and inventing. It is dynamic, supple and active in the moment of thinking.”(Turner 2001: 17) *Mental Spaces* are “small conceptual packets constructed as we think and talk and are connected to long-term schematic knowledge called frames. They are sets of activated neuronal assemblies.” (Fauconnier și Turner 2002: 40)

Mental spaces are temporary, dynamic and partial, since the elements they select from a more general frame are only those that serve the purposes for that particular instance. Two or more mental spaces can be blended together to create a mental scenario that can be remodeled, enriched by the speakers or recruited into a new conceptual network, in a potentially infinite and non-deterministic process, which is hard to anticipate, but which, in our view is just a proof for human creativity and the flexibility of human mind. However, in *The Way We Think* (2002), Gilles Fauconnier and Mark Turner are introducing the so-called *optimality principles* concerning the way vital relations such as *identity, change, time, space, compression* etc. are established around various mental spaces recruited in a conceptual network.

It is our belief that many of the persuasive attempts made through political discourse are based on the capacity of blends to simulate “reality”, to suggest scenarios and stories and to influence the original input spaces. The mixture of reality, experience, perception, emotions associated with a certain event proves to be very powerful when used by political actors.

The on-line construction of meaning is, as we stated above, a dynamic process, during which the speaker will integrate new information with old information stored in the long term memory. As a consequence, meaning will always be connected to a certain context and when there is no context, “the speaker will create one”. (Coulson 2000: 25) Since we wanted to establish the importance of a context when analyzing language, we asked speakers with a completely different cultural background to interpret the front page of a Romanian political

newspaper – a picture with captions – without receiving any previous information regarding the characters illustrated in the picture or the relationship between them. We must mention that both the picture and the text that accompanied it included several blends and complicated conceptual networks. Still, the speakers were able to recreate the missing context, without having much knowledge of the situation described. “Miraculously”, the speaker manages to interpret what was communicated, to identify irony and humour and to make the necessary inferences, partly due to the fact that they belonged to a (relatively) common cultural background (they came from European, democratic countries), but mostly because they were sensitive to the speaker’s intentions, recreating what was originally produced by the speaker. Meaning arises among participants and speaker’s intentions always play a very important part in the interpretation process.

A vital relationship that seems to be often exploited by creators of political discourse is *identity*, probably because it is generally taken for granted, when, in fact, it should be regarded as a highly complex and imaginative process. When we recruit characters from an input space, for instance, we have the option of selecting only a certain trait or side of their personality and ignore others in order to achieve the intended purpose. We tried to show how the image of a well-known Romanian politician was built by means of conceptual blending.

Conceptual Integration has attracted several criticisms too and we discuss them in the last section of the second chapter. We will only mention one here: although they claim to regard meaning construction as something dynamic, Fauconnier and Turner represent mental spaces as circles and elements as dots, which suggest a static model, instead of emphasizing the relations among elements. In *The Continuity of Mind* (2008) Michael Spivey has an interesting theory with respect to the concepts and representations understood as continuous mental processes, rather than as entities.

Although meaning creation is the work of internal cognitive processes, we must also view it as a result of cooperation among individuals, so, in the third chapter we extended the analysis in order to incorporate the social and cultural background.

The last chapter is divided into three main parts – one dealing with emotions in relation to reason (following Damasio’s argument that emotions and reason cannot be separated, as they play a crucial part in human activities such as making choices and connecting to a social group), a second one that talks about the construction of social reality (discussing topics such as

collective intentionality, institutional facts, constitutive rules, leadership) and a third part, which consists of a practical analysis of *heat metaphor* in Romanian politics.

Damasio's plead against "cool reason" is especially relevant in the context of political discourse from more than one point of view. First of all, cold and purely objective reason becomes a myth. Then, it seems to support the entire architecture built by Cognitive linguistics:

„In some circumstances, real-time processing may require holding information-representations of persons, objects, or scenes, for instance-in mind for longer periods, especially if new options or consequences surface and require comparison. Furthermore, in our tasks, the situations and questions about them were presented almost entirely through language. More often than not, real life faces us with a greater mix of pictorial and linguistic material. We are confronted with people and objects; with sights, sounds, smells, and so on; with scenes of varying intensities; and with whatever narratives, verbal and or pictorial, we create to accompany them.”

(Damasio 1994: 50)

Finally, in order to function properly as a member of a social group, emotions are very important. Then, we continue by discussing the relation between language and emotions and we introduce the classical debate between ethnocentrism, researchers who claim emotions are universal and a completely different view which suggests we understand our emotions or even experience them differently, according to our own language and culture. We thought there was no reason to choose between the biological, universal level and the cultural level and we can consider both as essential to the way we structure our experience as far as emotional states are concerned. However, unlike the defenders of linguistic determinism, we think that communication among different cultures is possible, even when the inventory of concepts defining emotions is very different. When we look at concepts as being something dynamic, communication, imperfect as it may be, becomes possible, both among individuals and cultures.

Finally, we analyzed a set of terms organized around certain conceptual metaphors such as "emotions are heat/fire", "emotions mean internal pressure", following three main steps, as an attempt to integrate a few investigation methods in order to obtain a complete understanding of the phenomena. We started by identifying the basic metaphors (following Lakoff and Johnson), we continued with Talmy's force dynamics, we extended the analysis to the Mental Space Theory and finally, we considered factors such as the specificity of Romanian cultural environment, along with that of certain sub-cultures (Romanian written press, in our case), the

diachronic perspective in the case of a novel metaphor, thus looking at the linguistic expressions not only as a creation of an individual, but as a result of a collective effort to produce new meaning.

Bibliografie

Dicționare și enciclopedii:

1. Bidu-Vrânceanu, Angela, Călărașu, Cristina, Ionescu-Ruxăndoiu, Liliana. 2005. *Dicționar de științe ale limbii*. București: Nemira.
2. Ducrot, Oswald, Schaeffer, Jean-Marie, Abroux, Marielle, Bassano, Dominique, Boulakia, Georges. 1996. *Noul dicționar enciclopedic al științelor limbajului*. Dicționarele Babel. București: Babel.
3. Ducrot, Oswald, Todorov, Tzvetan. 1972. *Dictionnaire encyclopédique des sciences du langage*. Paris: Seuil.
4. Malmkjaer, Kirsten. 2004. *Linguistics Encyclopedia*. Routledge.
5. Matthews, P. H. 2007. *The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Linguistics*. Oxford University Press.
6. Moeschler, Jacques, Reboul, Anne. 1999. *Dicționar enciclopedic de pragmatică*. Instrumente. Cluj: Echinox.

Volume de specialitate:

1. Adam, Jean-Michel, Bonhomme, Marc. 1997. *L'argumentation publicitaire. Rhétorique de l'éloge et de la persuasion*. Nathan.
2. Allan, Keith, Jaszczolt, Kasia M., ed. 2012. *The Cambridge Handbook of Pragmatics*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Aristotel. *Retorica*. Traducere de Maria Cristina Andrieș. Ediție bilingvă. Cogito. București: IRI.
4. Austin, John Langshaw. 1975. *How to Do Things with Words*. Harvard University Press.
5. Austin, John L. 2005. *Cum să faci lucruri cu vorbe*. Traducere de Sorana Corneanu. Paralela 45.
6. Benveniste, Émile. 2000. *Probleme de lingvistică generală*. Traducere de Lucia Dumitru. Alpha. București: Universitas.
7. Berger, Peter L., Luckmann, Thomas. 2008. *Construirea socială a realității. Tratat de sociologia cunoașterii*. Traducere de Alex. Butucelea. Cărți cardinale. București: Art.
8. Betea, Lavinia, Dorna, Alexandre (coord.). 2008. *Psihologia politică. O disciplină societală*. Curtea Veche.
9. Bourdieu, Pierre, Thompson, John B.. 2012. *Limbaj și putere simbolică*. București: Art.
10. Castells, Manuel. 2000. *The Rise of The Network Society. The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture*. Wiley.
11. Castells, Manuel. 2011. *The Power of Identity. The Information Age: Economy, Society, and Culture*. John Wiley & Sons.
12. Castells, Manuel. 2013. *Communication Power*. Oxford University Press.
13. Changeux, Jean-Pierre P., Damasio, Antonio, Singer, Wolf. 2006. *Neurobiology of Human Values*. Springer Science & Business Media.
14. Chomsky, Noam. 2006. *Language and Mind*. Cambridge University Press.
15. Coșeriu, Eugeniu. 1996. *Lingvistica integrală*. Editura Fundației Culturale Române.
16. Coșeriu, Eugeniu. 1999. *Introducere în lingvistică*. Editura Echinox. Cluj-Napoca.
17. Coulson, Seana. 2006. *Semantic Leaps. Frame-Shifting and Conceptual Blending in Meaning Construction*. Cambridge University Press.

18. Cruse, Alan. 2000. *Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics*. OUP Oxford.
19. Cuillenburg, J.J. Van, Scholten, O., Noomen, G. W.. 1998. *Știința comunicării*. București: Humanitas.
20. Damasio, Anthony. 2005. *Descartes' Error. Emotion, Reason, and the Human Brain*. Penguin.
21. Damasio, Anthony. 2000. *The Feeling of What Happens. Body and Emotion in the Making of Consciousness*. Harcourt Incorporated.
22. Damasio, Anthony. 2010. *Self Comes to Mind. Constructing the Conscious Brain*. Pantheon Books.
23. Damasio, Antonio R. 2010. *În căutarea lui Spinoza. Cum explică știința sentimentele*. București: Humanitas.
24. Damasio, Antonio. 2012. *Self Comes to Mind. Constructing the Conscious Brain*. Vintage Books.
25. Dancygier, Barbara, Sweetser, Eve. 2005. *Mental Spaces in Grammar. Conditional Constructions*. Cambridge University Press.
26. Davidson, Donald. 1984a. *Truth and Interpretation*. Oxford: Claredon Press.
27. Davidson, Donald. 1984b. *Inquiries Into Truth and Interpretation*. Oxford: Claredon Press.
28. Dâncu, Vasile Sebastian. 2000. *Țara telespectatorilor fericiți. Contraideologii*. Alternative 8. Cluj-Napoca: Dacia.
29. Dijk, Teun Adrianus van. 1997. *Discourse as Social Interaction*. Vol. 2. Discourse Studies : A Multidisciplinary Introduction. London Thousand Oaks New Delhi: Sage Publications.
30. Dijk, Teun Adrianus van. 1998. *Discourse as Structure and Process*. Vol. 1. Discourse Studies : A Multidisciplinary Introduction. London Thousand Oaks New Delhi: Sage Publications.
31. Dragoș, Elena. 2000. *Introducere în pragmatică*. Cluj-Napoca: Casa Cărții de Știință.
32. Deirdre, Wilson. 2011. „Parallels and differences in the treatment of metaphor in relevance theory and cognitive linguistics”. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, nr. 8-2: 177-96.
33. Eco, Umberto. 2008. *Apocaliptici și integrați. Comunicații de masă și teorii ale culturii de masă*. Collegium. Iași București: Polirom.
34. Edelman, Gerald M. 1992. *Bright Air, Brilliant Fire. On the Matter of the Mind*. BasicBooks.
35. Engel, Pascal, Rorty, Richard. 2007. *La ce bun adevărul?* Editura Art.București.
36. Evans, Vyvyan, Pourcel, Stephanie. 2009. *New Directions in Cognitive Linguistics*. John Benjamins Publishing.
37. Fauconnier, Gilles. 1994. *Mental Spaces. Aspects of Meaning Construction in Natural Language*. Cambridge University Press.
38. Fauconnier, Gilles. 1997. *Mappings in Thought and Language*. Cambridge University Press.
39. Fauconnier, Gilles, Turner, Mark. 2002. *The Way We Think. Conceptual Blending and the Mind's Hidden Complexities*. Basic Books.
40. Foucault, Michel. 2008. *Cuvintele și lucrurile*. Traducere de Bogdan Ghiu. Biblioteca RAO. București: RAO.
41. Foucault, Michel. 2007. *Nașterea biopoliticii. Cursuri ținute la Collège de France (1978-1979)*. Traducere de Bogdan Ghiu. Panopticon. Cluj-Napoca: Idea Design & Print.
42. Fried, Joseph. 2008. *Democrats and Republicans-Rhetoric and Reality. Comparing the Voters in Statistics and Anecdotes*. Agora Publishing.
43. Geeraerts, Dirk. 2010. *Theories of Lexical Semantics*. OUP Oxford.
44. Geeraerts, Dirk, Cuyckens, Hubert. 2007. *The Oxford Handbook of Cognitive Linguistics*. Oxford University Press.
45. Geeraerts, Dirk, Gitte, Kristiansen, Peirsman, Yves. 2010. *Advances in Cognitive Sociolinguistics*. Walter de Gruyter.
46. Gibbs, Raymond W. 1994. *The Poetics of Mind. Figurative Thought, Language, and Understanding*. Cambridge University Press.
47. Gibbs, Raymond W. 1999. *Intentions in the Experience of Meaning*. Cambridge University Press.
48. Gibbs, Raymond W. 2005. *Embodiment and Cognitive Science*. Cambridge University Press.
49. Gibbs, Raymond W., Colston, Herbert. 2007. *Irony in Language and Thought. A Cognitive Science Reader*. Psychology Press.
50. Gibbs, Raymond W. (coord.). 2008. *The Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
51. Glynn, Dylan, Fischer, Kerstin. 2010. *Quantitative Methods in Cognitive Semantics. Corpus-Driven Approaches*. Walter de Gruyter.
52. Goldberg, Adele E. 1995. *Constructions. A Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure*. University of Chicago Press.

53. Gonzalez-Marquez, Monica (coord.). 2007. *Methods in Cognitive Linguistics*. John Benjamins Publishing.
54. González, María de los Ángeles Gómez, Ibáñez, Francisco José Ruiz de Mendoza, García, Francisco González, Downing, Angela. 2014. *The Functional Perspective on Language and Discourse. Applications and Implications*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
55. Grice, H. Paul. 1991. *Studies in the Way of Words*. Cambridge, London: Harvard University Press.
56. Gries, Stefan Th. 2009. *Quantitative Corpus Linguistics. A Practical Introduction*. Routledge.
57. Gries, Stefan Th, Stefanowitsch, Anatol. 2007. *Corpora in Cognitive Linguistics. Corpus-Based Approaches to Syntax and Lexis*. Walter de Gruyter.
58. Groblacher, Alex. 2004. *Comunicare politică. O abordare practică*. Fundația Konrad Adenauer. București:
59. Gross, Peter. 1999. *Colosul cu picioare de lut. Aspecte ale presei românești post-comuniste*. Traducere de Irene Joanesu. Collegium. Iași: Polirom.
60. Habermas, Jürgen. 2008. *Etica discursului și problema adevărului*. Traducere de Mădălin Roșioru. Demonul teoriei 10. Editura Art.București.
61. Hampe, Beate, Grady, Joseph E.. 2005. *From Perception to Meaning: Image Schemas in Cognitive Linguistics*. Walter de Gruyter.
62. Hayakawa, Samuel Ichiyé, Hamalian, Leo, Wagner, Geoffrey. 1964. *Language in Thought and Action*. 2nd edition. New York Chicago San Francisco Atlanta: Harcourt, Brace & World.
63. Humboldt, Wilhelm von. 2008. *Despre diversitatea structurală a limbilor și influența ei asupra dezvoltării spirituale a umanității*. Traducere de Eugen Munteanu. Humanitas. București.
64. Huxley, Aldous. 1965. *Brave New World & Brave New World Revisited*. Harper & Row Publishers. New York.
65. Ibáñez, Francisco José Ruiz de Mendoza, Cervel, M. Sandra Peña. 2005. *Cognitive Linguistics: Internal Dynamics and Interdisciplinary Interaction*. Walter de Gruyter.
66. Jackendoff, Ray. 1992. *Semantic Structures*. MIT Press.
67. Jackendoff, Ray. 2002. *Foundations of Language: Brain, Meaning, Grammar, Evolution*. Oxford University Press.
68. Jackendoff, Ray. 2007. *Language, Consciousness, Culture: Essays on Mental Structure*. MIT Press.
69. Jaynes, Julian. 2000. *The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
70. Johnson, Mark. 1993. *Moral Imagination: Implications of Cognitive Science for Ethics*. University of Chicago Press.
71. Johnson, Mark. 2013. *The Body in the Mind: The Bodily Basis of Meaning, Imagination, and Reason*. University of Chicago Press.
72. Karnoouh, Claude. 2001. *Adio diferenței : eseu asupra modernității târzii*. Ediția a 2-a revizuită și adăugită. Panoptikon. Idea Design & Print.Cluj-Napoca.
73. Kövecses, Zoltán. 2000. *Metaphor and Emotion: Language, Culture, and Body in Human Feeling*. Cambridge University Press.
74. Kövecses, Zoltán. 2002. *Metaphor : A Practical Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
75. Kövecses, Zoltán. 2005. *Metaphor in Culture: Universality and Variation*. Cambridge University Press.
76. Kövecses, Zoltán. 2006. *Language, Mind, and Culture : A Practical Introduction: A Practical Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
77. Kristiansen, Gitte, Dirven, René. 2008. *Cognitive Sociolinguistics: Language Variation, Cultural Models, Social Systems*. Walter de Gruyter.
78. Lakoff, George. 1987. *Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things*. University of Chicago Press.
79. Lakoff, George. 1999. *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought*. Basic Books.
80. Lakoff, George. 2001. *Metaphors of terror*. University of Chicago Press.
81. Lakoff, George. 2002. *Moral Politics: How Liberals and Conservatives Think, Second Edition*. University of Chicago Press.
82. Lakoff, George. 2004. *Don't Think of an Elephant! Know Your Values and Frame the Debate*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
83. Lakoff, George. 2006a. *Whose Freedom? The Battle over America's Most Important Idea*. Macmillan.
84. Lakoff, George. 2006b. *Thinking Points. Communicating Our American Values and Vision*. Macmillan.
85. Lakoff, George, Johnson, Mark. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press.
86. Lakoff, George, Turner, Mark. 1989. *More than Cool Reason: A Field Guide to Poetic Metaphor*. University of Chicago Press.

87. Lakoff, George, Wehling, Elisabeth. 2012. *The Little Blue Book: The Essential Guide to Thinking and Talking Democratic*. Simon and Schuster.
88. Lakoff, Robin Tolmach. 1990. *Talking Power: The Politics of Language*. BasicBooks.
89. Landwehr, Claudia. 2009. *Political Conflict and Political Preferences: Communicative Interaction Between Facts, Norms and Interests*. ECPR Press.
90. Langacker, Ronald W. 1987. *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar: Theoretical Prerequisites*. Stanford University Press.
91. Langacker, Ronald W. 2002. *Concept, Image, and Symbol: The Cognitive Basis of Grammar*. Walter de Gruyter.
92. Langacker, Ronald W. 2008. *Cognitive Grammar: A Basic Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
93. Langacker, Ronald W. 2009. *Investigations in Cognitive Grammar*. Walter de Gruyter.
94. LeDoux, Joseph. 2003. *Synaptic Self: How Our Brains Become Who We Are*. Penguin.
95. Levinson, Stephen C. 1983. *Pragmatics*. Cambridge University Press.
96. Levinson, Stephen C. 2000. *Presumptive Meanings: The Theory of Generalized Conversational Implicature*. MIT Press.
97. Lutz, Catherine A., Abu-Lughod, Lila. 1990. *Language and the Politics of Emotion*. Cambridge University Press.
98. Lyotard, Jean-François. 2003. *Condiția postmodernă: raport asupra cunoașterii*. Traducere de Ciprian Mihali. Panoptikon. Idea Design & Print.Cluj.
99. Macnamara, John Theodore, Jackendoff, Ray, Bloom, Paul, Wynn, Karen. 2002. *Language, Logic, and Concepts: Essays in Memory of John Macnamara*. MIT Press.
100. Mărășescu, Ioana, Neculau, Adrian, Neculau, Radu. 1997. *Psihologia câmpului social: reprezentările sociale*. Ediția a 2-a. Collegium 26. Editura Polirom Știință & Tehnică. Iași.
101. Morris, Charles William. 2003. *Fundamentele teoriei semnelor*. Traducere de Delia Marga. Editura Fundației pentru Studii Europene. Cluj-Napoca.
102. Munteanu, Eugen. 2005. *Introducere în lingvistică*. Editura Polirom.
103. Ng, Sik Hung. 1993. *Power in language: verbal communication and social influence*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications
104. Oakley, Todd, Hougaard, Anders. 2008. *Mental Spaces in Discourse and Interaction*. John Benjamins Publishing.
105. Ontario, Albert N. Katz, Cacciari, Cristina, Gibbs, Raymond W. Jr., Turner, Mark Jr. 1998. *Figurative Language and Thought*. Oxford University Press.
106. Pinker, Steven. 2003. *The Blank Slate: The Modern Denial of Human Nature*. Penguin.
107. Pinker, Steven. 2007. *The Stuff of Thought: Language as a Window into Human Nature*. Penguin.
108. Pinker, Steven. 2010. *The Language Instinct: How The Mind Creates Language*. HarperCollins.
109. Pinker, Steven. 2013a. *Learnability and Cognition: The Acquisition of Argument Structure*. MIT Press.
110. Pinker, Steven. 2013b. *Language, Cognition, and Human Nature. Selected Articles*. Oxford University Press.
111. Ortony, Andrew, ed. 1979. *Metaphor and Thought*. Cambridge University Press.
112. Popescu, Cristian Tudor. 2001. *Un cadavru umplut cu ziare*. Editura Polirom. Iași.
113. Reboul, Anne, Moeschler, Jacques. 2010. *Pragmatica discursului. De la interpretarea enunțului la interpretarea discursului*. Academica 106. Institutul European.Iași.
114. Reboul, Anne, Moeschler, Jacques. 2001. *Pragmatica, azi. O nouă știință a comunicării*. Theoria. Cluj-Napoca: Echinoc.
115. Ritchie, L. David. 2013. *Metaphor*. Cambridge University Press.
116. Rosch, Eleanor, Thompson, Evan și Varela, Francisco. 1992. *The Embodied Mind. Cognitive Science and Human Experience*. MIT Press.
117. Rosch, E., Lloyd, B.B. (ed.). 1978. *Cognition and Categorization*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, (Hillsdale).
118. Roventă-Frumușani, Daniela. 2005. *Analiza discursului: ipoteze și ipostaze*. Comunicare media. București: Tritonic.
119. Schopenhauer, Arthur. 2010. *Arta de a avea întotdeauna dreptate*. Traducere de Gabriel-Horațiu Decuble. Editura Art.București.
120. Searle, John R. 1969. *Speech Acts. An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
121. Searle, John R. (ed.) 1971. *The Philosophy of Language*. Oxford University Press.
122. Searle, John R. 1983. *Intentionality. An Essay in the Philosophy of Mind*. Cambridge University Press.

123. Searle, John R. 1984. *Minds, Brains and Science*. Harvard University Press.
124. Searle, John R. 1995. *The Construction of Social Reality*. Simon and Schuster.
125. Searle, John R. 1998. *Mind, Language and Society. Philosophy in the Real World*. Basic Books.
126. Searle, John R. 2000. *Realitatea ca proiect social*. Plural M 55. Iasi: Polirom.
127. Searle, John R. 2004. *Freedom and Neurobiology: Reflections on Free Will, Language, and Political Power*. Editions Grasset & Fasquelle.
128. Searle, John R., Dennett, Daniel Clement și Chalmers, David John. 1997. *The Mystery of Consciousness*. New York Review of Books.
129. Searle, John R., Willis, S., Slusser, Marion. 2004. *Mind. A Brief Introduction: A Brief Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
130. Sharifian, Farzad, Dirven, René, Yu, Ning, Niemeier, Susanne. 2008. *Culture, Body, and Language: Conceptualizations of Internal Body Organs across Cultures and Languages*. Walter de Gruyter.
131. Sperber, Dan. 1995. *Relevance: Communication and Cognition*. Wiley.
132. Spivey, Michael. 2008. *The Continuity of Mind*. Oxford University Press.
133. Spivey, Michael. 2012. *The Cambridge Handbook of Psycholinguistics*. Cambridge University Press.
134. Stefanowitsch, Anatol, Gries, Stefan Th. 2007. *Corpus-Based Approaches to Metaphor and Metonymy*. Walter de Gruyter.
135. Talmy, Leonard. 2003. *Toward a Cognitive Semantics*. MIT Press.
136. Taylor, John R. 2012. *The Mental Corpus: How Language Is Represented in the Mind*. Oxford University Press.
137. Turner, Mark. 1998. *The Literary Mind: The Origins of Thought and Language*. Oxford University Press.
138. Turner, Mark. 2001. *Cognitive Dimensions of Social Sciences*. Oxford University Press, USA.
139. Van Dijk, Teun. 2011. *Discourse Studies. A Multidisciplinary Introduction*. London: United Kingdom, London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
140. Vanhove, Martine. 2008. *From Polysemy to Semantic Change. Towards a Typology of Lexical Semantic Associations*. John Benjamins Publishing.
141. Verhagen, Arie. 2007. *Constructions of Intersubjectivity. Discourse, Syntax, and Cognition*. Oxford University Press.
142. Wierzbicka, Anna. 1999. *Emotions Across Languages and Cultures. Diversity and Universals*. Cambridge University Press.
143. Wilson, Deirdre, Sperber, Dan. 2012. *Meaning and Relevance*. Cambridge University Press.
144. Wodak, Ruth, Richardson, John E. 2013. *Analysing Fascist Discourse: European Fascism in Talk and Text*. Routledge.
145. Yule, George. 1996. *Pragmatics*. 1st Edition edition. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.
146. Zlatev, Jordan. 2008. *The Shared Mind: Perspectives on Intersubjectivity*. John Benjamins Publishing.

Studii și articole:

1. Bach, K. 1987. „On communicative intentions: A reply to Recanati”. *Mind&Language* 2. 141–154.
2. Bezuidenhout, A. 1997. „Pragmatics, semantic underdetermination and the referential-attributive distinction”. *Mind* 106: 375–409.
3. Bezuidenhout, A., Cutting, J. 2002. „Literal meaning, minimal propositions, and pragmatic processing”. *Journal of Pragmatics* 34: 433–456.
4. Brandt, Per Aage. 2005. „Mental spaces and cognitive semantics: A critical comment”. *Journal of Pragmatics* 37 (10). 1578-1594.
5. Coulson, Seana, Oakley, Todd. 2000. „Blending basics”. *Cognitive Linguistics* 11 (3). 175-196.
6. Coulson, Seana, Oakley, Todd. 2005. „Blending and coded meaning: Literal and figurative meaning in cognitive semantics”. *Journal of Pragmatics* 37. 1510-1536.
7. Coulson, Seana. 2007. „Electrifying result ERP data and cognitive linguistics”. În Gonzalez-Marquez, Monica (coord.), *Methods in Cognitive Linguistics*. John Benjamins Publishing.
8. Culicover, Peter W. 2005. „Linguistics, cognitive science, and all that jazz”. *The Linguistic Review* 22 (2). 227-248.

9. Dodge, Ellen, Lakoff, George. 2006. „Images schemas: from linguistic analysis to neural grounding”. În: Hampe, B. (ed.), *From Perception to Meaning: Image Schemas in Cognitive Linguistics*. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin. 57–92.
10. Fauconnier, Giles, Turner, Mark. 1998. „Conceptual Integration Networks”. *Cognitive Science* 22 (2). 133–84.
11. Geeraerts, Dirk, Stefan Groendelaers. 1995. „Looking back at anger: Cultural traditions and metaphorical patterns”. În Taylor, John și MacLaury, R. (coord.), *Language and the cognitive construal of the world*. Berlin, Mouton de Gruyter. 227–251.
12. Gibbs, Raymond, Strom, Lise, Spivey-Knowlton, Michael. 1997. „Conceptual metaphor in mental imagery for proverbs”. *Journal of Mental Imagery*. 21. 83–110.
13. Gibbs, Raymond W. Jr. 1998. „The fight over metaphor in thought and language”. În Katz, N., Cacciari, C., Gibbs, R., Turner, M. (coord.), *Figurative Language and Thought*. New York: Oxford University Press. 88–118.
14. Gibbs, Raymond W. Jr. 2000. „Making good psychology out of blending theory”. *Cognitive Linguistics* 11 (3). 347–58.
15. Gibbs, Raymond, Lima, Paula, Francuzo, Edson. 2004. „Metaphor is grounded in embodied experience”. *Journal of Pragmatics*. 36. 1189–1210.
16. Gibbs, Raymond W. Jr, Tendahl, M. 2006. Cognitive effort and effects in metaphor comprehension: Relevance theory and psycholinguistics. *Mind & Language* 21:3. 379–403.
17. Johnson, Mark. 1992. „Philosophical implicatopns of cognitive semantics”. *Cognitive Linguistics*. nr. 3–4. 345–66.
18. Kovecses, Zoltan, Szabo, Peter, 1996. „Idioms: a cognitive view”. *Applied Linguistics* 17. 326–355.
19. McDonald, S. 1999. „Exploring the process of inference generation in sarcasm: A review of normal and clinical studies”. *Brain and Language* 69. 486–506.
20. McGlone, M. 2001. „Concepts as metaphors”. În Glucksberg, S., *Understanding Figurative Language: From Metaphors to Idioms*. Oxford University Press. 90–115.
21. Moeschler, Jacques. 2002. *Propositional and non-propositional effects in manipulation discourses: The example of Le Pen's TV talk in the French electoral campaign of 2002*. Geneva: Departament of linguistic. http://www.unige.ch/lettres/linguistique/moeschler/publication_pdf/moeschler_manipulation.pdf
22. Oakley, Tood, Coulson, Seana. 2008. „Connecting the dots. Mental spaces and metaphorical language in discours”. În Oakley, Todd, Hougaard, Anders (coord.), *Mental Spaces in Discourse and Interaction*. John Benjamins Publishing.
23. Ortony, Andrew. 1975. „Why metaphors are necessary and not just nice”. *Educational Theory* 25. 45–53.
24. Ritchie, L. David. „Lost in «Conceptual Space»: Metaphors of Conceptual Integration”. http://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/comm_fac/3.
25. Rosch, E.H. 1973. „Natural categories”. *Cognitive Psychology* 4 (3). 328–50.
26. Ruiz de Mendoza Ibanez, Francisco Jose, Perez Hernandez, Lorena. 2003. „Cognitive operations and pragmatic implication”. În: Panther, Klaus-Uwe, Thornburg, Linda L. (Eds.), *Metonymy and Pragmatic Inferencing*. Benjamins, Amsterdam. 23–49.
27. Sandra, Dominiek, Rice, Sally. 1995. „Network analyses of prepositional meaning: mirroring whose mind-the linguist's or the language user's”. *Cognitive Linguistics* 6. 89–130.
28. Solomon, Robert C. 1995. „The Cross-Cultural Comparison of Emotion”. În Ames, Roger, Solomon, Robert C., Marks, Joel (coord.), *Emotions in Asian Thought: A Dialogue in Comparative Philosophy*. SUNY Press. 253–309.
29. Sonea, Ioana-Silvia. 2008. „Metaphor and Romanian Politics. A cognitivist approach”. *Studia Universitatis Philologia*. LII, 4. 157–162.
30. Sonea, Ioana-Silvia. 2006. „Integrarea ca o nuntă și caftela politică. O analiză a metaforei în presa scrisă”. *Conviețuirea*. Anul 10, nr. 1–4: 171–176.
31. Sperber, D., Wilson, D. 1998. „The mapping between the mental and the public lexicon”. În Carruthers, P., Boucher J. (coord.), *Language and Thought*. Cambridge University Press. 184–200
32. Sperber, D., Wilson, D. 2002. „Pragmatics, modularity and mindreading”. *Mind & Language* 17:1/2. 3–23.
33. Sperber, D., Wilson, D. 2008. „A deflationary account of metaphors”. În Gibbs, R. (coord.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought*. Cambridge University Press. 84–105.
34. Tendahl, M., Gibbs, R. 2008. „Complementary perspectives on metaphor: Cognitive linguistics and relevance theory”. *Journal of Pragmatics* 40. 1823–1864.

35. Turner, Mark. 2007. „Conceptual Integration”. În Geeraerts, Dirk, Cuyckens, Hubert (coord), *The Oxford Handbook of Cognitive Linguistics*. Oxford University Press. 421-462.
36. Wilson, Deirdre. 2010. „Parallels and differences in the treatment of metaphor in relevance theory and cognitive linguistics”. *Studia Linguistica Universitatis Iagellonicae Cracoviensis*. 42-55.
37. Wilson, Deirdre, Carston, Robyn. 2006. „Metaphor, relevance and the «emergent property» issue”. *Mind & Language* 21. 406–433.
38. Wilson, Deirdre, Sperber, Dan. 1986. „On defining relevance”. În: Grandy, Richard E., Warner, Richard (ed.). *Philosophical Grounds of Rationality: Intentions, Categories, Ends*. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 243–258.
39. Wilson, Deirdre, Sperber, Dan. 2004. „Relevance theory”. În: Horn, Laurence, Ward, Gregory (ed.). *The Handbook of Pragmatics*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford. 607–632.
40. Zlatev, Jordan. 2006. „What’s in an image schema? Bodily mimesis and the grounding of language”. În: Hampe, B. (Ed.). *From Perception to Meaning: Image Schemas in Cognitive Linguistics*. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin. 313–342.